Exhibit No.:

Issues: Tariff Issues

Witness: William L. McDuffey

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2006-0315

Date Testimony Prepared: June 30, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

WILLIAM L. McDUFFEY THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY CASE NO. ER-2006-0315

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of The Empire District Company of) Joplin, Missouri for authority to file tariffs) customers in Missouri service area of the Company.) Case No. ER-2006-0315						
AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM L. MCDUFFEY						
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)						
William L. McDuffey, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.						
William L. McDuffey						
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29 th day of June 2006. Notary Public						

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

WILLIAM L. McDUFFEY

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2006-0315

EXPERIMENTAL GREEN POWER SCHEDULE	2
STREET LIGHTING SERVICE CHARGE	3
MISCELLANEOUS TARIFF ISSUES	4

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY			
2 3	OF				
4 5	WILLIAM L. McDUFFEY				
6 7		THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY			
8 9 10		CASE NO. ER-2006-0315			
11 12	Q.	Please state your name and business address.			
13	A.	William "Mack" L. McDuffey, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City,			
14	Missouri 65101.				
15	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?			
16	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission)			
17	as a Rate & Tariff Examiner in the Energy Department of the Utility Operations Division.				
18	Q.	How long have you been employed by the Commission?			
19	A.	I have been employed by the Commission since October, 1978.			
20	Q.	Have you previously testified before this Commission?			
21	A.	Yes, I have filed expert testimony in sixteen cases as shown on Schedule			
22	1. In addition, I have been responsible for preparing Staff recommendations in				
23	memorandum form in numerous tariff filings and tariff cases.				
24	Q.	Please summarize your direct testimony in this case.			
25	A.	In my direct testimony I address The Empire District Electric Company's			
26	("Empire" o	r "Company") proposed removal of its Experimental Green Power Schedule,			
27	its addition	of a Street Lighting Service Charge and Miscellaneous Tariff Issues			
28	applicable to	o the electric operations of Empire.			
29	Q.	Please describe your experience, education and qualifications.			
		1			

Direct Testimony of William L. McDuffey

A. I have over 27 years of experience working with electric, gas, and steam utility tariff issues. I review filed tariffs for technical and clerical changes, work with regulated electric and steam utilities on the revision of rules and regulations, address customer complaints, compile statistical data, respond to document requests, prepare records for microfilming, update various internal Commission records and maps, verify service area descriptions in territorial agreement cases and present testimony in formal proceedings before the Commission.

In 1971, I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from Southwestern State College of Weatherford, Oklahoma. Upon graduation, I worked one year for Caddo Electric Cooperative of Binger, Oklahoma, in the Engineering Department. I assumed an Engineering Technician position with Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company of Oklahoma City, for five years prior to my employment with the Commission.

EXPERIMENTAL GREEN POWER SCHEDULE

- Q. Please describe the Company's proposed Experimental Green Power Schedule, Rider EGP removal.
- A. The Company is proposing to remove the rider and leave the tariff sheets (P.S.C. Mo. No. 5, Section 4, Sheet No. 2 and Sheet No. 3) blank.
 - Q. What is the Staff's position relating to this tariff proposal?
- A. The Staff agrees with the Company's proposed elimination of the rider.

 Staff has reviewed the rider's Terms and Conditions (paragraph 4) which state:

In order for Empire to provide service in accordance with this program, a minimum of 1,000 blocks of Green Power must be requested, in the form of customers signing the above-mentioned application and agreement by April 1, 2005. Prior to this date,

Direct Testimony of William L. McDuffey

information will be provided to customers about Green Power. If there is less than the 1,000 block minimum participation, this tariff will be discontinued on May 1, 2005. If minimum participation is exceeded, the two year experimental program will begin June 1, 2005, contingent on the availability of renewable resources, but in no case will the program begin after December 31, 2005.

Staff's investigation and review of this issue revealed that the minimum level of participation was not reached and the program by tariff was discontinued as of May 1, 2005. The elimination of this tariff provision is designed to remove the tariff sheet that details a service that has expired and is no longer valid.

