

Exhibit No.:
Issue(s): Tax Equity Financing
Witness: Mitchell Lansford
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
File No.: EA-2022-0245
Date Testimony Prepared: July 14, 2022

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FILE NO. EA-2022-0245

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MITCHELL LANSFORD

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI

*****DENOTES HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION*****

**St. Louis, Missouri
July, 2022**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....1

II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY2

III. TAX EQUITY STRUCTURE2

DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF
MITCHELL LANSFORD
FILE NO. EA-2022-0245

I. INTRODUCTION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Mitchell Lansford. My business address is One Ameren Plaza,
1901 Chouteau Ave., St. Louis, Missouri.

Q. By whom are you employed and what is your position?

A. I am employed by Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri
("Ameren Missouri" or "Company") as Director, Regulatory Accounting.

**Q. Please describe your educational background and employment
experience.**

A. I received Bachelor of Science and Master's degrees in Accountancy from
the University of Missouri at Columbia in 2008. I am a licensed Certified Public
Accountant in the State of Missouri and a member of the American Institute of Certified
Public Accountants. From 2008 to 2017, I worked for PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, most
recently as a Senior Manager in its assurance practice. In that capacity, I provided auditing
and accounting services to clients, primarily in the utility industry. From 2017 to 2019, I
worked for Ameren Services Company as the Manager of Accounting Research, Policy,
and Internal Controls. My primary duties and responsibilities included accounting analysis
for non-standard transactions, overseeing the implementation of new accounting guidance,
implementation of new accounting policies, and assessments of the internal control

1 environment. From 2019 to present, I have been working for Ameren Missouri in multiple
2 regulatory accounting roles, including my current role as Director, Regulatory Accounting
3 effective in 2020.

4 **Q. What are your responsibilities in your current position?**

5 A. In my current position, my primary duties and responsibilities include
6 preparation of the revenue requirement for Ameren Missouri rate filings, preparing written
7 testimony for rate, regulatory, and audit proceedings, and testifying before the Missouri
8 Public Service Commission.

9 **II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

10 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

11 A. As explained in the testimony of Ameren Missouri witnesses Scott
12 Wibbenmeyer and Ajay Arora, Ameren Missouri is seeking a Certificate of Convenience
13 and Necessity ("CCN") for a solar generation project, the Boomtown Project ("Project").
14 The purpose of my direct testimony is to describe the tax equity structure the Company
15 intends to utilize to finance the construction of the Project and to describe the related
16 accounting considerations appropriate for regulatory purposes in Missouri.

17 **III. TAX EQUITY STRUCTURE**

18 **Q. Why does Ameren Missouri propose to utilize tax equity financing to**
19 **finance construction of the Project?**

20 A. Under current tax law and given the current market for partners which can
21 optimize the tax attributes (e.g., tax credits and accelerated depreciation) associated with

1 the renewable energy project ("Tax Equity Partner" ("TEP")),¹ there are clear benefits of
2 using the tax equity structure for investment in the proposed Project. The key feature of
3 this structure is that it allows the Investment Tax Credit ("ITC") associated with a solar
4 facility to more optimally reduce the cost to customers by realizing its full value in the first
5 year of Project operation. Without the use of a tax equity structure, applicable tax rules
6 would require Ameren Missouri to normalize the benefits of the ITC over the life of the
7 related solar facility. Providing the normalized ITC benefits to customers over 30 years, as
8 compared to in the first year via the tax equity structure, would substantially lower the
9 customer benefit of the ITC on a net present value basis. In addition, Ameren Missouri
10 would not be able to efficiently monetize other tax attributes, including accelerated
11 depreciation, without this structure. These benefits more than outweigh any incremental
12 costs arising from using a tax equity structure for this particular Project.

13 **Q. Has the Company identified a specific Tax Equity Partner?**

14 A. No. The identification of a specific Tax Equity Partner is expected to occur
15 6 to 18 months before the Project is fully constructed.

16 **Q. Have you compared the expected Project costs, using tax equity**
17 **financing, to a scenario where tax equity financing is not utilized?**

18 A. Yes. Acquiring and developing the solar facility through more traditional
19 methods, as opposed to tax equity financing, would increase the expected revenue
20 requirement impact of the Project by decreasing the base case benefits on a net present
21 value basis by approximately \$35 million.

