Exhibit No.:

Issues: Adverse Effects of Route Witness: Norbert Mengwasser Exhibit Type: Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Intervenor CCOFFH

Case No. EO-2002-351
Date: August 8, 2002

COMMISSION

351

Services Out in Public Commission

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. EO-2002-351

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF NORBERT MENGWASSER

ON BEHALF OF

INTERVENOR CONCERNED CITIZENS OF FAMILY FARMS AND HERITAGE

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company for Permission and Authority to Construct, Operate, Own, and Maintain a 345 Kilovolt Transmission Line in Maries, Osage, and Pulaski Counties,) Case No. EO-2002-351			
Missouri ("Callaway-Franks Line").)			
AFFIDAVIT OF	NORBERT MENGWASSER			
Nahr Mangustar bein	g first duly sworn, deposes and says that(6e/she)			
Nobel Manager, that said test	sanying testimony entitled "Rebuttal Testimony of timony was prepared by (him)her) and/or under tion; that if inquiries were made as to the facts and			
conclusions in said testimony, (he she) would respond as therein set forth; and that the aforesaid testimony is true and correct to the best of (his/her) knowledge.				
	Gatest Henrause			
State of Missouri County of SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me this 27 day of July 20	002.			
Notary Public	-			
My Commission Expires: 9-11-7	001			

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF NORBERT MENGWASSER

1	Q.	Please state your name and residence address.
2	A.	Norbert Mengwasser, Route 2, Linn, Missouri 65051.
3	Q.	Are you a property owner in one of the three counties through which Union Electric
4		Company is applying for permission and authority to construct, operate, own and maintain
5		a 345 kilovolt transmission line?
6	A.	Yes, I am the owner of property which I am advised will be crossed by this new 345,000 vols
7		transmission in Osage County.
8	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
9	A.	I am providing this testimony in opposition to the application to construct this transmission
10		line on the route requested by Ameren UE. The law requires that construction of this power
11		line must be shown by Ameren UE to be necessary and convenient for the public service.
12		Regardless of any necessity for a transmission line somewhere else, it is neither necessary
13		nor convenient to the public to build the transmission line as proposed. The line can be
14		constructed in other areas and its construction in the place and manner proposed will be
15		destructive of family farms, family farm values, and does irreparable harm to the history and
16		heritage of the local area and its communities.
17	Q.	Are you a member of the Intervenor group known as Concerned Citizens for Family Farms
18		and Heritage?
19	A.	Yes, I am a member of that group and offer my testimony in support of its statement of
20		purpose, which is attached to this testimony and incorporated.

- Q. Have you as a property owner in the path of the proposed transmission line been contacted by Ameren UE for purpose of discussing where the line would go through your property and what alternatives, if any, might be available?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. How long have you owned the property in question?
- 6 A. My grandfather and father owned this property. Ownership has been 40 years just for me.
- 7 Q. Could you describe the nature of the property and its past and current use?
- 8 A. It is farm land with pasture and timber.
- 9 Q. Is the current use of the property income producing to you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you already have any power lines over your property?
- 12 A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- O. Will the proposed power line, if built, affect the value of your land?
 - A. Yes. A power line of this size on my property will without question decrease the overall value of the remaining property. The power line itself, the large easement taken on a strip through that part of the property will impair present and future use of the entire parcel of property I own. The option of selling the property to get rid of the problems caused by the power line is unavailable. The power line will make the property virtually unsaleable, requiring that I simply live with Ameren UE's solution to a problem that I do not feel I even share with them. While I am unsure of the health affects of such a large power line so close to my own daily activities, it is ingrained in the popular mentality that such power lines constitute a health hazard, rendering unlikely any sale of my property at all. No one will buy

- this property with this 345,000 volt electric line through it. I have already lost two cows under the existing power line and I hate to think what three times the power will do, plus the existing line.
- Q. What other adverse impacts do you feel this line will create for yourself and others?

- A. The attached statement of purpose for Concerned Citizens for Family Farms and Heritage sums up the overall bad impact. I and my neighbors live where we do by choice. We forego the luxuries of more urban living in exchange for the peace, quiet and more rustic lifestyle of the family farm and the small local community. Every reason and purpose that my neighbors and I own property here for is drastically impaired or practically destroyed by the presence of this high voltage power line, and the constant commercial activity anticipated in building and maintaining it in the future.
- Q. Do you know of any alternatives to the Ameren UE proposal which might change your opinion about this project?
- A. The alternatives are all within the power of Ameren UE to implement, and I do believe an alternative exists. I believe Ameren should restudy the route and balance the interests of the community against their need to relieve overloading problems. There are other corridors equally available to Ameren to build this line which would be better able to bear the burden of the line's presence without destroying property values, life styles and family farms. My neighbors and I have no alternatives, and construction of the line as proposed will change our lives and circumstances forever. We will not be able to sell even though we may not wish to stay. Given our lack of options and alternatives, and the numerous alternatives available to Ameren UE to put this line almost anywhere it wants, it is my hope that the Public Service

1		Commission will require Ameren UE to balance their mere commercial need against our vital
2		need to preserve the family farm and our way of life.
3	Q.	Have you had past experiences with power companies and their maintenance of their
4		easement and right-of-way, and do you have concerns about this aspect of the new power
5		line?
6	A.	Yes. They sawed off trees and left two foot high stumps. They did not work up the trees.
7	Q.	Do you have any further testimony or observations to make for the Public Service
8		Commission?
9	A.	My understanding at that time of easement was that it would be the same size line. If this
10		proposed line is three times larger, this would void my easement and anything Ameren does
11		now is illegal on my property.
12	Q.	Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony?

Yes.

A.

13

CONCERNED CITIZENS OF FAMILY FARMS AND HERITAGE

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

Concerned Citizens of Family Farms and Heritage ("Concerned Citizens") is an unincorporated membership association of family farm owners, small property owners, small business owners and farm community residents formed to promote the interests of family farming, small town communities and rural life. Membership is open to all who share the desire to promote the interests of family farms and small communities in the rural areas of central Missouri, and those who wish to preserve the rural environment against the continuous encroachment of large commercial development and urban sprawl. Our primary concern is with preservation and protection of the family farm and its heritage in rural Missouri. Concerned Citizens oppose through all lawful means the encroachment on and destruction of family farms, which are the fundamental economic and cultural basis for the rural lifestyle. Concerned Citizens promotes all reasonable efforts to preserve and protect family farms and rural lifestyle, and vigorously opposes impairment or destruction of property which is used or useful for perpetuation of rural existence on family farms, or diversion of property from use as family farms.

(KW5896 WPD:1)