

0001

1 STATE OF MISSOURI
2 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3
4 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

5 On-the-Record Question and Answer Session

6 February 22, 2006
7 Jefferson City, Missouri
8 Volume 1

9 In the Matter of CenturyTel of)
Missouri, LLC's Request for) Case No. IO-2006-0316
10 Competitive Classification Pursuant)
to Section 392.245.5, RSMo (2005))

11 In the Matter of Spectra)
12 Communications Group, LLC, d/b/a)
CenturyTel's Request for) Case No. IO-2006-0317
13 Competitive Classification Pursuant)
to Section 392.245.5, RSMo (2005))

14
15 NANCY M. DIPPELL, Presiding,
16 SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.

17
18 CONNIE MURRAY,
STEVE GAW,
19 ROBERT M. CLAYTON,
LINWARD "LIN" APPLING,
20 COMMISSIONERS.

21
22
23 REPORTED BY:

24 KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR
MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES

25

0002

1

APPEARANCES:

2

LARRY W. DORITY, Attorney at Law
Fischer & DORITY
101 Madison, Suite 400
Jefferson City, MO 65101
(573) 636-6758

3

4

5

FOR: CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC.
Spectra Communications Group, LLC,
d/b/a CenturyTel.

6

7

MICHAEL DANDINO, Deputy Public Counsel
P.O. Box 2230
200 Madison Street, Suite 650
Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230
(573) 751-4857

8

9

10

FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
and the Public.

11

12

WILLIAM K. HAAS, Deputy General Counsel
P.O. Box 360
200 Madison Street
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-3234

13

14

15

FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public
Service Commission.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (EXHIBIT NOS. 1NP, 1HC AND 2 WERE MARKED
3 FOR IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.)

4 JUDGE DIPPELL: This is Case Nos.
5 IO-2006-0316 and 2006-0317, in the matter of CenturyTel
6 Missouri, LLC's request for competitive classification
7 pursuant to Section 392.245.5, RSMo (2005), and in the
8 matter of Spectra Communications Group, LLC, d/b/a
9 CenturyTel's request for competitive classification
10 pursuant to
11 Section 392.245.5, RSMo (2005).

12 My name is Nancy Dippell. I'm the
13 Regulatory Law Judge assigned to this matter. And we've
14 come here today for questions and answers regarding this
15 application from the Commission, and we're going to begin
16 with entries of appearance by the attorneys. Staff, can
17 we begin with you?

18 MR. HAAS: Good morning. William K. Haas
19 appearing on behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public
20 Service Commission. My address is Post Office Box 360,
21 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

22 JUDGE DIPPELL: Public Counsel?

23 MR. DANDINO: Good morning, your Honor.
24 Michael Dandino, Office of the Public Counsel, Post Office
25 Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, representing the

0004

1 Office of the Public Counsel and the public.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Dority?

3 MR. DORITY: Thank you, your Honor.

4 Larry W. Dority, Fischer & Dority, PC, 101 Madison,
5 Suite 400, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101, appearing for
6 the Applicants, CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC, and Spectra
7 Communications Group, LLC, doing business as CenturyTel.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: And I'll just clarify that
9 these cases aren't consolidated, but because the parties
10 are the same and the issues are the same, for convenience
11 we're handling the hearing simultaneously.

12 And I will ask the parties to alert me to
13 any highly confidential information so that we can keep
14 that from being in the public session.

15 I'm going to begin by asking if there are
16 some opening statements. We've marked some exhibits
17 before coming on the record. Staff had some updated
18 information, I believe. Would Mr. Dority like to begin
19 with opening statements?

20 MR. DORITY: I would, your Honor. Thank
21 you.

22 Thank you, Judge. Good morning,
23 Commissioners. On February 1st, CenturyTel of Missouri,
24 LLC filed its request for competitive classification for
25 all of its residential services other than exchange access

0005

1 service for five exchanges, and those are Ava, Columbia,
2 Crane, Marshfield and Seymour.

3 I believe Judge Dippell has distributed
4 these small maps that depict the exchanges that we're
5 going to be referencing this morning, and for the
6 CenturyTel of Missouri exchanges, those are shown in the
7 pink color on your map, and as I just indicated, those are
8 Ava, Columbia, Crane, Marshfield and Seymour.

9 Implementing tariffs with 30-day effective
10 dates also were filed concurrent with our application.
11 This filing was made pursuant to Section 392.245.5, RSMo
12 (2005) on the, what we have referred to as the 30-day
13 track. And this filing for CenturyTel of Missouri was
14 assigned Case No. IO-2006-0316.

15 On that same date, Spectra Communications
16 Group, LLC, doing business as CenturyTel, made a similar
17 filing seeking competitive classification for all of its
18 residential services other than exchange access service
19 for two of its exchanges, and those are Everton and
20 Mount Vernon, shown in the yellow on your -- on our maps
21 that were distributed this morning. And this filing was
22 assigned Case No. IO-2006-0317.

23 In response to the Verified Applications
24 and supporting exhibits, Staff filed its recommendation in
25 these matters on February 9, indicating that they had no

1 objections to the applications and recommended that the
2 Commission permit the tariffs associated with these
3 applications to go into effect. Staff conducted its
4 investigation as directed by the Commission and, as
5 described in the Verified Staff Memorandum filed in these
6 cases, evidence exists supporting the criteria described
7 in the statute that at least two qualifying non-affiliated
8 carriers are providing basic local telecommunications
9 services within each of these exchanges.

10 No other responses to the application were
11 filed by any party. As a result, the evidentiary hearing
12 was canceled, and by your February 10 Order Changing
13 Procedural Dates, this on-the-record question and answer
14 session was scheduled for this morning.

15 As the Verified Application and exhibits
16 and Verified Memorandum of Staff reflect, MCC Telephony of
17 Missouri, also known as Mediacom, clearly has at least two
18 residential customers whose addresses are located within
19 each of the seven exchanges at issue this morning. Highly
20 confidential porting records provided by the Applicants
21 and highly confidential affidavits provided by Mediacom
22 itself in response to Staff's inquiry support this.

23 Mediacom provides basic local telephone
24 service to residential customers in these exchanges
25 through its outside plant facilities or those of an

0007

1 affiliate. It provides services through an arrangement
2 with another CLEC for switching and other services.