¹ Large, taxpaying corporations (e.g., global banks or insurance companies)

1 **Q. Please describe the tax equity structure.**

2 A. Under the tax equity structure, Ameren Missouri would sponsor a
3 renewable energy project and partner with one or more large, taxpaying corporations (e.g.,
4 global banks or insurance companies) that can optimize the tax attributes (e.g., tax credits
5 and accelerated depreciation) associated with the renewable energy project. In exchange
6 for providing a significant portion of the capital investment necessary for the construction
7 of the renewable project, the Tax Equity Partner initially receives substantially all of the
8 tax attributes and a minor portion of the cash distributions from the partnership as its return
9 on and recovery of the capital it invested.

10 The allocations of all partnership benefits and costs to be distributed to the partners
11 will be defined in the partnership agreement (i.e., the limited liability company agreement).
12 The allocations available to the Tax Equity Partner reduce or "flip" once its after-tax
13 internal rate of return achieves its target yield, which is also specified in the partnership
14 agreement. After the "flip", Ameren Missouri (in this case, through a subsidiary, as
15 discussed below) would have the option to purchase the Tax Equity Partner's remaining
16 interest in the partnership at fair market value. Upon exercise of the purchase option and
17 the subsequent related transactions discussed in detail below, Ameren Missouri itself
18 would acquire ownership of 100% of the facility just as it owns 100% of all of its other
19 generation facilities.

20 **Q. What is the typical initial investment made by each investor in a tax**
21 **equity partnership?**

22 A. Typically, the Tax Equity Partner will invest between 33% and 40% of the
23 cost of a solar project. Ameren Missouri (through its wholly owned subsidiary Ameren

1 Missouri Renewables Holdco, LLC ("Holdco")) would invest the remaining 60% to 67%
2 required to complete the project. Further and specific to the Project, Holdco and the Tax
3 Equity Partner will invest in BREC Holding Company, LLC ("BREC"), which will
4 purchase and own 100% of the project company (Boomtown Solar Energy, LLC or
5 "Boomtown Solar").

6 **Q. What is the typical return expected of a Tax Equity Partner on a solar**
7 **project such as the one in this case?**

8 A. The Tax Equity Partner would expect a return between 6.5% and 8.0%. The
9 creditworthiness of a Tax Equity Partner seeking this return should be Baa1/BBB+ or
10 better.

11 **Q. How would Ameren Missouri account for its initial investment in the**
12 **tax equity partnership?**

13 A. Ameren Missouri would account for its investment in the partnership as
14 plant-in-service, include its investment in rate base, and amortize its investment over the
15 useful life of the Project. This is the same regulatory accounting approved by the
16 Commission for three renewable energy centers owned by The Empire District Electric
17 Company, which were also financed using a tax equity partnership.² The Commission
18 further ordered the depreciation rate applicable to this plant-in-service be based on the
19 expected life of the Empire projects.

² File No. EO-2018-0092, Report and Order, EFIS Item 228, page 24, (Empire "...is authorized to record its capital investment to acquire the wind generation assets as utility plant in service...").

1 **Q. Will this initial investment be included in the calculation of any Plant**
2 **In-Service Accounting ("PISA") deferral?**

3 A. Yes, as required by the PISA statute (Section 393.1400, RSMo.). The statute
4 defines Qualifying Electric Plant as "all rate-base additions, except rate-base additions for
5 new coal-fired generating units, new nuclear generating units, new natural gas units, or
6 rate-base additions that increase revenues by allowing service to new customer premises."
7 A plant-in-service investment in a renewable energy project meets the definition of
8 Qualifying Electric Plant and must be included in the PISA deferrals.

9 **Q. Should the 15% of the initial investment not eligible under PISA be**
10 **included in the calculation of deferrals under the Renewable Energy Standard Rate**
11 **Adjustment Mechanism ("RESRAM")?**

12 A. No. The Company is not constructing the Resource for the purpose of
13 compliance with the Missouri Renewable Energy Standard.

