1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	Prehearing Conference
8	November 14, 2007 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 1
9	CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC,)
10) Complainant,)
11)
12	vs.) Case No. IC-2008-0068) Case No. IC-2008-127
13	Socket Telecom, LLC,)
14	Respondent.)
15	
16	
17	
18	CHERLYN VOSS, Presiding, REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
19	REGULATORI LAW JUDGE
20	
21	REPORTED BY:
22	PAMELA FICK, RMR, RPR, CCR #447, CSR MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	WILLIAM K. HAAS, Deputy General Counsel P.O. Box 360 200 Madison Street
4	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573)751-3234
5	FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public
6	Service Commission.
7	TARRY W. DORTEY Attornoy at Law (Via Talonhono)
8	LARRY W. DORITY, Attorney at Law (Via Telephone) Fischer & Dority, PC 101 Madison Street, Suite 400
9	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 (573) 636-6758
10	GAVIN E. HILL, Attorney at Law (Via Telephone)
11	Hughes & Luce, LLP 1717 Main Street
12	Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201
13	(214) 939-5500
14	FOR: CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC.
15	Tok. Century for intercurity and
16	CARL J. LUMLEY, Attorney at Law
17	Curtis, Heinz, Garrett and O'Keefe, P.C. 130 South Bemiston
18	Suite 200 St Louis, MO 63105-1913
19	(314) 725-8788
20	FOR: Socket Telecom, LLC.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- JUDGE VOSS: Good morning. We're here
- 3 for a prehearing conference in Commission
- 4 consolidated case numbers IC-2008-0068 and
- 5 IC-2008-127, in the matter of the Complaint of
- 6 CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC, versus Socket Telecom,
- 7 LLC, and Spectra Communications Group, LLC, doing
- 8 business as CenturyTel versus Socket Telecom, LLC.
- 9 My name is Cherlyn Voss. I'm the
- 10 regulatory law judge assigned to this case, and I'll
- 11 be presiding over this prehearing conference. Can
- 12 everybody hear me okay?
- MR. DORITY: Yes.
- 14 JUDGE VOSS: Okay. I thought I heard a
- 15 question. We're gonna begin with entries of
- 16 appearance beginning with CenturyTel of Missouri and
- 17 Spectra Communications.
- MR. DORITY: Thank you, Judge.
- 19 Appearing on behalf of CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC
- 20 and the Spectra Communications Group, LLC, doing
- 21 business as CenturyTel, please let the record reflect
- 22 the appearance of Larry W. Dority with the firm
- 23 Fischer & Dority, PC. Our address is 101 Madison,
- 24 Suite 400, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101. Also
- 25 appearing is Gavin Hill with the firm Hughes & Luce,

1 LLP. Mr. Hill's address is 1717 Main Street, Suite

- 2 2800, Dallas, Texas 75201.
- JUDGE VOSS: Okay. Appearing on behalf
- 4 of Socket Telecom?
- 5 MR. LUMLEY: Thank you, Judge. Carl J.
- 6 Lumley of the law firm of Curtis, Heinz, Garrett and
- 7 O'Keefe, located at 130 South Bemiston, Suite 200,
- 8 Clayton, Missouri 63105.
- 9 JUDGE VOSS: Commission Staff?
- 10 MR. HAAS: Good morning. William K.
- 11 Haas appearing on behalf of the Staff of the Public
- 12 Service Commission. My address is Post Office Box
- 13 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- JUDGE VOSS: And let the record show
- 15 that at 10 a.m. no one from the Office of Public
- 16 Counsel is present.
- Okay, guys, the primary purpose of the
- 18 prehearing conference is to get the parties together
- 19 to discuss any discovery issues and, if necessary,
- 20 work out a procedural schedule.
- 21 Accordingly, the parties have been
- 22 directed to file a procedural schedule I believe by
- 23 November 28. I went a little bit longer than the
- 24 normal week since next week is Thanksgiving.
- MR. DORITY: Yeah.

```
1 JUDGE VOSS: And I know at least a
```

- 2 couple of people are out of town. Are there any
- 3 additional issues I need to address before we go off
- 4 the record?
- 5 MR. DORITY: Judge, this is Larry
- 6 Dority. If I may, first, thank you for -- and the
- 7 Commission for consolidating these two cases. I
- 8 think that will be very efficient both for the
- 9 parties and the Commission.
- 10 Mr. Hill had filed a pro hac vice motion
- in the lead case, the 0068 proceeding, and since
- 12 these have now been consolidated and the 68 case is,
- 13 in fact, the lead case, I'm assuming that we would
- 14 not need to also file a separate pro hac vice in the
- 15 companion case, but we did want to bring that to your
- 16 attention. And I don't believe an order has issued
- 17 yet granting his appearance.
- 18 JUDGE VOSS: I will check. I know the
- 19 order's drafted. I'm not sure if it's been issued.
- MR. DORITY: Okay.
- JUDGE VOSS: I'm making a note of it.
- $\,$ 22 $\,$ But I wouldn't think that there would be any need to
- 23 file in the companion case since they've been
- 24 consolidated into a single case.
- MR. DORITY: All right. That was our

```
2
     morning.
 3
                  JUDGE VOSS: And I was out Thursday and
    Friday last week, and Monday was a holiday, so we'll
     make sure that the order got to where it needed to
 6
    be.
                 MR. DORITY: All right.
 7
 8
                  JUDGE VOSS: Any other issues I need to
 9
     address?
10
                 MR. DORITY: Not from our end. Thank
11
     you.
                  JUDGE VOSS: All right. Hearing none,
12
13
     this will conclude the on-the-record portion of the
     prehearing conference. I'll be around if anybody
14
    needs me.
15
16
                  (WHEREUPON, the recorded portion of the
     prehearing conference was concluded.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

understanding, but I just wanted to clarify that this

1

25