3 Staff also contacted five wireless carriers
4 cited by the Applicants, and all five provided affidavits
5 to the Staff. In addition, the highly confidential number
6 porting exhibits of the Applicants address this facet as
7 well.

8 Staff concludes that there is a wireless
9 carrier with at least two residential customers whose
10 addresses are located in each of the exchanges that are
11 before you this morning.

12 Mr. Arthur Martinez is here this morning on
13 behalf of the Applicants, and I see Mr. Adam McKinnie is
14 available for the Staff. We appreciate the Commission
15 being here, and we're prepared to answer your questions.
16 Thank you.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Mr. Haas, would
18 you like to make opening remarks?

19 MR. HAAS: Good morning. As Mr. Dority has
20 already explained, the Staff has verified that MCC
21 Telephony, which is the affiliate of Mediacom, the cable
22 company, is an unaffiliated facilities-based wireline
23 carrier with at least two residential customers with
24 addresses in each of the seven exchanges that are at issue
25 in this case.

0008

1 Similarly, the Staff has verified that an
2 unaffiliated wireless carrier has at least two residential
3 customers whose addresses are located in each of the seven
4 exchanges.

5 Staff member Adam McKinnie is here to
6 explain an updated schedule. In the Staff's
7 recommendation we said we were still looking for some
8 additional information, and we now have that. And
9 Mr. McKinnie is also here to answer questions about the
10 Staff's investigation.

11 In closing, the Staff recommends that the
12 Commission grant competitive classification for
13 residential services, other than exchange access, in the
14 seven exchanges and permit the associated tariff filings
15 to go into effect. Thank you.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Mr. Dandino,
17 would you like to make opening remarks?

18 MR. DANDINO: Thank you, your Honor.
19 Public Counsel has no opening remarks. Thank you.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Mr. Dority, you
21 brought along witnesses if necessary, but you weren't
22 intending to put those on unless the Commission had
23 questions; is that correct?

24 MR. DORITY: That is correct, your Honor.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Then I think we'll go ahead

0009

1 and let Staff put their witness on so they can introduce
2 their updated information.

3 MR. HAAS: The Staff calls Adam McKinnie.

4 (Witness sworn.)

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you.

6 ADAM MCKINNIE testified as follows:

7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HAAS:

8 Q. Mr. McKinnie, would you please state your
9 name.

10 A. My name is Adam McKinnie, M-c-K-i-n-n-i-e.

11 Q. Where are you employed?

12 A. I'm employed at the telecommunications
13 department staff of the Missouri Public Service
14 Commission.

15 Q. What was your role in today's case?

16 A. I was the lead Staff person assigned to the
17 two applications and the tariffs. I led the
18 investigation, and I prepared the recommendation that's
19 been filed in both of these cases.

20 Q. Mr. McKinnie, would you please look at the
21 document that's been marked as Exhibit No. 1. It's in
22 both an HC and an NP version. Can you briefly describe
23 what that document shows and, if possible, do so without
24 disclosing the confidential material?

25 A. Sure. What this document is, is it is --

0010

1 it provides more information than we had in the original
2 Staff recommendation. I believe it was Schedule -- I
3 believe it's Schedule 8 in the original Staff
4 recommendation.

5 What there is is there are two new columns.
6 The fourth column from the left is Type 1 wireless numbers
7 obtained by wireless carriers from CenturyTel/Spectra,
8 which was information we had requested from CenturyTel and
9 Spectra but they had not been able to provide to us by the
10 time the recommendation was due but they have provided
11 before the hearing.

12 And then the fifth column is NRUF data
13 showing local numbers assigned to these wireless carriers,
14 where we took a suggestion made by a wireless carrier in
15 an affidavit and we decided to examine the NRUF data in
16 order to demonstrate what that data would show.

17 And if I may continue just for one second,
18 the NRUF data is data that kind of has a different sort of
19 highly confidential nature to it. We had to sign a
20 protective order kind of with Neustar in order to get the
21 information. So that information is highly confidential,
22 I guess kind of to all parties, versus the fourth one, the
23 Type 1 wireless information was information that's
24 CenturyTel and Spectra's information and, therefore, kind
25 of has a slightly different highly confidential nature to

0011

1 it.

2 Q. What conclusion are you able to draw from
3 the Type 1 wireless information?

4 A. What conclusion I'm able to draw is that
5 these are -- this is information that does not show up
6 in -- or does not necessarily show up in the Local
7 Exchange Routing Guide, or the LERG, L-E-R-G, where a
8 number will reside in the switch of the ILEC, in this case
9 either CenturyTel or Spectra, but the number will be
10 handled in an arrangement where it is used by a different
11 carrier, almost always a wireless carrier.

12 So this isn't -- this is information that
13 says that there are local wireless numbers in this
14 exchange from these entities. I would say it's a
15 supplement to the ILEC porting column, the third column,
16 and the LERG column, which is the sixth column.

17 Q. What are you able to conclude from the NRUF
18 data?

19 A. The NRUF data is a little bit different
20 because -- NRUF, first of all, stands for Numbering
21 Resource Utilization/Forecast. It's provided twice a year
22 by companies to Neustar, which is the North American
23 Numbering Plan Administrator, or NAMPA. All sorts of
24 acronyms in this situation.

25 And I'm not able to really conclude too

0012

1 much new from this information that I wasn't able to
2 conclude from the other columns, but it was suggested to
3 us by a wireless carrier, kind of in lieu of contacting
4 them. And I wanted to demonstrate what this information
5 would show if we relied kind of solely on it or solely on
6 this sort of information instead of trying to contact the
7 wireless carriers directly.

8 Q. And what would it show if you relied solely
9 on this information?

10 A. Well, there are -- I'm trying to make sure
11 I respect the highly confidentiality here.

12 There are certain exchanges where the NRUF
13 data does not show that there is a wireless carrier with
14 local numbers.