14 **Q. Who would operate and maintain the facility?**

15 A. On behalf of the partnership, Ameren Missouri would operate the facility,
16 manage the sales of its energy and capacity into the market, perform maintenance, and
17 otherwise manage the Project just as it does all of its other generation.

18 **Q. Describe the financial transactions of the partnership during**
19 **operations.**

20 A. The partnership will sell all of its output from the Project, into the
21 Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO") market at prices established in
22 the MISO market and will receive all revenues for such sales. In addition and separate from
23 the partnership agreement, Ameren Missouri and the partnership will enter into a Contract

1 for Differences pursuant to which (1) Ameren Missouri would be obligated to pay the
2 partnership the difference in price if the fixed price set forth in the Contract for Differences
3 exceeds the MISO price, and (2) the partnership would be obligated to pay Ameren
4 Missouri the difference in price if the MISO price exceeds the fixed price set forth in the
5 Contract for Differences. The fixed price set forth in the Contract for Differences represents
6 levelized market pricing.

7 Given that Ameren Missouri is undertaking the partnership as a means of financing
8 the project, it intends to retain both the Renewable Energy Credits ("RECs") and the
9 capacity attributes. As such, it is necessary for the partnership agreements to transfer the
10 RECs and capacity attributes to Ameren Missouri. Since the Project is intended to support
11 the Renewable Solutions Program addressed in the Company witness Lindsey J. Forsberg's
12 direct testimony as well as the direct testimony of Company witness Steve Wills, the RECs
13 are expected to be retired on behalf of the Renewable Solutions Program subscribers.

14 To the extent that the transaction requires payment for the capacity attributes, the
15 revenue received from Ameren Missouri, combined with the net revenue from the
16 settlement of energy in the MISO market, and the net settlements with Ameren Missouri
17 under the Contract for Differences will make up the total revenues of the partnership.³ The
18 partnership agreement between Holdco and the Tax Equity Partner will require these
19 revenues to be utilized to pay partnership expenses, primarily facility operations and
20 maintenance expenses ("O&M"). Partnership revenues received less partnership expenses
21 paid represent the net cash available for distribution.

³ Net revenue from the settlement of energy in the MISO market includes any and all MISO settlements resulting from the Project, including energy, ancillary services, administrative costs, etc.

1 expected allocations of the tax equity partnership agreement prior to the exercise of a
2 buyout option:⁴

3 **TABLE 1 - Partnership Allocations**

	Sponsor (Ameren Missouri)	Tax Equity Partner(s)
Partnership cash distributions Years 1 to 7 (pre-flip) Thereafter	60%+ 95%	up to 40% 5%
Partnership taxable income (loss) Years 1 to 7 (pre-flip) Thereafter	1% 90% -95%	99% 5% - 10%
ITC allocation	1%	99%

4 **Q. Are any partnership transactions expected to be services provided by**
5 **Ameren Missouri or an affiliate of Ameren Missouri?**

6 A. Yes. Certain services that will generate O&M costs for the partnership will
7 be provided by Ameren Missouri or an affiliate of Ameren Missouri. Examples of these
8 costs are those relating to market participant services, accounting, and other administrative
9 costs.

10 **Q. Given the provision of these services, is the Company requesting waiver**
11 **of any Affiliate Transaction Rules ("Rules")?**

12 A. Yes, in order to eliminate what otherwise could be confusion or
13 disagreement about how the literal terms of the Rules apply to the Tax Equity Partnership.
14 Any services provided to the partnership (by Ameren Missouri or Ameren Services
15 Company ("AMS")) will be provided at cost – not a penny more or less. Given that the

⁴ Upon exercise of a buyout provision, the TEP will no longer own any interest in the partnership or its assets. Therefore, the TEP will be allocated no further amounts.

