## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY FOR THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAYNA R. LONG THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO.

## **Purpose of Testimony**

The testimony will provide an explanation of the adjustments made to normalize Empire's Missouri jurisdictional revenue for the test year.

### **Summary**

The customer growth adjustment is to reflect the Missouri jurisdictional revenues that would have occurred had the number of customers at September 30, 2005 been served by the Company for the entire test year.

The normalized weather adjustment was necessary because the weather during the test year differed from normal conditions. The test year revenue and sales were adjusted to eliminate the impact of the weather with the use of the Hourly Electric Load Model.

A rate increase and related Interim Energy Charge ("IEC") became effective on March 27, 2005 as a result of the Commission's decision in Case No. ER-2004-0570. The adjustment reflects the increase in revenues had the rate increase occurred on October 1, 2004 rather than March 27, 2005. Likewise, the IEC adjustment reflects the additional IEC revenue that would have been generated had the mechanism been in place for the full test year rather than a partial year.

## Conclusion

In total the customer growth adjustment to revenue was an increase of \$4,332,239 and an increase in kilowatt-hours ("kWh") sales of 66,579,541. The adjustment for weather resulted in a decrease to revenue of \$2,605,157 and a decrease to kWh sales of 20,814,000. The adjustments for the rate increase and IEC resulted in an increase in revenue of \$12,298,364 and \$3,987,021 respectively. This resulted in total revenue adjustments of \$18,012,467 and total sales adjustments of 45,765,541 kWh.

Exhibit No. Issue: Revenue

Witness: Jayna R. Long

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Sponsoring Party: Empire District

Case No.

Date Testimony Prepared: February 2006

## **Before the Missouri Public Service Commission**

**Direct Testimony** 

 $\mathbf{of}$ 

Jayna R. Long

February 2006

## JAYNA R. LONG DIRECT TESTIMONY

# TABLE OF CONTENTS OF JAYNA R. LONG ON BEHALF OF THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
CUSTOMER GROWTH ADJUSTMENT	2
WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT	3
RATE INCREASE AND INTERIM ENERGY CHARGE ADJUSTMENT	5
CONCLUSION	5

# DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAYNA R. LONG THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO.

1	INTRODUCTION	
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.
3	A.	Jayna R. Long.
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A.	The Empire District Electric Company ("Empire" or "Company"), as a
6		Regulatory Analyst.
7	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL
8		BACKGROUND FOR THE COMMISSION.
9	A.	I hold a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with majors in
10		accounting and marketing from Missouri Southern State University. I was
11		employed by Leggett & Platt, Inc. immediately following my graduation in 1993
12		where I held various positions as an accountant at the Corporate Office and then
13		was promoted to Division Controller. I have also served as a Plant Controller fo
14		Invensys Inc. and Controller for Clark Industries. In May 2001, I joined Empire
15		as a Senior Internal Auditor where I remained until October 2003. At that time l
16		accepted my current position.
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE
18		BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## ("COMMISSION")?

- 2 A. The testimony will provide an explanation of the adjustments made to Empire's
- 3 Missouri jurisdictional revenue for the test year.

## 4 Q. WHAT IS THE NATURE OF THESE ADJUSTMENTS?

A. Total Company and Missouri jurisdictional revenues included in the test year have been adjusted to reflect customer numbers as of September 30, 2005 for customer growth, normalized weather, and to reflect the rate increase and the Interim Energy Charge ("IEC") authorized by the Commission in Case No. ER-2004-0570. In addition, the kilowatt-hours ("kWh") sales and revenue were adjusted to reflect the effect of unbilled sales and revenues in order to properly

12

13

11

1

## **CUSTOMER GROWTH ADJUSTMENT**

match test year generation and fuel expense.

- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT RELATED TO CUSTOMER
   GROWTH.
- 16 A. Missouri jurisdictional revenues have been adjusted to reflect what the revenue
  17 that would have been generated if the number of customers at September 30, 2005
  18 had been served by the Company for the entire test year. For the Residential
  19 customer class and Commercial classes of CB, SH and TEB and Industrial group
  20 GP the differences in September 30, 2005 level of customers and the average
  21 customers billed in each month of the test year were multiplied by the average
  22 weather normalized kWh per customer for that month. The resulting change in

1 kWh sales was then multiplied by the average class weather normalized cost per kWh to obtain the revenue adjustment for customer growth. 2 The Industrial customer class LP was reviewed on an individual customer basis to 3 calculate the impact of customer growth. In total the customer growth adjustment 4 to revenue was an increase of \$4,332,239 and an increase in kWh sales of 5 6 66,579,541. 7 WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENT WAS THE REVENUE ADJUSTED FOR THE AFFECT OF WEATHER? 8 Q. 9 A. Yes. The test year sales and revenue were adjusted to account for the impact of abnormal weather. 10 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN. 11 A. Electricity use is sensitive to weather conditions in the Empire service area. Due 12 to the use of air conditioning and electric space heating in Empire's territory, a 13 14 significant portion of Empire's load is directly affected by daily temperatures. The weather during the test year differed from normal conditions and test year 15 revenue and sales were adjusted to eliminate this impact. 16 17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROCEDURE USED IN CALCULATING THE ADJUSTMENT FOR WEATHER. 18 Empire used the Electric Power Research Institute ("EPRI") Hourly Electric Load 19 A. 20 Model ("HELM") to calculate the weather adjustment to customer class usage. This is the same model used by Empire in its last electric rate Case No. ER-2004-21 22 0570. HELM uses hourly load data by class to estimate the response to daily 23 weather for each weather sensitive class of customers. Weather normalized usage

1 by customer class is then calculated for each month to determine normal weather variables based on estimated response. The weather variables are then matched to 2 the actual usage over the time period that the usage was recorded. The weather 3 adjustment is then calculated for each class by taking the difference between the 4 5 normalized usage and actual recorded usage. 6 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE INPUTS TO THE MODEL. A. 7 The four data inputs to the model include monthly class usage, hourly class load 8 data, actual daily weather variables, and normal daily weather variables. The 9 edited National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ("NOAA") weather of the Springfield, Missouri weather station was used to obtain the actual and normal 10 daily weather variables. 11 12 Q. WHAT CUSTOMER CLASSES WERE EVALUATED FOR THE WEATHER ADJUSTMENT? 13 A. 14 The Residential customer class, the Commercial group of CB, SH, and TEB classes and the Industrial GP class were included in the weather normalization. 15 The other customer classes are not significantly weather sensitive and were not 16 included. 17 HOW WAS THE REVENUE ADJUSTMENT DUE TO WEATHER Q. 18 **CALCULATED?** 19 20 A. For appropriate rate schedules considered in the adjustment the average price of electricity for each month in the test period was multiplied by the kWh 21 22 adjustments to derive the revenue adjustment by customer class. The sum of the 23 individual monthly revenue adjustments were then used to determine the test year

1		revenue adjustment for that customer class. The adjustment for weather resulted
2		in a decrease to revenue of \$2,605,157 and a decrease to kWh sales of
3		20,814,000.
4	RAT	E INCREASE AND INTERIM ENERGY CHARGE
5	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE REVENUE ADJUSTMENT RELATED TO THE
6		RATE INCREASE AND IEC AUTHORIZED BY THE COMMISSION IN
7		CASE NO. ER-2004-0570.
8	A.	A rate increase and related IEC became effective on March 27, 2005 as a result of
9		the Commission's decision in Case No. ER-2004-0570. The adjustment reflects
10		the increase in revenues had the rate increase occurred on October 1, 2004 rather
11		than March 27, 2005. Likewise, the IEC adjustment reflects the additional IEC
12		revenue that would have been generated had the mechanism been in place for the
13		full test year rather than a partial year. The adjustments for the rate increase and
14		IEC resulted in an increase in revenue of \$12,298,364 and \$3,987,021
15		respectively.
16	CON	ICLUSION
17	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

Yes, it does.

A

18

## **AFFIDAVIT OF JAYNA R. LONG**

STATE OF MISSOURI )
COUNTY OF JASPER )
On the 3/s+ day of January, 2006, before me appeared Jayna R. Long, to me personally known, who, being by me first duly sworn, states that she is a Regulatory Analyst of The Empire District Electric Company and acknowledges that she has read the above and foregoing document and believes that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief.
Jayna R. Lorog
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3/5/day of January, 2006.
Patricia a. Seelle Pat Settle, Notary Public
My commission expires:  Patricia A. Settle  Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Misacuri County of Jasper Expires February 09, 2008