

Exhibit No.: _____
Issue: Iatan Prudence
Witness: Daniel F. Meyer
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: The Empire District Electric Company
Case No.: ER-2011-0004
Date Testimony Prepared: April, 2011

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2011-0004

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

DANIEL F. MEYER

ON BEHALF OF

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

“ _____ **” Designates “Highly Confidential” Information.
All Such Information Should be Treated Confidentially Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.135.**

1 **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

2 **OF**

3 **DANIEL F. MEYER**

4 **Case No. ER-2011-0004**

5 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.

6 A. Daniel F. Meyer; 30 Sequoia, Lake Forest, Illinois.

7 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?

8 A. I am employed by Meyer Construction Consulting, Inc.

9 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME DANIEL MEYER WHO FILED DIRECT, REBUTTAL, AND
10 SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE
11 COMMISSION (“COMMISSION”) CASE NO. ER-2010-0355 ON BEHALF OF
12 KCPL?

13 A. Yes. The testimony attached hereto as Appendix A (direct testimony) and Appendix B
14 (rebuttal testimony) was filed on behalf of KCPL in Case No. ER-2010-0355 in relation
15 to the Commission Staff (“Staff”) Report regarding the Construction and Prudence
16 Review, Iatan Construction Project for Costs Reported as of June 30, 2010 (“Staff
17 Report”) and Staff’s allegations of imprudence on the part of KCPL pertaining to Iatan 1,
18 2, and common plant. The pre-filed testimony attached hereto as Appendices A and B
19 was admitted into evidence in said Case No. ER-2010-0355.

20 Q. ARE THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ATTACHED HERETO AS APPENDICES
21 A AND B ALSO RESPONSIVE TO THE CONSTRUCTION AUDIT AND
22 PRUDENCE REVIEW – IATAN CONSTRUCTION PROJECT COSTS REPORTED

1 AS OF OCTOBER 31, 2010, FILED BY STAFF IN THIS EMPIRE CASE ON
2 FEBRUARY 24, 2011 (“STAFF EMPIRE REPORT”)?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. IF I ASKED YOU THESE SAME QUESTIONS TODAY, WOULD YOUR ANSWERS
5 BE THE SAME?

6 A. Yes, and I hereby incorporate the attached pre-filed testimony into this rebuttal testimony
7 being filed herein on behalf of The Empire District Electric Company as if fully set forth
8 below.

9 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY AT THIS TIME?

10 A. Yes.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of The Empire District Electric)
Company of Joplin, Missouri for Authority)
to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric)
Service Provided to Customers in the)
Missouri Service Area of the Company.)


Case No. ER-2011-0004

AFFIDAVIT OF DANIEL F. MEYER

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS
COUNTY OF COOK)


Daniel F. Meyer, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is Daniel F. Meyer, and I employed by Meyer Construction Consulting, Inc.
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal Testimony being filed in this matter on behalf of The Empire District Electric Company consisting of _____ pages, plus attachments, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.
3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth herein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.



Daniel F. Meyer

Subscribed and sworn before me this 10th day of April, 2011.



Notary Public

My commission expires: 4/21/2013.