1 inputs (labor, supplies, benefits) used to provide those services are acquired in the market,
2 it is Ameren Missouri's viewpoint that cost and market are the same and that a variance
3 might literally not be required. However, the Rules contain a number of technical
4 requirements that arguably might not be satisfied by the arrangement. Thus, Ameren
5 Missouri seeks relief from the pricing, financial advantage, and "evidentiary standards"
6 provisions of the Rules to the extent needed so that the partnership can receive the services
7 it needs. As for services from Ameren Missouri, practically speaking the operation of the
8 facility owned by the partnership until after the "flip" will be no different than if Ameren
9 Missouri owned the facility itself. And as for services provided by AMS, the services will
10 be provided to the partnership in the same manner as provided to all other Ameren
11 affiliates, including Ameren Missouri. For the foregoing reasons, good cause for granting
12 the variance exists.

13 Ameren Missouri therefore requests that the Commission approve the following
14 variance:

15 Transactions between the tax equity partnership, Ameren Missouri, and AMS
16 where the tax equity partnership obtains or provides goods, services, information,
17 or assets from or to Ameren Missouri or AMS may be completed at FDC and:

- 18 a. Shall not be subject to the pricing standards in 20 CSR 4240- 20.015(2)(A);
- 19 b. Shall be deemed to comply with 20 CSR 4240-20.015(2)(B); and
- 20 c. In lieu of following the evidentiary standards in 20 CSR 4240-20.015(3)(A) –
21 (C) for such transactions, AMS and Ameren Missouri will continue to monitor
22 costs using periodic benchmarking, which may include the benchmarking
23 provided in the Company's direct testimonies in File Nos. ER-2019-0335 and
24 ER-2021-0240 and use of market wage and benefit studies and surveys of the
25 type described in the direct testimony of Ameren Missouri witness Kelly
26 Hasenfratz submitted in File No. ER-2016-0179. Any such benchmarking will
27 be made available for Staff's review at Ameren Missouri's headquarters at
28 mutually agreeable times upon request. Ameren Missouri and AMS shall also

1 **Q. How should the operating transactions of the partnership ultimately**
2 **allocated to Ameren Missouri be treated for Missouri regulatory purposes?**

3 A. Although I will further describe details of the proper regulatory accounting
4 for the Project below, the Company's intent is for the regulatory accounting applicable for
5 Missouri regulatory and ratemaking purposes will be exactly the same as if Ameren
6 Missouri owned its share of the Project directly.

7 **Q. Should any of the previously described operating transactions be**
8 **included in Ameren Missouri's RESRAM?**

9 A. No. The Company is not constructing the Resource for the purpose of
10 compliance with the Missouri Renewable Energy Standard.

11 **Q. Will any of the previously described operating transactions be included**
12 **in Ameren Missouri's Fuel Adjustment Clause?**

13 A. Yes. Costs and revenues ultimately allocated to Ameren Missouri that
14 would be included in Factors PP, OSSR, or T if Ameren Missouri directly owned the
15 Project will be included in the Company's Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC"). For example,
16 Ameren Missouri's ultimate allocation of transactions identified in Table 2 above under the
17 "Partnership FERC Account" heading as recorded to FERC Account 447 will be recorded
18 to Ameren Missouri FERC Account 447 for State of Missouri regulatory and ratemaking
19 purposes and included in the Company's FAC. Additionally, settlements under the Contract
20 for Differences at Ameren Missouri will be included in the Company's FAC, as such
21 settlements are the other side of transactions to be included in the FAC and reflect the
22 effects of variable market prices. It is the Company's understanding that this is the same
23 regulatory accounting and treatment agreed upon among the utility, the Staff, and the

1 Office of the Public Counsel and approved by the Commission for three renewable energy
2 centers owned by The Empire District Electric Company, which were also financed using
3 a tax equity partnership.⁸

4 **Q. What modifications to the Company's FAC are necessary?**

5 A. In the Company's upcoming rate review, File No. ER-2022-0337, Ameren
6 Missouri will request a modification to its FAC tariff for a minor technical item related to
7 the transfer of capacity attributes from the Project to the Company. This transfer may
8 technically constitute a purchase of capacity by Ameren Missouri for a term greater than
9 one year since the partnership will be in place for more than one year. During this term,
10 the capacity price will vary based on the settlement of the MISO capacity auctions. The
11 Company will request updates to the FAC tariff to allow 100% of any prudent capacity
12 transactions with a jointly owned entity (i.e., Boomtown Solar) to be included in its FAC,
13 without the existing limit of a contract term of one year or less. This change is reasonable
14 as it places the Company in the same net capacity position as it would be in if it were to
15 use another means of financing the project. In both financing scenarios, the Company
16 would hold title to the capacity, and subsequently utilize such capacity in fulfilling its
17 resource adequacy requirements.

