Exhibit No.:

Issues: Alteration of Manufactured Home

Witness: Ronnie Mann

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Case No.: MC-2000-660

Date Testimony Prepared: July 13, 2001

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

JUL 1 3 2001

Bervies Germissien

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

RONNIE MANN

CASE NO. MC-2000-660

Jefferson City, Missouri July, 2001

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY			
2	OF			
3	RONNIE MANN			
4		DISCOUNT MANUFACTURED HOUSING, INC.		
5		CASE NO. MC-2000-660		
6				
7	Q.	Please state your name.		
8	Α.	Ronnie Mann		
9	Q.	Where are you employed?		
10	A .	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission		
11	(Commission).			
12	Q.	What is your business address?		
13	A. My business address is P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.			
14	Q.	What is your position?		
15	A .	My position is Manufactured Housing Field Inspector for the		
16	Manufactured Housing and Modular Units Program (Department).			
17	Q.	Are you the same Ronnie Mann that prepared Direct Testimony for		
18	MC-2000-660 on June 12, 2001?			
19	A. Yes I am.			
20	Q. Do you have any comments concerning the Rebuttal Testimony of			
21	Mr. Larry Meyer dated June 30, 2001?			
22	A. Yes. I do not agree with some of the statements made by Mr. Meyer.			
23	Q.	What are the statements that you do not agree with?		

Surrebuttal Testimony of Ronnie Mann

agreement with?

Tolano Maini				
A. On pages 6 and 7 of Mr. Meyer's Rebuttal Testimony he states that				
Mr. Tague had contacted him concerning problems with his home. He further states that				
the problems were mostly manufacturer related with only a couple of minor problems				
being the responsibility of Discount Manufactured Housing. The Inspection Report noted				
as Schedule A-1 and A-2 in my Direct Testimony lists nine set up related issues, some				
relating to the support of the home. I do not consider these to be minor problems.				
Q. Are there other issues in Mr. Meyer's Rebuttal Testimony that you do no				
agree with?				
A. Yes there are other issues. On page 8 of Mr. Meyer's Testimony, he				
mentions that the request for inspection (Schedule C of Mr. Meyer's Rebuttal Testimony)				
did not include any request for the inspection of the beams under the home.				
Q. Why are you concerned with that statement?				
A. It is the policy of this Department to do a thorough inspection of the home				
and the set up of the home when a request for inspection is received. We certainly make				
note of all issues listed on the request, but do not base the inspection solely on the issues				
listed by the homeowner.				
Q. Why are inspections done in that manner?				
A. We have found that often times a problem within a home is a result of				
set up deficiency. Therefore we do a complete inspection of the home.				
Q. Are there any other statements made by Mr. Meyer that you are not in				

Surrebuttal Testimony of Ronnie Mann

1	A. Yes. Mr. Meyer stated on page 14 of his Rebuttal Testimony that he has	
2	never been provided with guidelines regarding chassis alteration or any other set up	
3	directives.	
4	Q. Have you or any other members of the Manufactured Housing Department	
5	ever provided such assistance to Mr. Meyer?	
6	A. Yes we have.	
7	Q. Could you recall some of those instances?	
8	A. On May 16, 1997 Gene Winn of this Department and myself met with	
9	Mr. Meyer at his request to discuss set-up and anchoring issues. I have discussed set-up	
10	issues with Mr. Meyer and his employees on various occasions since that time.	
11	Q. Did Mr. Meyer make any other statements in rebuttal regarding	
12	Department policy that you do not agree with?	
13	A. Yes. He stated on page 14 of his Rebuttal Testimony that we do not come	
14	out before the home is set, that we only come out after the fact and tell dealers what they	
15	have done wrong. On February 7, 2001, Gene Winn and I visited a site near Holt	
16	Missouri (Thompson home) at Mr. Meyer's request. His service manager, Jeff Martin,	
17	was having trouble getting the home to go together properly. We contacted the	
18	manufacturer and the third party agency to get the problems resolved.	
19	Q. Was there a request for inspection filed by the consumer (Thompson)	
20	regarding this home?	
21	A. No.	
22	Q. Did Mr. Meyer make any other statements regarding the Department	
23	policy that you do not agree with?	

Surrebuttal Testimony of Ronnie Mann

A. Yes. On page 14 of his Rebuttal Testimony Mr. Meyer mentions that he				
does not know of any branch of the Department that would answer questions or make				
approvals. As I have mentioned before, we have answered questions for Mr. Meyer. In				
addition to that, Mr. Meyer has applied to the Department with an application for				
Permission to Alter a Manufactured Home and received written approval to make such				
alterations.				
Q. Do you have any further comments regarding Mr. Meyer's Rebutta				
Testimony?				
A. No.				
Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony in this matter?				
A. Yes it does.				

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Director of the Division of Manufactured Homes, Recreational Vehicles and Modular Units of the Public Service Commission, Complaint, v. Discount Manufactured Housing, Inc., Respondent.)))) Case No. MC-2000-660)))					
AFFIDAVIT OF						
RONNIE MANN						
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)						
Ronnie Mann, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing written testimony in question and answer form, consisting of _4_ pages of testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the attached written testimony were given by Ronnie Mann; that has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.						
	Ronnie Mann					

My commission expires

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

DAWN L. HAKE Notary Public - State of Missouri County of Cole

County of Cole

Ety Commission Expires Jan 9, 2005

13 M day of July, 2001.