15 Q. But other data would show that there is a
16 wireless carrier in that exchange?

17 A. It would show the wireless carrier has
18 local numbers assigned in those exchanges.

19 Q. Mr. McKinnie, please look at the document
20 that's been marked Exhibit No. 2. Please describe that
21 document.

22 A. This document is a map of exchanges in
23 Missouri that have been recognized with competitive
24 status. It was prepared by a member of the
25 telecommunications department staff. The seven important

0013

1 exchanges in this -- in this presentation today are the
2 five pink exchanges of Columbia, Marshfield, Seymour, Ava
3 and Crane, and the two, I guess, canary yellow exchanges
4 of Everton and Mount Vernon. I'm sorry, I don't have a
5 color wheel with me.

6 But it's the two that are to the west of
7 Springfield and have been requested -- the canary yellow
8 ones are the Spectra exchanges, and the pink ones are the
9 CenturyTel exchanges where the residential services other
10 than exchange access service have been requested to be
11 competitively classified.

12 MR. HAAS: Your Honor, I would move for the
13 admission of Exhibit No. 1HC and NP and Exhibit No. 2.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Would there be any
15 objection to Exhibits 1NP and HC and Exhibit 2?

16 (No response.)

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Seeing no objection, I'll
18 enter those into the record.

19 (EXHIBIT NOS. 1NP, 1HC AND 2 WERE RECEIVED
20 INTO EVIDENCE.)

21 MR. HAAS: Your Honor, I would tender the
22 witness for questions from the Bench.

23 JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Commissioner
24 Murray, did you have questions for Mr. McKinnie?

25 COMMISSIONER MURRAY: I don't believe I do.

0014

1 Thank you.

2 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Gaw, did you
3 have questions for Mr. McKinnie at this time or would you
4 like to --

5 COMMISSIONER GAW: Of course, but I'll be
6 glad to wait if others have questions.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: No. That's fine.

8 QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER GAW:

9 Q. Okay. Mr. McKinnie, let me go through the
10 wireless side with you, and I'm not clear on what you were
11 saying earlier, so I may ask you to revisit that.

12 Let's start with Ava, if we could, and tell
13 me what you know about the wireless presence in Ava.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: And tell me if we need to
15 go in-camera for you to answer questions.

16 THE WITNESS: I'll start with the -- I can
17 start with the in-camera version and then go to the camera
18 version. It's kind of -- is that the best way to handle
19 it?

20 BY COMMISSIONER GAW:

21 Q. Why don't we do as much as we can in
22 public, please, and then if it's necessary to --
23 absolutely necessary to go in-camera, then we can talk
24 about that.

25 So in regard to Ava, there are two wireless

0015

1 carriers that are listed on this Exhibit 1 that I have
2 here in front of me, Alltel and US Cellular; is that
3 correct?

4 A. Those are the carriers that responded that
5 they had at least two customers with addresses in the Ava
6 exchange.

7 Q. All right.

8 A. The one with the asterisks by it, Alltel --

9 Q. Yes.

10 A. -- Alltel does not distinguish between
11 residential and business customers.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. So their affidavit does not say, we have at
14 least two residential customers. They just say that they
15 have two customers or two end users.

16 Q. All right. Do we know the exact number of
17 end users that they have?

18 A. No, we don't.

19 Q. And US Cellular, they do distinguish
20 between business and residential?

21 A. Yes, they do.

22 Q. And do they have at least two of each with
23 addresses in the Ava exchange?

24 A. That is what their affidavit says.

25 Q. Okay. And you also note -- well, let me

0016

1 ask you this: Does US Cellular provide numbers that are
2 local in that exchange to those particular customers that
3 they -- that they mentioned in their affidavit?

4 A. The far right column, which is the LERG
5 data.

6 Q. Yes.

7 A. Those show that they provide numbers that
8 are local to that exchange.

9 Q. So if I'm a wireline customer in the Ava
10 exchange, I can call those customers and not pay a toll
11 charge, correct?

12 A. That is my understanding.

13 Q. It's your understanding based upon what?

14 A. It's my understanding based upon how the
15 Local Exchange Routing Guide works.

16 Q. Okay. I'd rather be a little more definite
17 about this. Is it -- do you know that that's the way it
18 works or is it -- are you just making some -- are you
19 relying on other people's representations? Help me out
20 here with what this evidence is.

21 A. The Local Exchange Routing Guide is used
22 to, for lack of a better word, route calls and to know
23 which NXXs or which thousand blocks of numbers are
24 routed -- are assigned to certain exchanges. A local
25 exchange wireline customer with a basic local package

0017

1 should be definitely able to call a wireless customer who
2 has a number assigned to that exchange on a toll-free
3 basis.

4 Q. Why don't we just work all the way down
5 through these exchanges, and then I'll have you go back
6 and try to give me some better understanding of what you
7 were discussing earlier. Okay?

8 A. Uh-huh.

9 Q. Columbia exchange, if I ask you the same
10 questions there, first of all, the note is in this exhibit
11 that Cingular, T-Mobile, US Cellular, Sprint and Nextel
12 provide service in this exchange; is that correct?

13 A. Sprint and Nextel should be considered as
14 one entity kind of for the purposes of -- for the purposes
15 of the far left column.

16 Q. Okay. Because of the recent merger?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. The information that you received back
19 from -- on the affidavit from Sprint and Nextel as
20 separate companies or as one company?

21 A. It's actually interesting. It says, Sprint
22 Spectrum, LP and/or Nextel West has two or more
23 residential customers who have addresses within the
24 following exchanges.

25 Q. So you can't tell?

0018

1 A. No.

2 Q. All right. In regard to Cingular and
3 T-Mobile, those carriers do not distinguish between
4 business and residential customers; is that correct?

5 A. That is what their affidavit says, correct.

6 Q. All right. And in regard to US Cellular
7 and Sprint/Nextel, do those carriers have two business
8 customers apiece at least and two residential customers
9 apiece at least?

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner, let me just
11 clarify to make sure you are aware. This application was
12 just for residential services, if that makes a difference.

13 COMMISSIONER GAW: Thank you for clarifying
14 that.

15 BY COMMISSIONER GAW:

16 Q. Well, then I'm going a little bit too far
17 with my questions. I apologize. Let's just confine it to
18 residential.

19 A. Yes, each of those carriers has at least
20 two residential customers with addresses within the --
21 within the Columbia exchange.

22 Q. Okay. And do each -- does US Cellular
23 provide a local number to those customers?

24 A. According to the LERG, yes.

25 Q. And Sprint/Nextel, the same?

0019

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. So if I'm a -- if I'm a local Columbia
3 exchange wireline, I can call those carriers with -- those
4 customers on those carriers in that exchange without
5 paying a toll?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. In Crane, Missouri, you show Alltel,
8 Cingular and US Cellular; is that correct?

9 A. That is correct.

10 Q. And Alltel and Cingular again are not
11 showing as distinguishing between business and
12 residential, correct?

13 A. That is correct.

14 Q. US Cellular, did they have at least two --
15 excuse me -- two residential customers in that exchange?

16 A. Yes. Their affidavit says that they have
17 two residential customers in each of the following
18 exchanges, and then they give a list of all the exchanges.

19 Q. All right. And do you know whether or not
20 US Cellular provides a local number to those customers in
21 that exchange?

22 A. I can tell you that there is no number in
23 the Local Exchange Routing Guide. To answer your question
24 any further, we would have to go in-camera.

25 Q. Explain to me why we would need to go

0020

1 in-camera.

2 A. We would need to go in-camera in order for
3 me to answer any information out of the NRUF column
4 because that's information that has been signed through a
5 Protective Order with Neustar where companies submit their
6 numbering resource utilization forecast data. So that's
7 information that has, as I said earlier, kind of a higher
8 degree of highly confidentiality. The fourth column was
9 information that was provided to me designated highly
10 confidential by CenturyTel and Spectra.

11 Q. What does NRUF stand for?

12 A. NRUF stands for, as it says in the third
13 footnote at the bottom of the schedule, Numbering Resource
14 Utilization/Forecast.

15 Q. And what is that?

16 A. It's information that's provided to Neustar
17 that, as I understand it, gives them an idea about the
18 numbers that are being used or that expect to be used in
19 the near future so they're able to forecast such things as
20 area code exhaust.

21 Q. What is the source of that information or
22 sources?

23 A. The source of that information comes from
24 Neustar directly to another staff member of the telco
25 department.

0021

1 Q. No. What's the source of the information
2 to Neustar?

3 A. Oh, I'm sorry. The carriers individually
4 provide the information to Neustar.

5 Q. What carriers are those, wireless and
6 wireline, both, all?

7 A. I was reviewing the -- I was reviewing the
8 laws about that. I believe it's anybody with at least a
9 thousand block.

10 Q. Okay.

11 MR. DORITY: Judge, may I ask a clarifying
12 question just because I think it might help answer the
13 Commissioner's question in a public forum?

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead.

15 MR. DORITY: We're discussing Crane; is
16 that correct?

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.

18 MR. DORITY: And the inquiry was, does he
19 have any public information regarding local numbers being
20 available in that particular exchange? I would point out
21 that attached to the Staff Memorandum, the affidavit
22 provided by US Cellular, which I believe is a public
23 document, does state that for all of the CenturyTel of
24 Missouri exchanges that are at issue, that they are
25 providing service to residential customers who have

0022

1 addresses within that exchange, and also CenturyTel
2 wireline customers in that exchange can place local calls
3 to US Cellular subscribers residing within that exchange.

4 So that is contained in the Verified
5 Affidavit that US Cellular provided in this matter, and I
6 do believe that is public.

7 COMMISSIONER GAW: Okay. I appreciate
8 that, Mr. Dority. I am, however, wanting to understand
9 why there appears to be some conflict in the data that
10 he's pointing out here that is evidently HC. So I assume
11 we'll have to do that in closed session in a moment so I
12 can understand that explanation a little better.

13 BY COMMISSIONER GAW:

14 Q. Let me go to Marshfield, and then we'll
15 come back and try to do these, if it's necessary, in
16 closed session. Marshfield, same questions. In regard to
17 the wireless carriers you show Alltel, Cingular,
18 US Cellular and Sprint/Nextel, correct?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. Alltel and Cingular again not
21 distinguishing between residential and business consumers?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. And US Cellular and Sprint/Nextel, do each
24 of them have at least two residential consumers with
25 addresses located within the Marshfield exchange?

1 A. That is what their affidavits say, correct.

2 Q. And can you tell me in regard to the data
3 that you have whether it consistently shows that those
4 numbers that are allotted to those consumers in that
5 exchange are able to be dialed from a wireline phone in
6 that exchange without paying a toll call?

7 A. For US Cellular, there are numbers that
8 they can assign that are local.

9 Q. Okay. And there is no conflict about that
10 on the Marshfield exchange with any of the data, correct?

11 A. That is correct.

12 Q. Let's go to Seymour. Again, you show the
13 same -- I think the same companies on wireless, Alltel,
14 Cingular, US Cellular and Sprint/Nextel; is that correct?

15 A. That is correct.

16 Q. The same distinguishing feature of Alltel
17 and Cingular not drawing a line between business and
18 residential, correct?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. And in those exchanges, can you tell me
21 whether or not -- excuse me. In that exchange, can you
22 tell me whether or not you can dial those numbers of those
23 wireless carriers from a wireline call in that exchange
24 without paying a toll call?

25 A. There is no public information that leads

0024

1 to that conclusion.

2 Q. All right. Let's go to Everton, and in
3 Everton you show Alltel, Cingular, Sprint/Nextel, correct,
4 as wireless carriers in that exchange?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. And again, Alltel and Cingular is not
7 distinguishing between business and residential, correct?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. And does Sprint/Nextel show at least two
10 residential customers in the Everton exchange with
11 addresses in that exchange?

12 A. Their affidavit does affirm that.

13 Q. All right. And can someone dialing from a
14 wireline phone in the Everton exchange call the
15 Sprint/Nextel customers without paying a toll call?

16 A. Again, I have no public information that
17 leads me to that conclusion.

18 Q. Okay. So that's a question mark, too, in
19 that regard, on that issue.

20 All right. Now, the last one is Mount
21 Vernon, correct?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. And Mount Vernon shows Alltel, Cingular,
24 T-Mobile and Sprint/Nextel; is that true?

