## **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Lake Region Water & Sewer)File No. SR-2010-0110Company's Application to Implement a General)File No. SR-2010-0110In the Matter of Lake Region Water & Sewer))In the Matter of Lake Region Water & Sewer)File No. WR-2010-0111Rate Increase in Water & Sewer Service.)File No. WR-2010-0111

# TRUE-UP BRIEF OF THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

Christina Baker #58303 Office of the Public Counsel Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Telephone: (573) 751-5565 Facsimile: (573) 751-5562 **christina.baker@ded.mo.gov** 

## **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Lake Region Water & Sewer)Company's Application to Implement a General)Rate Increase in Water & Sewer Service.)In the Matter of Lake Region Water & Sewer)Company's Application to Implement a General)Rate Increase in Water & Sewer Service.)File No. WR-2010-0111Rate Increase in Water & Sewer Service.)

## TRUE-UP BRIEF OF THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

COMES NOW the Office of the Public Counsel (Public Counsel) and states for its True-Up Brief as follows:

(1) Rate Base

On June 23, 2010, the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) filed a Late-Filed Exhibit which presented rate base amounts for the true-up as of May 18, 2010. In its filing, Staff presented the rate base of Shawnee Bend Water as \$874,282, of Shawnee Bend Sewer as \$1,486,680, and of Horseshoe Bend Sewer as \$584,138. Public Counsel believes the evidence in the case supports Staff's rate base amounts.

## (2) Availability Fees

Availability Fees is an on-going issue, which will be discussed in a separate brief.

### (3) Executive Management Fees

There was no true-up change to the amounts which were originally identified in Public Counsel's Post Hearing Brief – Part I filed on May 28, 2010.

### (4) Rate Case Expense

Rates set by the Commission must be just and reasonable and therefore any rate case expense included in rates must also be just and reasonable. Much of the rate case expense in this case revolves around the issue of availability fees.

Lake Region Water & Sewer Company (Lake Region) provided testimony and updated information (as of May 31, 2010) as reflected in Staff's Reconciliation filed on June 23, 2010, regarding its estimate of rate case expense. The costs incurred by Lake Region to support its position regarding the availability charge issue were not incurred in the interest of the utility or its ratepayers. The costs were incurred solely to support the owners of the utility's continued recovery of the "free" cash flow they currently enjoy and as such ratepayers should not be required to reimburse the utility or its owners for the costs. Those same owners, via the utility, have during the processing of the general rate increase case consistently attempted to block the dissemination of information regarding this issue that would have provided the Commission, the Staff and Public Counsel with a much clearer view of number of persons paying the fees and the amounts collected. Ratepayers should not be required to fund the costs incurred for private interests. Had the availability fees not been an issue in this case, it is likely that the case could have been processed via the small rate case procedure and that would have led to a much lower level of rate case costs actually being incurred by the utility.

Public Counsel witness Mr. Ted Robertson provided testimony regarding rate case expense. Additionally, Mr. Robertson reviewed the updated rate case expense information as of May 31, 2010 provided by Lake Region and made appropriate adjustments as shown in Attachment A. As reflected in Staff's June 23, 2010 Reconciliation, after adjustment for costs that should be disallowed for recovery in the cost of service, Public Counsel argues that the evidence shows Lake Region should be allowed to recover approximately \$25,830 of its

2

expenditures over a normalized basis of 5 years. The annual normalized expense would then be \$5,166 (i.e., \$25,830 divided by 5) with 1/3 of that amount (\$1,722) allocated to each Lake Region operating entity. The evidence shows this utility has not been in for a rate case for approximately 11 or 12 years. Additionally, the evidence shows the current owners of the utility have owned the utility for approximately 5 years. Given that the evidence shows that the current owners waited approximately 5 years after their purchase of the utility to request a rate change, a 5 year normalization period is reasonable.

Respectfully submitted,

### OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

#### /s/ Christina L. Baker

By:\_

Christina L. Baker (#58303) Senior Public Counsel P O Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-5565 (573) 751-5562 FAX christina.baker@ded.mo.gov

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, emailed or hand-delivered to the following this 24<sup>th</sup> day of June 2010:

General Counsel Office Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 GenCounsel@psc.mo.gov

Jaime Ott General Counsel Office Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 jaime.ott@psc.mo.gov

Lake Region Water and Sewer Co. Mark Comley P.O. Box 537 601 Monroe Street, Suite 301 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537 comleym@ncrpc.com

Four Seasons Racquet and Club Condo Property Owners Assoc., Inc Craig Johnson P.O. Box 1606 304 E. High Street, Ste. 100 Jefferson City, MO 65102 craigsjohnson@berrywilsonlaw.com

Four Seasons Lakesites Property Owners Association, Inc Lisa Langeneckert 515 North Sixth Street One City Centre, 15th Floor St. Louis, MO 63101 llangeneckert@sandbergphoenix.com

