Exhibit No.:

Issue: Short Term Incentive Compensation
Witness: Ronald A. Klote
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: KCP&L Greater Missouri

**Operations Company** 

Case No.: ER-2009-0090

Date Testimony Prepared: April 9, 2009

### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: ER-2009-0090

## SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

## RONALD A. KLOTE

### ON BEHALF OF

### KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri **April 2009** 

## SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

# OF

# RONALD A. KLOTE

## Case No. ER-2009-0090

1	Q.	Are you the same Ronald A. Klote who submitted Direct and Rebuttal Testimony in	
2		this case on behalf of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company ("GMO" or	
3		the "Company")?	
4	A.	Yes, I am.	
5	Q.	What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony?	
6	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the Rebuttal Testimony of Staff witness	
7		Keith Majors regarding his position on short term incentive compensation.	
8		<b>Short Term Incentive Compensation</b>	
9	Q.	What position on short term incentive compensation has Staff witness Keith Majors	
10		repeated in his Rebuttal Testimony?	
11	A.	Staff witness Keith Majors has proposed to disallow short term incentive compensation	
12		costs entirely on the basis that the short term incentive compensation costs in the GMO	
13		jurisdiction are based off the Aquila variable compensation program. Since the Aquila	
14		variable compensation program no longer exists, Staff has disallowed the entire short	
15		term incentive compensation costs from this rate case proceeding.	
16	Q.	Do you agree with Mr. Majors's position?	
17	A.	I agree only in part with Mr. Majors that the Aquila variable compensation program no	
18		longer exists, but short term incentive compensation costs are still a part of GMO's cost	

- of service. As such, I disagree that the entire amount of short term incentive compensation costs should be removed from the cost of service in this rate case proceeding.
- 4 Q. Why do you disagree with Staff's adjustment to eliminate short term incentive compensation?
- 6 On page 5 of Staff witness Majors's Rebuttal Testimony, Mr. Majors acknowledges that A. 7 previous Aquila employees are covered under KCP&L's short term incentive program. 8 In fact, he goes on to say the following, "If short term incentive compensation is included 9 in GMO's cost of service, it should be calculated under KCP[&]L plans and the same 10 allocation basis Staff used for payroll will be used to allocate these costs between 11 KCP[&]L and GMO entities." On a going forward basis, the payroll and short term 12 incentive compensation costs for the GMO jurisdictions are comprised of the assignment 13 and allocation of KCP&L employee costs. As such, short term incentive costs are 14 incurred by the GMO jurisdiction even though the Aquila variable compensation program 15 no longer exists. I agree with Mr. Majors that the Aquila variable compensation program 16 no longer exists and should not be the basis for calculating going forward short term 17 incentive compensation costs. Yet, I believe his position has completely ignored the fact 18 that short term incentive compensation is still a part of an employee's overall 19 compensation package and is a cost the GMO jurisdictions will incur on a going forward 20 basis.
- Q. Has the issue of short term incentive compensation been addressed in previoustestimony?

- 1 A. Yes. On page 2 of Company witness Barbara Curry's Rebuttal Testimony, short term
  2 incentive compensation is explained. Ms. Curry explains the background and purpose of
  3 the short term incentive compensation component of an employee's compensation
  4 structure.
- Q. What level of short term incentive compensation expense should the GMO
   jurisdictions be allowed to include in this rate case proceeding?
- 7 A. The amount that should be included in this rate case proceeding should be based on the 8 KCP&L incentive compensation program and averaged over a 3-year period. 9 averaging over a 3-year period, the calculation will reflect a more normalized level of 10 expense to be included in cost of service. In fact, Mr. Majors appears to indicate he could 11 support this notion in his Rebuttal Testimony on page 5 when he states that if short term 12 incentive compensation costs are included in this rate case proceeding then they should 13 be calculated using KCP&L incentive compensation plans. On page 13, lines 6 - 19 of 14 my Rebuttal Testimony, I discuss how the short term incentive compensation costs 15 should be calculated and what amount should be included in this rate case proceeding.
- Q. Does this amount differ from the amount of short term incentive compensation thatwas included in your direct filing?
- 18 A. Yes it does. As I have stated previously, I agree with Mr. Majors that the Aquila variable
  19 compensation does not exist and should not be the basis for calculating going forward
  20 short term incentive compensation costs as it was presented in the direct filing. But, I do
  21 not agree with his position that the going forward cost level is \$0. This ignores a cost
  22 component of an employee's compensation package, which is an ongoing cost of the
  23 Company. As such, the KCP&L compensation plans should be used as the basis for

- 1 calculating the short term incentive compensation costs to be included in the GMO
- 2 jurisdictional cost of service. I have explained this calculation in my Rebuttal Testimony
- 3 on page 13.
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?
- 5 A. Yes it does.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company to Modify Its Electric Tariffs to Effectuate a Rate Increase	) Case No. ER-2009-0090		
AFFIDAVIT OF RONALD A. KLOTE			
STATE OF MISSOURI )			
COUNTY OF JACKSON )			
Ronald A. Klote, being first duly sworn on his	oath, states:		
1. My name is Ronald A. Klote. I work in	n Kansas City, Missouri, and I am		
employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company as Senior Manager, Regulatory Accounting.			
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof	for all purposes is my Surrebuttal		
Testimony on behalf of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company consisting of			
$\frac{\int_{\alpha} (4)}{(4)}$ pages, having been prepared in writt	en form for introduction into evidence in		
the above-captioned docket.			
3. I have knowledge of the matters set for	th therein. I hereby swear and affirm that		
my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including			
any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and			
	4 a Kll		
Ronald A			
Subscribed and sworn before me this 9 <sup>th</sup> day of April 2  Notary Po	a M. Wey		
My commission expires: Fub 4 2011	"NOTARY SEAL" Nicole A. Wehry, Notary Public Jackson County, State of Missouri My Commission Expires 2/4/2011 Commission Number 07391200		