

**STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 20th day of February, 2013.

In the Matter of)	
Kansas City Power & Light Company's)	File No. ER-2012-0174
Request for Authority to Implement)	Tracking No. YE-2013-0325
a General Rate Increase for Electric Service)	

and

In the Matter of)	
KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's)	File No. ER-2012-0175
Request for Authority to Implement)	Tracking No. YE-2013-0326
General Rate Increase for Electric Service)	

ORDER APPROVING APPLICANTS' RECONCILIATION

Issue Date: February 20, 2013

Effective Date: February 20, 2013

The Missouri Public Service Commission is granting the *Motion to Approve Reconciliation* ("motion") filed by Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCPL") and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company ("GMO").

Procedure for approval of a reconciliation is:

[T]he commission shall cause to be prepared, with the assistance of the parties to such proceeding, and shall approve, after allowing the parties a reasonable opportunity to provide written input, a detailed reconciliation [.¹]

The Commission directed KCPL and GMO ("applicants") to file a reconciliation.² Applicants filed the motion with a proposed reconciliation.³ Missouri Energy Consumers Group ("MECG") and the Office of Public Counsel ("OPC") also filed a proposed

¹ Section 386.420.4, RSMo Supp. 2011.
² Order dated January 30. All dates are in 2013.
³ On February 6.

reconciliation with a motion to approve. On February 13,⁴ the Commission received responses from Staff, applicants, and OPC and MCEG.

The statutory standard for a reconciliation includes subject matter:

. . . the dollar value and rate or charge impact of each contested issue decided by the commission, and the customer class billing determinants used by the commission to calculate the rates and charges approved by the commission in such proceeding [;⁵]

and level of detail:

Such information shall be sufficient to permit a reviewing court and the commission on remand from a reviewing court to determine how the public utility's rates and charges, including the rates and charges for each customer class, would need to be temporarily and, if applicable, permanently adjusted to provide customers or the public utility with any monetary relief that may be due in accordance with the procedures set forth in section 386.520 [related to temporary rate adjustments [;⁶]

and any certain disputed values and impacts:

In the event there is any dispute over the value of a particular issue or the correctness of a billing determinant, the commission shall also include in the reconciliation a quantification of the dollar value and rate or charge impact associated with the dispute. [⁷]

In the context of the reconciliation subsection, a disputed “particular issue” or “determinant” can only mean the “contested issue decided by the commission” and “customer class billing determinants used by the commission” that are the reconciliation’s subject matter.

The 1-page proposed reconciliation of MCEG and OPC meets none of the statutory requirements. It addresses only two matters:

⁴ Orders dated January 30 and February 6.

⁵ Section 386.420.4, RSMo Supp. 2011.

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ *Id.*

- The per-day amount of applicants' revenue increase.
- Which demand-side programs a customer may opt out of under MEEIA.⁸

Neither the daily fraction of applicant's revenue increase, nor the MEIAA opt-out, were an issue decided or determinant used to set applicants' rates, so both matters are irrelevant to the reconciliation, and neither matter constitutes a disputed value or impact that the Commission must include in the reconciliation. Moreover, MEEG and OPC do not set forth either matter in sufficient detail for a reviewing court, or the Commission, to order any rate adjustment. Therefore the Commission will not approve the proposed reconciliation, and will deny the motion, of MEEG and OPC. Nevertheless, to provide the Court of Appeals with a record on appeal as helpful as possible, the Commission will include the proposed reconciliation and motion of MEEG and OPC in the legal file.

Applicants' 73-page proposed reconciliation meets the statutory standards, as to each of GMO's rate districts and KCPL respectively, so the Commission will approve that reconciliation.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

1. The *Motion to Approve Reconciliation* of Kansas City Power & Light Company and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company is granted and the reconciliation attached to that motion is approved.

2. The *Motion to Approve Reconciliation* of Missouri Energy Consumers Group and the Office of Public Counsel is denied, and the reconciliation attached to that motion is not approved, but both shall be included in any record certified to the Court of Appeals.

⁸ The Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act, Section 393.1075, RSMo Supp. 2011.

3. This order is effective immediately upon issuance.

BY THE COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Shelley Brueggemann".

Shelley Brueggemann
Acting Secretary

(S E A L)

Gunn, Chm., Jarrett, R. Kenney, Stoll
and W. Kenney, concur.

Jordan, Senior Regulatory Law Judge