

Exhibit No.:
Issues: Weather Normalization
Witness: Shawn E. Lange
Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony
Case No.: ER-2012-0175
Date Testimony Prepared: October 10, 2012

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

SHAWN E. LANGE

KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2012-0175

*Jefferson City, Missouri
October 2012*

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**


In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri)
Operations Company's Request for)
Authority to Implement General Rate)
Increase for Electric Service)

Case No. ER-2012-0175

AFFIDAVIT OF SHAWN E. LANGE


STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss
COUNTY OF COLE)


Shawn E. Lange, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Surrebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of 7 pages of Surrebuttal Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Surrebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.



Shawn E. Lange

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of October, 2012.





Notary Public

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

SHAWN E. LANGE

KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2012-0175

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Shawn E. Lange and my business address is Missouri Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.

Q. Are you the same Shawn E. Lange who contributed to Staff's Cost of Service Report filed in this case?

A. Yes, I am.

Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?

A. The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company ("GMO") witness Dr. George McCollister.

Dr. McCollister asserts the Large Power Service ("LPS") customer class is weather sensitive and therefore should be weather normalized in this case. Staff's position is that while the usage of the LPS class increases in the summer months, it is more sensitive to seasonal changes in weather and to business cycles than it is to daily fluctuations in weather, and hence not appropriate for weather normalization.

Q. Dr. McCollister stated:

First, for all the classes that are weather normalized, the weather response function is estimated for the class as a whole and applied to the actual sales of the entire class. It is never applied to individual customers in the methods used by either KCP&L or the Staff, as Mr. Lange inferred.¹

¹ Dr. McCollister Rebuttal page 2, lines 6-9.

1 Do you agree?

2 A. Yes. For the classes that are weather normalized in this case, by either GMO
3 or Staff, the adjustment is calculated and applied at the class level and not at the level of
4 individual customers.

5 Q. Why does Staff assert that the LPS class billing data should not be weather
6 normalized?

7 A. There are several reasons why Staff did not weather normalize the LPS class.
8 First, this class includes the LPS customers that Staff individually annualizes in its case
9 instead of applying a growth factor to them. Please see Staff witness Dr. Seoung Joun Won's
10 portion of the Staff Cost of Service Report for more information regarding the annualization
11 of the LPS class. Second, Staff asserts that the increase in the LPS class load in the summer
12 months is influenced more by the time of the year (season) than by the day-to-day fluctuations
13 that occur in the other customer classes. Third, while Staff agrees that some customers in the
14 LPS class are weather-sensitive; those customers represent a small percentage of the whole
15 class.

16 Q. Why does Staff not apply a growth factor to the LPS class?

17 A. Typically, growth is applied to the weather normalized usage per customer.
18 First, the class usage is weather normalized, and then it is divided by the number of customers
19 in that class to get an average usage per customer. Growth in class usage is calculated by
20 applying an increased number of customers to the average customer weather normal usage. A
21 more detailed description of how growth is calculated can be found in the Staff witness Ms.
22 Karen Lyons portion of the Staff Cost of Service Report.

1 With that in mind, the LPS class contains the largest energy users and the lowest
2 number of customers. Because this small group of customers demands larger amounts of
3 electricity and performs a variety of functions, —e.g. hotels, office buildings, manufacturing,
4 hospitals, etc.— the class is very heterogeneous in how and when its members demand
5 electricity. As a result, there is no usage that represents the typical LPS customer because
6 there is not a typical LPS customer. However, there may be, and usually are, seasonal
7 sensitivities that correspond to the industry of which each customer is a part.

8 Q. Does Staff adjust usage in order to reflect this seasonal sensitivity of the LPS
9 Class?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Why not?

12 A. Seasonal fluctuations need to remain in the usage because they are “normal,”
13 i.e., they occur every year.

14 Q. Why does Staff assert that this class shows a seasonal response rather than a
15 weather-sensitive response?

16 A. Seasonal sensitivity occurs when a company or industry experiences a change
17 in the amount of electricity used because of a repeating yearly cycle. Examples of seasonal
18 sensitivity include a July drop in automobile production as factories retool for new models or
19 a reduction in a customer’s electric usage at a facility because their electric motors run more
20 efficiently in the winter when it is cooler.

21 Q. If seasonal sensitivity is present in the LPS class, is it present in any of the
22 other classes?


1 A. While it may be present in the other classes, the amount of any impact that one
2 customer may have on the class as a whole is typically much smaller. Also, because these
3 other classes typically have larger amounts of customers, the class level's hourly load data is
4 typically a result of sampling. Customers that are not normal are typically included in the
5 sample. Implicit in the sampling is the assumption that the customers in each strata respond
6 similarly. When these classes are weather normalized, the assumption of customers
7 responding similarly is carried forward in the weather normalization process.

8 Q. How is the LPS class sampled?

9 A. Typically the LPS class is 100% sampled or close to 100% sampled, i.e. all
10 customers are included in the sample. So the same assumption that customers respond
11 similarly is not present for the LPS class.

12 Q. Why does being at or near 100% sampled have an impact on the LPS class?

13 A. Because of the number of and size of the customers in this class, customers
14 like those in Figures 1 through 4 below, will affect the class load shape and will affect the
15 weather adjustment of the class. Figures 1 through 4 are examples of GMO LPS customers
16 that tend to react to something other than weather, such as business cycles or economic
17 cycles. If these customers were weather sensitive, there would be a general increasing trend
18 to a peak monthly usage in July, August, or January and a decrease thereafter. While month
19 to month and year to year there may be slight differences due to temperatures in those time
20 periods, the overall the trend due to weather would be consistent.




1

2

3

Figure 1: The figure above shows an example of a customer that, on a revenue month basis, their energy usage tends to react to something other than weather.




4


5

6

Figure 2: The figure above shows an example of a customer that, on a revenue month basis, their energy usage tends to react to something other than weather.



1
2 *Figure 3: The figure above shows an example of a customer that, on a revenue month basis,*
3 *their energy usage tends to react to something other than weather.*



4
5 *Figure 4: The figure above shows an example of a customer that, on a revenue month basis,*
6 *their energy usage tends to react to something other than weather.*

7 Q. Does Staff weather normalize the LPS class at the class level for any of the
8 other electric utilities this Commission rate regulates?

9 A. No, it does not.

10 Q. Has the Commission ruled on this issue before?

1 A. Yes, in its Report and Order in Case No. ER-2006-0314, the Commission
2 stated:

3 The Commission finds that the competent and substantial evidence
4 supports Staff's position, and finds this issue in favor of Staff. The LP
5 class consists of a fairly small number of large businesses engaged in
6 wildly different enterprises; hotels, office buildings, manufacturing, and
7 hospitals are examples. These businesses' electricity needs vary more due
8 to the type of commerce they are in than due to day-to-day temperature
9 changes...²

10
11 Q. What is your recommendation?

12 A. I recommend the Commission adopt the actual LPS usage with annualization
13 adjustments as proposed by Staff witness Dr. Seoung Joun Won.

14 Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

15 A. Yes, it does.

² ER-2006-0314 Report and Order page 73.