Exhibit No.:

Issues: Production Cost Model

Witness: Shawn E. Lange Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Case No.: ER-2014-0258

Date Testimony Prepared: February 6, 2015

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

SHAWN E. LANGE

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI

CASE NO. ER-2014-0258

Jefferson City, Missouri February 2015

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariff to Increase Its Revenues for Electric Service) Case No. ER-2014-0258	
AFFIDAVIT OF SHAWN E. LANGE		
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)		
preparation of the following Surrebuttal consisting of 2 pages of Surrebuttal that the answers in the following Surrebuttal	his oath states: that he has participated in the Testimony in question and answer form, Testimony to be presented in the above case, tal Testimony were given by him; that he has answers; and that such matters are true to the	
	Shawn E. Lange	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of February, 2015.	
SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Callaway County My Commission Expires: October 28, 2018 Commission Number: 14942086	Musan Mundermugh Notary Public	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

SHAWN E. LANGE

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI

CASE NO. ER-2014-0258

- Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is Shawn E. Lange and my business address is Missouri Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.
- Q. Are you the same Shawn E. Lange that filed direct testimony in this proceeding?
 - A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?
- A. Mr. Peters states in his rebuttal testimony (Pg. 20, line 16, through Pg. 21, line 2) "Ameren Missouri has discussed the possible resolution of five issues with which we have identified disagreement with the direct testimony of Staff and/or the MIEC. These are the method in which normalized market prices are developed and outside of the model adjustments to off-system sales revenues to reflect costs and revenues for real time load and generation deviations, bilateral margins and swap margins and real time RSG-MWP margins. Ameren Missouri believes we have reached an agreement in principle with Staff and the MIEC on these issues, and will continue to work with these and other interested parties to achieve a stipulation and agreement regarding Net Base Energy Costs incorporating these items." The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to present the Production Cost model

A.

in this case.

known and measurable as of December 31, 2014.

3

Q. What are the results?

4

5

6

Missouri for the true-up period, as defined in the Rate Revenue Section of Staff's Cost of Service Report, ending December 31, 2014, to be \$656,831,330 including off-system sales, and \$712,327,030 excluding off-system sales. For this rate case, the model was run with and

results after Staff has incorporated the listed changes as well as incorporating all changes

without off-system sales to estimate the level of off-system sales. Staff has only had since the

afternoon of Tuesday, January 27, 2015, with this true-up information, therefore Staff may be

required to make further changes and to address those changes as part of its true-up testimony

Staff estimates the variable fuel and purchased power expense for Ameren

7

8

9

10

11

12

Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

13

A. Yes, it does.