25 A. That is true.

1 Q. And Alltel, Cingular and T-Mobile not
2 distinguishing between business and residential?

3 A. That is correct.

4 Q. And does Sprint/Nextel show at least two
5 residential customers with addresses in that exchange?

6 A. Their affidavit does affirm that.

7 Q. All right. And in regard to Sprint/Nextel,
8 can you tell me whether or not a landline phone in that
9 exchange can be used to dial the Sprint/Nextel consumers
10 that have those phones with addresses in that exchange
11 without paying a toll call?

12 A. I have no public information that leads to
13 that conclusion.

14 Q. Okay. Now, let's go ahead and talk about
15 the other wireless carriers in that exchange, Alltel and
16 Cingular and T -- well, let's just say Cingular. Do you
17 know in regard to Cingular whether or not a wireline phone
18 in that exchange can be used to dial a Cingular number
19 without paying a toll call?

20 A. They should. There are Cingular numbers
21 that are rated local for the Mount Vernon exchange.

22 Q. And does Cingular show at least two
23 consumers?

24 A. I believe they term them as end users, but
25 on page 3 of their affidavit, they do note that

1 Mount Vernon has two customers with kind of an asterisks
2 or a footnote, and then the footnote says, these
3 affirmative responses merely confirm the existence of at
4 least two customers with places of primary use, typically
5 the customer's respective billing addresses, in the areas
6 that Cingular internally coded as being near the listed
7 city which may or may not correspond exactly with the
8 exchanges listed.

9 Q. What does that mean?

10 A. It means that the wireless carriers do not
11 track their customers' locations by the maps of our wire
12 centers, and, therefore, they're trying to fit an
13 irregular size peg into the hole that we have requested.

14 Q. So we don't know whether these individuals
15 actually live within the exchange or not in regard to
16 Cingular in Mount Vernon's exchange?

17 A. I would feel confident that they do, but I
18 cannot speak with absolute certainty.

19 Q. Okay. And let me rephrase that slightly.
20 Do we know whether or not they actually have billing
21 addresses within the exchange?

22 A. The way they pull the information out of
23 their database, they went for the place of primary use,
24 and they said that almost -- I don't know if I should say
25 almost always, but let's go for their word which is

1 typically it's their billing address.

2 Q. What else could it be?

3 A. I guess it's possible that you could live
4 in one place and you could work in another, and your place
5 of primary usage might be the place where you work because
6 you have a work phone.

7 Q. I guess what I'm asking is for the
8 definition of primary use, if you know.

9 A. I can give you a generic English major
10 definition, but I can't give you a -- I'm not trained to
11 define that term as a wireless carrier would use it.

12 Q. That's okay. If you don't know, that's
13 fine. I'm looking for the information, and if you don't
14 know, maybe someone else might be able to enlighten me a
15 little bit later on what that means.

16 Okay. You show in the last column that --
17 and I don't want to get into HC information unknowingly
18 because this exhibit has HC designation on it. There's
19 another carrier shown in the last column on Mount Vernon
20 that is not shown in the first column or the first column
21 listing carriers. I'll say the name if it's not problem.
22 But I'm trying to understand why that is.

23 A. Let me say that the only two -- the only
24 information that's HC has the two asterisks on either
25 side.

1 Q. Okay. Thank you. So this isn't HC
2 information that I'm asking you. You show US Cellular in
3 that last column, but you don't show it in the first
4 column or the second column, depending on how you count.
5 Can you tell me why that is?

6 A. It's because US Cellular wasn't able to
7 confirm that they have a customer in the Mount Vernon
8 exchange; however, they do have numbers that are rated as
9 local to the Mount Vernon exchange.

10 Q. Let me ask you before we go to an HC
11 dialog, on the wireline side, what is it that we've got in
12 these exchanges on wireline competition facilities-based?

13 A. MCC Telephony, which is I'm just going to
14 refer to as Mediacom for the remainder --

15 Q. All right.

16 A. -- has at least two customers -- they swore
17 out an affidavit that they have at least two customers in
18 each of the exchanges. The customer counts themselves
19 actually were very nicely provided by a Mediacom
20 representative. That information is highly confidential.

21 Q. So the actual numbers are available?

22 A. Yes, the actual numbers are in -- I don't
23 remember the exact number of the schedule, but they are
24 attached as an affidavit to my recommendation.

25 Q. I will want you to pull those out when we

0029

1 go to HC, just to forewarn you.

2 COMMISSIONER GAW: I think that's all I
3 have for open session, and I pass the witness. We'll go
4 back in a little bit.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: I just wanted to do one
6 clarification before we go further. Mr. McKinnie, when
7 you say that you didn't have any publicly available
8 information, you were speaking of publicly available
9 information on this particular exhibit; is that correct?

10 THE WITNESS: That is correct.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: And this exhibit doesn't
12 necessarily include, though, all of the information on
13 those affidavits that the wireless carriers provided for
14 Crane, for instance?

15 THE WITNESS: It does appear that there is
16 information, for example, on the US Cellular affidavit
17 that is not reflected in the wireless carrier information
18 chart.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Right. Which was the point
20 that Mr. Dority was, I think, trying to make earlier.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Commissioner
23 Appling, did you have questions for Mr. McKinnie before we
24 go into the closed session?

25 COMMISSIONER APPLING: No, I don't think

0030

1 so. Thanks, Steve, for clearing that up and taking us
2 through that.

3 Mr. Haas, in the future when we have this
4 type of exhibit, it would be helpful to a country boy like
5 me if you kind of walk me through it. Okay? Thank you
6 very much, sir. I'm sorry to bring that point up, but we
7 are trying to make a decision here this morning. Thank
8 you.

9 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Murray, did
10 you have anything?

11 COMMISSIONER MURRAY: No, thank you.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Well, then
13 we'll go ahead and -- Mr. Dority? I'm sorry.

14 MR. DORITY: I didn't know if I would have
15 an opportunity to ask a clarifying question --

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: Go ahead.

17 MR. DORITY: -- while we're on the public
18 side.

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: That's fine.

20 MR. DORITY: And again, just to speak to
21 the same issue regarding inquiry from Commissioner Gaw on
22 the Mount Vernon exchange, for instance, Mr. McKinnie, I
23 believe if you would refer to the affidavit provided by
24 T-Mobile, which is one of the carriers listed for Mount
25 Vernon, it indicates that they have indeed two residential

0031

1 customers, and those customers are rated out of the Mount
2 Vernon exchange. Would that be a correct characterization
3 of their affidavit?

