Exhibit No.:

Issue: Systems features and

capacity

Compliance with regulatory and engineering standards

Witness: David G. Krehbiel

Sponsoring Party: Big Island Water & Sewer

Company, Inc.

Case No.: Case No. WO-2007-0277

Joined for hearing with Case No. WC-2006-0082

BIG ISLAND WATER & SEWER COMPANY, INC.

Case No. WO-2007-0277 **Joined for hearing with** Case No. WC-2006-0082

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

DAVID G. KREHBIEL

Camdenton, Missouri February, 2007

DAVID	KREHRIFI	DIRECT

`	DACKODOLIND
,	BACKGROUND
_	DACKOROUND

- 3 Q. Please state you name and your business address.
- 4 A. My name is David G. Krehbiel and my business address is 63 Blair Ave.,
- 5 Camdenton, MO 65020.

6

1

- 7 Q. By whom are you employed and what is your position?
- 8 A. I am employed by Krehbiel Engineering, Inc. as a Consulting Engineer.

9

- 10 Q. Please describe your education, professional credentials and previous work
- 11 **experience.**
- 12 A. I obtained a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from the University
- of Missouri, Columbia in 1961, and returned to that institution to earn my Masters
- of Science in the same field in 1964. From 1961 to 1964 I worked for Krehbiel
- 15 Construction Company, Inc. as an Engineer and Corporate Secretary. From 1965
- to 1969 I acted as President of the Missouri Engineering Corporation. From 1969
- to the present I have been employed by Krehbiel Engineering, Inc. of Camdenton
- either in management capacities or as an engineer with the group. I am licensed
- by the State of Missouri as a Professional Engineer and Professional Land
- Surveyor.

21

22 Q. For whom are you testifying in this proceeding?

Direct Testimony David Krehbiel February 13, 2007 Page 2 of 8

1 A. Folsom Ridge LLC and the Big Island Homeowners Water and Sewer
2 Association, Inc. (the Association)

3

- 4 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- I will be covering several topics in my testimony. First, I will describe for the
 Commission the role of my engineering firm in the design of the water and sewer
 systems serving Big Island at the Lake of the Ozarks. I will explain the features
 of each system and the layout of each at this time. I will also advise the
 Commission of the expected additions and improvements to the system that are
 either planned or underway at this time.

11

12

13

DESIGN AND FEATURES OF THE WATER AND SEWER SYSTEMS.

14

- 15 Q. Mr. Krehbiel, when was your firm retained by Folsom Ridge LLC in 16 connection with the water and sewer systems for the Island.
- 17 A. We submitted a letter of engagement for our services to Folsom Ridge on
 18 February 19, 2004 to provide consulting engineering services regarding the
 19 separation of the water distribution lines and sewer collection lines. Ms. Barb
 20 Brunk is expected to testify about the circumstances involving this event but
 21 basically, Folsom Ridge was required to abandon an existing water line that had
 22 been installed too closely to a wastewater collection line. Our firm was hired at
 23 the time the replacement line was under consideration. Krehbiel Engineering has

1 also been involved in the design and construction of extensions and improvements

2 to the systems.

3

4

Q. Please explain the design and components of the water system.

The water system is comprised of the following components: a water supply well, three (3) ground storage tanks, a booster pumping system and distribution system. The well has an estimated capacity of 140 gpm. This is adequate to serve 320 residential customers. The pumping equipment delivers a flow of approximately 100 gpm, and will have to be upgraded to supply 140 gpm. The ground storage tanks were designed to serve 80 residential customers. They are in the process of being replaced with a standpipe designed to serve 320 residential customers. The

distribution system is adequately sized to serve 320 residential customers.

13

14

12

Q. Please explain the design and components of the sewer system.

15 A. The sewer system is comprised of a septic tank effluent pumping (STEP) 16 collection system and a recirculating sand filter treatment facility. Wastewater 17 from each home is treated at each individual home with a septic tank. The gray 18 water is pumped from the septic tanks through small diameter pipes to the 19 recirculating sand filter where the water is treated to meet Missouri Department of 20 Natural Resources (DNR) discharge limits. The original treatment facility was 21 designed to treat 22,525 gallons per day. The addition currently under 22 construction will provide for treatment of an additional flow of 41,625 gallons per 23 day.