18 **Q. Will any of the other previously described operating transactions be**
19 **includable in Ameren Missouri's base rates?**

20 A. Yes. Any allocation of operating and maintenance expenses, administrative
21 and general expenses, or any other expenses incurred at the partnership and ultimately
22 allocated to Ameren Missouri via the partnership will be recorded to the corresponding

⁸ See File No. ER-2021-0312.

1 Ameren Missouri FERC Account for State of Missouri regulatory and ratemaking
2 purposes. Accordingly, these expenses would be eligible for inclusion in the Company's
3 base rates if they fall within a test year or true-up period of a future rate case. Again, this
4 results in same treatment of these expenses as is customarily afforded to similar expenses
5 for other Ameren Missouri generation.

6 **Q. Please explain the significance of the "flip" in the tax equity structure.**

7 A. As I mentioned previously, the flip occurs when the tax equity partner's
8 after-tax internal rate of return achieves its target yield specified in the partnership
9 agreement and results in the reallocations of partnership benefits identified in Table 1.
10 From this point forward, the tax equity partner will be allocated considerably less benefits
11 arising from partnership operations, and it is in the best interest of Ameren Missouri and
12 the tax equity partner to consider the purchase options available under the agreement.

13 **Q. Please explain the purchase options expected to be available to Ameren**
14 **Missouri under the partnership agreement.**

15 A. Purchase options will be included in the partnership agreement that allow
16 Ameren Missouri, through its subsidiary Holdco, to purchase the Tax Equity Partner's
17 remaining 5% interest (post flip) at fair market value. The Company expects to be able to
18 exercise its buyout option in year 10 at a price of approximately ***_*** million.⁹ Upon
19 exercising this option, making the corresponding payment and completing certain legal
20 steps,¹⁰ Ameren Missouri would own 100% of the renewable energy facility and would no

⁹ The purchase option price is expected to be fair market value, as determined by an appraiser at the time of option exercise.

¹⁰ After Holdco exercises its purchase option, Boomtown Solar will be merged into BREC, and then BREC will be merged into Holdco. Following those mergers, neither Boomtown Solar nor BREC will exist, and Holdco will then transfer the Project assets to Ameren Missouri, resulting in direct Ameren Missouri ownership just as Ameren Missouri owns its other generation facilities. These mergers and the asset transfer will occur in sequence essentially at the same time.

1 longer have any obligation to the Tax Equity Partner. The partnership, Contract for
2 Differences, and any affiliate arrangements involving the partnership would then cease to
3 exist, and legal title to the facility would be held directly by Ameren Missouri as is the case
4 with its other facilities.

5 **Q. How should Ameren Missouri account for the amount paid under the**
6 **purchase option when exercised?**

7 A. The amount paid should be included in plant-in-service in the same manner
8 as the Company's initial investment. This amount should be amortized over the remaining
9 life of the renewable energy facility.

10 **Q. Please summarize your testimony regarding the tax equity structure.**

11 A. Under current tax law and given current market conditions for Tax Equity
12 Partners, the tax equity structure optimizes the customer benefits of the Project. This
13 structure has been utilized for other renewable projects in the State of Missouri and should
14 be utilized for this Project.

15 **Q. How would changes in tax law impact the proposed tax equity financing**
16 **described above?**

17 A. The implications of tax law changes that may or may not occur in the future
18 are unknown. However, the Company is closely monitoring federal legislative proposals
19 and will continue to monitor throughout planning, design, and construction of the Project.
20 If a change in tax law were to occur prior to a binding agreement with a Tax Equity Partner
21 that allows the Company to own the Project under traditional financing methods while
22 providing greater benefits to customers, the Company would move forward without tax
23 equity financing at that time.

Direct Testimony of
Mitchell Lansford

1 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

2 **A. Yes, it does.**