/s/ Christina L. Baker

Attachment A

Lake Region Water & Sewer Company Case No. SR-2010-0110 Rate Case Expense

Company Email April 8, 2010 Company Email April 12, 2010 Company Email June 16, 2010 Company Email June 17, 2010 Source:

Daaroon Ene Nicollouvance	Keason For Ulsailowarice		No Disallowance - Case Filing Services	Disallow Service Related To Objections and Information Not Provided	No Disallowance - Case Filing Services	No Disallowance - Case Filing Services				Disallow Service Related To Objections and Information for Fromese			No Disallowance - Case Filing Services		Disallow Portion Of Meals For All But Summers & Stump		Disallow Service Related To Objections and Availability rees		No Disallowance - Case Filing Services	No Disallowance - Case Filing Services	No Disallowance - Case Filing Services	No Disallowance - Case Filing Services	No Disallowance - Case Filing Services	No. Disellouence - Case Filing Services				No Disallowance Duplicate	Disallow Lunch For Attorney				Disallow Service Related To Availability Fees	No Disallowance - Case Filing Services	Disclission and a contract of a valiability Face objections, guash subpoenas, etc.					No Disallowance - Case Filing Services	Disallow Service Related To Availability Fees, objections, quasti supportias, etc.	Disallow 25% For Service Related To Availability Fees							
			_				-	-	Review DRs/Objections/Public Hearings/Comment	-		Hearing/Mail CD OPC/PSE&RC Mtg./Dinner After Public		_						25.72 Ozark Shores Paid Stump Rebuttal Affidavit Shipping					-		36.70 A+ Conference Calling 121 Minutes	36.70) Duplicate Conference Calling Bill			Tastimonias/Motion In	1 imino/Chim/lation/Reconciliation/Objection/Settlement/Motio						186.00 Mileage Various Rate Case Activities	223.50 Transcript True Up Hearing Vol 5	101.50 Transcript Prehearing Conference Vol 6			1		л.	5.94	3.00	1.98	
p	Disallow Allow	0.00 175.00	0.00 2.075.50		<b>_</b>			0.00 227.37		(316.75) 2.441.99			000		173 061 180 62		(1.526.00) 4,165.00		0.00 113.86							e	0.00 36							2		4,8		0.00 186	0.00 223		0		0			5,165.94		1,721.98	
Company Re	69	175.00	2 075 50	843 50	07.4E	C4. 10	344.96	227.37		2 758 74			10000	40.60Z	DEA ED	00.407	5.691.00		113.86	25.72	71 48	90-10	06.02	36.70	724.00	318.95	36.70	(36 70)	247.25	01.100				4,193.10	614.10	14,210.64	59.10	186.00	223.50	101.50	7 081 50	3 667 89	44 728 99	00:07 111					
0	Invoice	45484			1-0	EXP. KPI.	14405	14405		46015				EXP. Kpt.		EXP. KPI.	47320		Exn Rnt	701071844		TO 4474040	1041/1919	1877009	STL95882	STL95844			Lun Dat	באף. ואווי				47603	STL95842	47975			STL98239	STI 98490	48100	200022	- U	ı		clude In Expense			
	Date Vendor	NO Newman Co	Antorinono Alamman, Comicy & Duth	10/20/2009 Newlight, Colling & Nati	12/25/2009 Newman, Comiey & Ruth	1/11/2010 John R. Summers	1/18/2010 Arrowhead Printing	1/18/2010 Arrowhead Printing		4 IOF 10040 Nammer Comfort & Drith	1/20/2010 NEWILIGH, CONTERS & LYNN			2/2/2010 John R. Summers		2/17/2010 John R. Summers	orocronato Mouranan Comley & Ruth	ZIZDIZUTU NEWITIATI, CUTITEY & NULL	Protocolo Icha D Summars			3/16/2010 John K. Summers	4/1/2010 FedEx Overnight	4/5/2010 Camden County PWSD#4	4/5/2010 National Dep	4/5/2010 National Dep	Aleronan Camdan County PWSD#4		4/5/2010 Camden County PWSD#4	4/6/2010 John K. Summers				3/25/2010 Newman, Comley & Ruth	4/6/2010 National Dep	4/25/2010 Newman. Comlev & Ruth	A/28/2010 John R. Summers		CURACIO COMPANIA COMPANIA		D/ 12/2010 National Dep	5/25/2010 Newman, Comiey & Kuut	6/1//2010 Stump, vernon	I OTAI	Normalization Dariod (Years)	Total Annual Normalization Amount To Include In Expense	Utility Allocator 1/3 Each	I Hility Annual Expense Per Entity	

Recommended Disallowances Based On Review And Allocation Of Specific Costs Detailed In Invoices Note: