

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City)
Power & Light Company for Approval to Make)
Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric) **File No. ER-2010-0355**
Service to Continue the Implementation of Its)
Regulatory Plan)

In the Matter of the Application of KCP&L)
Greater Missouri Operations Company for) **File No. ER-2010-0356**
Approval to Make Certain Changes in its)
Charges for Electric Service)

**KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY’S AND KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI
OPERATIONS COMPANY’S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

COMES NOW, Kansas City Power & Light Company (“KCP&L”) and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company (“GMO”) (collectively known as the “Company”) and for its opposition to the Missouri Retailers Association’s (“MRA”) Motion for Extension of Time states:

1. KCP&L and GMO filed the above-captioned cases on June 4, 2010. MRA intervened in both cases on July 13, 2010. MRA has had over three months to determine how it would address the Company’s direct testimony. MRA’s delay in hiring Vantage Consulting is not a valid reason for amending the Commission’s August 18, 2010 Procedural Schedule.

2. MRA states that Vantage acquired information from KCP&L that is subject to a Protective Order of the Kansas Corporation Commission (“KCC”) and has not yet secured authorization from the KCC to use this information. While this may be true, all of the data that Vantage used in its KCC report is available to Vantage in the Missouri proceedings. The Company has responded to Vantage’s data request and has also given Vantage access to the information provided to Vantage in the Kansas case through CaseWorks.

3. Because Vantage has access to all of the KCP&L data which it used in the Kansas rate case, the Company does not believe that KCC confidentiality issues prevent MRA from

preparing and filing its testimony on the deadline established in the Commission's Procedural Order. The Company should not be penalized for MRA failing to foresee any confidentiality issues with the KCC especially when there are no issues with access to Company data.

4. MRA states without support that its requested two-week delay will not unduly burden the Company. The Company will be prejudiced by having two weeks less to review Vantage's arguments and prepare rebuttal testimony. If MRA files the Vantage report on November 17, 2010, the Company will have only until December 8, 2010 to file its rebuttal testimony. This period of time is further reduced due to the Thanksgiving holiday. The Company will also be taking the depositions of MRA's witnesses and the two-week delay in filing will delay the Company in preparing to take these depositions. Therefore, MRA's statement that the two-week delay will not unduly burden the Company is not correct and the Commission should not allow MRA to delay the filing. If MRA's motion is granted, the Company should be allowed a special rebuttal filing date to address the MRA testimony with no corresponding delay for the surrebuttal filing date.

WHEREFORE, the Company requests that the Commission deny MRA's Motion for Extension of Time.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Roger W. Steiner

Roger W. Steiner, MBN 39586
Corporate Counsel
Kansas City Power & Light Company
1200 Main Street
Kansas City, MO 64105
Telephone: (816) 556-2314
Facsimile: (816) 556-2787
email: roger.steiner@kcpl.com

James M. Fischer, MBN 27543
Fischer & Dority, P.C.
101 Madison Street, Suite 400
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Telephone: (573) 636-6758
Facsimile: (573) 636-0383
email: jfischer@aol.com

**Attorneys for Kansas City Power & Light Company
and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been hand delivered, emailed, or mailed, postage prepaid, this 8th day of November, 2010, to all counsel of record.

/s/ Roger W. Steiner

Roger W. Steiner