4 THE WITNESS: That is what the affidavit
5 affirms.

6 MR. DORITY: Thank you. That's all I had.

7 JUDGE DIPPELL: We're going to go ahead,
8 then, if there's -- is there any other public questions?
9 We're going to go ahead, then, and go in-camera, and I
10 will first try to --

11 MR. DORITY: Your Honor, if this is highly
12 confidential information, then I expect that Mr. Martinez
13 and Ms. Powell will need to be excused because there is
14 some information that we did not provide that the Staff
15 has relied upon that they've designated as HC, and
16 pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order, as
17 corporate employees they would not have access to that.

18 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. Thank you. And if
19 the Staff that remain in the room could kind of police the
20 back door for us if someone were to come in.

21 Okay. I believe that I have this on so it
22 is not streaming. Commissioner Clayton, did you have
23 questions for Mr. McKinnie before we went in-camera?

24 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: I'll let him finish.
25 I may not.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. All right. Then we
2 are in the confidential segment of the hearing, and I'll
3 let Commissioner Gaw go ahead with his questions.

4 COMMISSIONER GAW: Thank you.

5 BY COMMISSIONER GAW:

6 Q. Let me start with the wireline questions.
7 Again, you said Mediacom was -- had stated that they have
8 at least two residential customers in each of the
9 exchanges in question; is that correct?

10 A. Yes. They state that the figures provided
11 in the highly confidential attachment for numbers of
12 customers and number of lines, and I can tell you that
13 each exchange does have at least two residential
14 customers.

15 Q. Okay. And Mediacom, physically what type
16 of facilities do they have?

17 A. They either have -- they have the
18 facilities of their cable affiliate, is mostly what they
19 use, plus I believe the affiliate may have some of their
20 own facilities as well.

21 Q. Who is that, their affiliate?

22 A. Their affiliate is Mediacom, the cable
23 company who provides cable TV service in various areas of
24 the state.

25 Q. All right. And the proper name of the

0033

1 actual provider of the telephone service is?

2 A. The certificated name is MCC Telephony of
3 Missouri, Inc.

4 Q. Okay. And so this is a facilities-based
5 offering of service, correct?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. And the -- is the service offered to all of
8 the potential customers within each of these exchanges, if
9 you know, just speaking of residential?

10 A. I am not certain that Mediacom's facilities
11 extend throughout the entirety of each of the seven
12 exchanges that we have been discussing today. I do know
13 that they do have some language in their tariff that says
14 that if you live within a certain distance or a certain
15 number of houses or something of that nature away from
16 existing facilities, they will go ahead and kind of extend
17 their facilities to you.

18 Q. How do you know that again?

19 A. Because I've looked at their tariff and
20 because I processed their initial application.

21 Q. So do you believe that Mediacom will
22 offer -- based upon that, will offer their services to any
23 residential consumer living within the exchanges that are
24 in question here?

25 A. If by offer you mean directly call them and

1 say, would you like to have service, then the answer to
2 that might be no.

3 Q. No. Let me give you an example. I'm
4 assuming that these exchanges, first of all, do not match
5 the city limits of these particular names of cities that
6 are identified as exchanges?

7 A. That is my understanding.

8 Q. And I would also assume that in some cases
9 that those who were living in rural areas within these
10 exchanges may not have access to cable from Mediacom; is
11 that a fair assumption?

12 A. It's a fair guess. It would depend on how
13 the franchise map was drawn.

14 Q. Okay. So would it be a fair assumption
15 that if cable service was not available to a consumer,
16 that the affiliate would not offer telephony service
17 either to that particular residential consumer?

18 A. I would say that's quite likely.

19 Q. Okay. So it would be more likely than not,
20 would it not, that the boundary lines for Mediacom's
21 affiliate's telephony service would be the same boundary
22 lines as their offering of cable TV service?

23 A. That would be quite likely.

24 Q. So not all consumers within these exchanges
25 may be able to access Mediacom as a competitor to

1 CenturyTel?

2 A. With the caveat of the limited extension
3 that I referred to, I think that is a safe assumption.

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. It is possible --

6 Q. Go ahead. I'm sorry.

7 A. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt. It
8 is possible that Mediacom could perhaps provide service
9 through resale or some sort of alternative arrangement.

10 Q. Do you know that they're doing that?

11 A. I don't believe they are.

12 COMMISSIONER GAW: Okay. And I think the
13 line of questions I just asked probably could be
14 declassified, Judge, if that could be done later. I don't
15 think those are highly confidential.

16 JUDGE DIPPELL: I agree. We can show on
17 the record that we will declassify that part of the
18 transcript at least.

19 COMMISSIONER GAW: Thank you.

20 (REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point an
21 in-camera session was held, which is contained in
22 Volume 2, pages 36 through 67 of the transcript.)

23

24

25

0068

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: We're back in the public
2 session, and we're going to resume with questions for
3 Mr. McKinnie. You can stay there if you want,
4 Mr. McKinnie.

5 MR. MCKINNIE: Whatever makes you happy.

6 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: I may go back and
7 forth between Staff and CenturyTel, and hopefully this
8 won't be very long.

9 I just want to focus in on the Crane,
10 Seymour and Everton exchanges. And I guess I'll start
11 with -- I guess I'll start with Mr. McKinnie, and if you
12 don't -- maybe don't know the answer, then Mr. Martinez
13 can chime in. They're both still sworn?

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: That's correct.

15 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Never pass up an
16 opportunity when they're both sworn in and under oath.

17 Mr. McKinnie, would you explain to me the
18 agreement that exists between CenturyTel, I guess it would
19 be CenturyTel or Spectra in each of these exchanges that
20 permits the local dialing from a wireline to the wireless
21 carrier telephones, even though the number, the NXX may
22 not be within that exchange?

23 MR. MCKINNIE: If you're referring to the
24 reverse toll billing arrangement that we've just been
25 discussing, is that what you're referring to?

0069

1 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: I've been in and out
2 of the room. Frankly, I didn't know we were going to be
3 here this long today, so I've been working on many things.
4 So yes, I guess that's what I'm asking about.