STREET LIGHTING SERVICE CHARGE

- Q. Please describe the Company's proposed Street Lighting Service Charge.
- A. In its direct testimony, the Company proposed the Street Lighting Service Charge on nine rate schedules ranging from residential to transmission service due to alleged competition from electric cooperatives within several service areas of the Company. This tariff would allow Empire to offer to the cities within its Missouri jurisdiction the option of continuing to be billed directly for street lighting or allocating the cost of the street lighting to customers within the city and allowing Empire to bill the customers through an adder on each customer's monthly electric bills.
- Q. Has the Company changed its position concerning the Street Lighting Service Charge since its filing?
- A. Yes, the Staff has received an email from Jayna Long of Empire on April 26, 2006, indicating that it is no longer seeking to add this proposed service to its tariff. Ms. Long's e-mail states in part; "As we discussed on the phone, we have been able to resolve some of our immediate problems concerning the street lighting. We

	of William L. McDuffey			
1	A.	The Staff is in agreement with these changes.		
2	Service Specifications – Tariff Section 5, Sheet No. 17a			
3	Q.	Please describe the service specification change to Sheet No. 17a?		
4	A.	The Company is proposing to omit the following paragraph on Sheet No.		
5	17a.:			
6 7 8 9		The following provisions on Section 5, Sheets 17a through 17f, will become effective at 12:01 a.m. Joplin time on February 1, 2003. They will supersede the provisions on Section 5, Sheets 12 through 17 which cease to be effective at midnight on January 31, 2003.		
1 2	Q.	What is the Staff's position relating to this tariff proposal?		
3	A.	The Staff is in agreement with this proposed tariff language deletion. This		
4	paragraph should be removed because it is no longer valid.			
5	Tariff sheets header presentation – All sheets			
6	Q.	In the Company's tariff could the Company improve the organization of		
7	information in the header?			
8	A.	Yes, it would be helpful to the user if the Company header was consistent		
9	with those of the other Missouri jurisdictional utilities. The header alignment on al			
20	sheets is confusing because the present sheet number is too close to the canceled sheet			
21	P.S.C. Mo. No. on the right side of the header as shown on Schedule 2, Present Tarit			
22	Sheet Header			
23	Q.	Does the Staff have a solution?		
24	A.	Yes, the Staff recommends that the Company place the canceled sheet		
25	P.S.C. Mo. No. to the left of the Section number so only the sheet number appears on th			
26	right margin of the header as shown on Schedule 2. Proposed Tariff Sheet Header.			

Direct Testimony of William L. McDuffey

Revenue Requirement Impact

- Q. Will the implementation of these tariff recommendations have any effect
 on Staff's revenue requirement recommendation?
- 4 A. No.

1

5

- Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.

PREVIOUS TESTIMONY OF William L. McDuffey Case No. ER-2006-0315

<u>CASE</u> <u>NUMBER</u>	TYPE OF FILING	COMPANY
ER-80-120	Direct	The Empire District Electric Company
ER-80-313	Direct	Missouri Edison Company
ER-82-180 HR-82-179	Direct	Missouri Power & Light Company
ER-83-20	Direct	Sho-Me Power Corporation
ER-83-80	Direct	Sho-Me Power Corporation
EA-86-144	Territory	The Empire District Electric Company
EA-87-85 EA-87-123	Direct	Consolidated Electric Service Company Union Electric Company
EC-87-148	Direct	Howard Electric Cooperative vs. Union Electric Company
EC-96-38	Rebuttal	Union Electric Company
ET-98-110	Direct, Rebuttal	Union Electric Company
ET-99-126	Surrebuttal	Missouri Public Service
ER-99-247 EC-98-573	Direct, Surrebuttal	St. Joseph Light & Power Company
ER-2001-299	Direct	The Empire District Electric Company
ER-2001-672	Direct	UtiliCorp United, Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service
ER-2004-0034 HR-2004-0024	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila Networks L&P and Aquila Networks MPS
ER-2004-0570	Direct, Surrebuttal	The Empire District Electric Company

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM L. MCDUFFEY THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY CASE NO. ER-2006-0315

Present Tariff Sheet Header STATE OF MISSOURI, PUBLIC SERVICE P.S.C. **COMMISSION** Mo. No. THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTIC COMPANY Sec. 21st Revised Sheet No. Canceling P.S.C. Mo. No. For ALL TERRITORY 20th Revised Sheet No. No supplement to this tariff will be issued except Sec. Which was issued 03-17-05 for the purpose of canceling this tariff. Proposed Tariff Sheet Header THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTIC COMPANY P.S.C. Mo. No. 5 Sec. 21st Revised Sheet No. Cancelling P.S.C. Mo. No. 5 20th Sec. Revised Sheet No.

For ALL TERRITORY