5 MR. MCKINNIE: Okay. That's not a term
6 that I'm as familiar with as the -- as CenturyTel. So
7 with all due respect, I may pass on explaining exactly
8 what that term means.

9 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: All right.
10 Mr. Martinez or Larry Dority, whoever.

11 MR. DORITY: Just quickly, could you repeat
12 which exchanges you're referring to?

13 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Crane, Seymour and
14 Everton. I know Crane, there was a reference to an
15 interconnection agreement or some sort of connection that
16 would permit local dialing from a CenturyTel wireline
17 phone to a cellular phone and it would be considered rated
18 as a local call even though the NXX of that wireless phone
19 would be from another exchange. That's what I'm asking
20 about. Mr. McKinnie passed.

21 MR. MARTINEZ: Can I pass?

22 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Mr. Dandino, do you
23 know anything about this agreement?

24 MR. DANDINO: No, I do not.

25 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: While they're

0070

1 looking this up, Mr. Dandino, has OPC filed anything in
2 this case?

3 MR. DANDINO: No, we haven't, your Honor.

4 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: You're just here to
5 watch today?

6 MR. DANDINO: We're not supporting, we're
7 not objecting, given the status of the statute and what
8 we -- and the Commission's prior orders on what evidence
9 they would accept in a 30-day --

10 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: The Commission's
11 prior orders or opinions filed by Commissioners?

12 MR. DANDINO: No. The orders. Based on
13 those, we'll -- we'll pass.

14 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Okay. Do you want
15 to go?

16 MR. DANDINO: No.

17 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: I mean, if you're
18 not going to -- if you're not going to participate, don't
19 feel like you need to hang around here all day.

20 MR. DANDINO: No. Certainly, I'm glad to
21 be here.

22 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Aren't we all? Does
23 that buy you some time, Mr. Martinez?

24 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, Commissioner. Let me
25 try and answer your question this way. It probably won't

0071

1 be a complete answer because I'm not as familiar with the
2 arrangements in the interconnection agreement.

3 However, in Appendix B to Cingular's
4 response to Staff's inquiry in this case, Cingular
5 indicated in their response that Cingular's
6 interconnection agreement with Spectra and/or CenturyTel
7 to recognize Cingular NPA/NXXs that have a rate center
8 located in a Spectra exchange or EAS calling area, Spectra
9 must treat its customers' calls to such Cingular NPA/NXXs
10 as local traffic. Beyond that, Cingular's not in a
11 position to make representations as to the routing of the
12 call.

13 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: That would be
14 dialing from the wireless number, or would that be dialing
15 from the CenturyTel or Spectra wireline phone number?

16 MR. MARTINEZ: Calls from Spectra's
17 customers to such Cingular NPA/NXXs.

18 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: So that's in the
19 Everton and Mount Vernon exchanges?

20 MR. MARTINEZ: They -- I believe so, yes.

21 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Well, you made
22 reference to Spectra, so I assumed it was one of those.
23 So did they also say the same thing in the CenturyTel
24 exchanges?

25 I'm sorry. I thought you-all talked about

1 this. It wasn't supposed to be a surprise question.
2 Maybe I misunderstood some testimony by Mr. McKinnie about
3 the existence of some sort of local dialing option which
4 would, for me anyway, possibly modify my analysis
5 according to previous cases before the Commission.

6 MR. DORITY: Commissioner, I believe
7 Mr. Martinez in response to an inquiry from Commissioner
8 Gaw had indicated that that scenario was one possible
9 answer to the question as to, given what appears to be
10 conflicting information, how could one resolve that. I
11 think Mr. Martinez also indicated that he's not directly
12 familiar with any and all of our various interconnection
13 agreements that we may have with wireless carriers.

14 But we had looked to Cingular because
15 Cingular's affidavit expressly made that particular
16 reference to the Spectra exchanges. And then, of course,
17 for the Crane and Seymour exchanges, US Cellular's
18 affidavit itself again indicated that they certainly serve
19 two or more residential customers and have local calling
20 in that exchange.

21 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Okay. Thank you.
22 Mr. McKinnie, in Staff's analysis, did you-all look at the
23 existence or lack of existence of EAS routes or other
24 types of calling arrangements that would possibly enable a
25 wireline phone call to one of these wireless numbers

0073

1 outside the NXX as a local call?

2 MR. McKINNIE: Yes, I did.

3 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: And those are -- I
4 see none referenced on Exhibit 1HC. I hope that wasn't HC
5 to say that.

6 MR. McKINNIE: I do not believe it is.

7 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: So there's no
8 reference. So does that mean there are no existence of
9 those routes?

10 MR. McKINNIE: There are no routes in the
11 exchanges that otherwise do not have any local numbers, if
12 I may put it that way. Like, I believe Columbia may have
13 an EAS route, for example. I would have to go back and
14 check.

15 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: But Columbia we
16 wouldn't need it because they've already got local numbers
17 that are here.

18 So focusing on Crane, Seymour and Everton.

19 MR. McKINNIE: None of those have an EAS
20 route that affects the outcome of this discussion. I
21 prepared the EAS routes that are on our website, and I'm
22 familiar with that document.

23 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Okay. So the fact
24 that there are no EAS routes listed on Exhibit 1HC
25 accurately reflects that none exists?

0074

1 MR. McKINNIE: Yes. There's no -- I did
2 not prepare a column that investigated that possibility.
3 That was just kind of something I --

4 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: I just wanted to
5 make sure that the analysis was done, that someone looked
6 at that.

7 Okay. Mr. McKinnie, did Staff look at
8 whether Mediacom offers its residential service throughout
9 each of these subject exchanges? It's a yes or no in your
10 answer.

11 MR. McKINNIE: I guess I would say no.

12 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Did Staff look at
13 how the coverage areas for Mediacom cable compares with
14 the coverage area or footprint of CenturyTel or Spectra
15 compares in each of the subject exchanges?

16 MR. McKINNIE: I did not do it in the
17 context of this case; however, I have performed that
18 analysis in the past.

19 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Why would you have
20 performed it in the past?

21 MR. McKINNIE: When -- I'm just making sure
22 what I'm going to say is not highly confidential, and I
23 cannot think of any reason why it would be.

24 When Mediacom came in initially for their
25 certificate and initial application, I was the staff

0075

1 member assigned to that case. In order to make their
2 tariff as exact as possible, we had them list their
3 service area only in regions where they had a cable
4 franchise. I have a map of the -- I believe it's from the
5 Missouri Cable Telephony Association or whatever it is,
6 the MCTA, of where Mediacom's franchises were as of the
7 date of the map, and you can kind of, for lack of a better
8 word, eyeball where the exchanges are. I mean, I don't
9 have like an exact exchange overlay or whatever, but I can
10 tell you that I have done that in the past.

11 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Okay. Do you
12 believe that the two footprints are substantially similar
13 in each of these exchanges?

14 MR. McKINNIE: I would have to have the map
15 in front of me to make that -- to answer that question
16 accurately, and I would have to answer it on an exchange
17 by exchange basis. For the record, I do not have the map
18 in front of me.

19 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Mr. Martinez, the
20 appendix or the attachment to the Cingular affidavit that
21 you referenced earlier --

22 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: -- did you tell me
24 that there was one for both Spectra and for CenturyTel or
25 was there just one for Spectra?

1 MR. MARTINEZ: I believe it only references
2 Spectra. So If I did indicate CenturyTel, I may have -- I
3 misspoke.

4 MR. DORITY: If I may, Commissioner, the
5 Cingular affidavit, however, does have a matrix on
6 Appendix A which indicates that they are providing service
7 to at least two residential customers located within all
8 of those exchanges that are marked with the X.

9 COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: I don't think I have
10 any other questions. Thank you all very much.

11 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Appling, did
12 you have anything further?

13 COMMISSIONER APPLING: No questions.

14 JUDGE DIPPELL: Commissioner Gaw, did you
15 have anything further?

16 COMMISSIONER GAW: No.

17 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. McKinnie, I wanted to
18 ask you one question, and that is on your Exhibit 1, those
19 last three columns on there, can you tell me how current
20 that information is from those sources?

21 MR. MCKINNIE: I will do my best. The
22 column titled Type 1 wireless numbers, et cetera, that's
23 information that was provided to us by CenturyTel, and I
24 assume that is information -- I would have to go back and
25 check the e-mail that they actually sent to me, but I --

0077

1 the person who prepared it is 180 degrees behind me.

2 The NRUF data is data that is provided
3 twice a year. So that information may be a little bit
4 stale.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: And so when would this, the
6 data that you receive, the NRUF information, do you know
7 what the -- when that would have been provided last?

8 MR. McKINNIE: I would have to speak to the
9 individual who works with Neustar.

10 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Do you know what
11 time of year it's updated? You just know it's updated
12 twice a year?

13 MR. McKINNIE: According to the federal
14 statute, reporting carriers shall file forecast and
15 utilization reports semiannually on or before February 1st
16 for the period -- preceding reporting period ending on
17 December 31st and on or about August 30 -- or August 1st,
18 my apologies, for the preceding reporting period ending on
19 June 30th.

20 So I would assume that this is either
21 information that was filed February 1st, which was
22 accurate as of December 31st, 2005, but it's probably more
23 likely that the information was filed August 1st, 2005 for
24 the preceding reporting period ending on June 30th, 2005.

25 JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And then the LERG

0078

1 guide, the LERG?

2 MR. MCKINNIE: Again, that was information
3 provided to us by CenturyTel, and they may be better able
4 to speak to the timeliness of the information.

5 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Martinez or Mr. Dority,
6 do you know how current?

7 MR. DORITY: I do not.

8 JUDGE DIPPELL: The publication of the LERG
9 I assume is a public, I mean, like --

10 MR. DORITY: I'm not sure it is. I don't
11 think it is.

12 JUDGE DIPPELL: Not even when it's
13 published? Okay. All right. Were there any other
14 questions?

15 Did anyone want to make any closing remarks
16 or any -- all right. Seeing nothing further --
17 Mr. Dority?

18 MR. DORITY: I'll make --

19 JUDGE DIPPELL: You got in right under the
20 wire.

21 MR. DORITY: I will be very brief. I would
22 just simply ask the Commission to grant the competitive
23 classifications for the seven exchanges as filed. I think
24 the evidence that you've heard this morning clearly meets
25 the requirements of the statute.

1 And above and beyond that, the affidavits
2 that have been provided by the wireless carriers in
3 response to the very thorough examination by the
4 Commission Staff reflect that they clearly meet the
5 requirements of the statute.

6 And in addition, as I indicated previously,
7 US Cellular has filed its affidavit that not only are two
8 or more residential customers being served in all of those
9 CenturyTel exchanges, but that they also indicate that
10 CenturyTel wireline customers can place local calls to the
11 US Cellular customers. You have a similar affidavit from
12 T-Mobile regarding one of the Spectra exchanges.

13 The Staff Exhibit 1 itself, if you look
14 over on the left-hand column, indicates that there are a
15 plethora of wireless carriers that are providing services
16 to the exchanges at issue.

17 So we would respectfully ask the Commission
18 to please rule in favor of the relief requested by both
19 CenturyTel and Spectra in these matters. Thank you.

20 JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Dority, I still think
21 we have an outstanding request from Commissioner Gaw to
22 provide information about those interconnection
23 agreements. Do you think that you would be able to
24 provide that?

25 MR. DORITY: We will try to provide that as

0080

1 quickly as we can. I know time is of the essence. As I
2 understand the request, it's to get a reference to one of
3 the interconnection agreements with the wireless carriers
4 that contain that provision that Mr. Martinez referenced,
5 is that what we're --

6 JUDGE DIPPELL: That's correct, and
7 specifically reference to the Crane, Seymour and Everton
8 exchanges.

9 All right, then. Seeing nothing further,
10 this will conclude the hearing, and we can go off the
11 record. Thank you.

12 WHEREUPON, the hearing of this case was
13 concluded.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0081

1	EXHIBITS INDEX	MARKED	RECEIVED
2			
3	EXHIBIT NO. 1NP/1HC Wireless Carrier Information	3	13
4	EXHIBIT NO. 2 Map - Telephone Exchanges Recognized		
5	With Competitive Status	3	13
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			