23

1		
2	Q.	Have there been any improvements or additions to the systems since they
3		were first constructed and installed. Please describe them for the
4		Commission and the reasons for each.
5	A.	For reference purposes, the water system projects for Big Island have been
6		categorized in the following Phases:
7		
8		Phase I – Original system – supply – storage – distribution system – East side
9		Phase II – Completion of distribution system loop – West side
10		Phase III – Off island extension
11		Phase IV – First section of duplexes and triplexes
12		Phase V – Storage upgrade
13		
14		Between Phases II and III the project to relocate the waterline to establish a 10
15		feet separation between the water and sewer line intervened. As I said earlier,
16		Krehbiel Engineering was the engineer for the separation project and also for
17		Phases IV and V.
18		
19		Krehbiel Engineering was the consultant for the off island sewer line extension,
20		the upgrade of the wastewater treatment facility and the sewer line extension to
21		serve the first section of duplexes and triplexes.
22		

The water and sewer line extensions were to serve additional customers.

Direct Testimony David Krehbiel February 13, 2007 Page 5 of 8

1		
2		The wastewater treatment facility and water storage upgrade are to provide for
3		additional capacity for each system.
4		
5	Q.	Did you coordinate the design and permitting of these improvements with
6		DNR.
7	A.	Yes, I did.
8		
9	Q.	Did your firm inspect the installation of the improvements to the systems?
10	A.	Our firm provided observation services for the relocation of the waterline in
11		accordance with a settlement agreement reached between Folsom Ridge and
12		DNR, and the extension of water and sewer lines and the upgrade to the
13		wastewater treatment facility.
14		
15	Q.	Have the improvements been inspected by DNR and have any improvements
16		been rejected by DNR.
17	A.	To the best of my knowledge, the improvements have been inspected by DNR and
18		no improvements have been rejected by DNR.
19		
20	Q.	Mr. Ben Pugh has raised in his complaint concerns about the relocated water
21		main and its position below a sewer main on an incline. Does the location of
22		the sewer main pose a risk of contamination of the water supply?

19

20

21

22

23

1	A.	No, there is no risk to public health because of the location of these lines. The
2		relocation of the water main is in full compliance with the regulations of DNR
3		and otherwise in accord with applicable engineering standards. The required
4		separation of the water line and the sewer line has been achieved. To accept what
5		seems to be Mr. Pugh's logic, that no water line should be installed below a sewer
6		line, is simply not practical in the field,where topography, soil or rock
7		conditions must be consideredand both DNR and professional engineers
8		understand this.
9		
10	Q.	Mr. Pugh has also raised a concern that the minimum required distance
1011	Q.	Mr. Pugh has also raised a concern that the minimum required distance between the public water supply and the wastewater treatment plant for
	Q.	•
11	Q.	between the public water supply and the wastewater treatment plant for
11 12	Q.	between the public water supply and the wastewater treatment plant for these two systems has not been met. He claims that the Big Island facilities
111213	Q.	between the public water supply and the wastewater treatment plant for these two systems has not been met. He claims that the Big Island facilities are not in compliance with applicable regulations. Were the Big Island well
11 12 13 14	Q.	between the public water supply and the wastewater treatment plant for these two systems has not been met. He claims that the Big Island facilities are not in compliance with applicable regulations. Were the Big Island well and the wastewater treatment plant designed, constructed and separated in
11 12 13 14 15		between the public water supply and the wastewater treatment plant for these two systems has not been met. He claims that the Big Island facilities are not in compliance with applicable regulations. Were the Big Island well and the wastewater treatment plant designed, constructed and separated in accordance with regulation?

Wastewater treatment facilities shall not be located within one hundred feet (100'), and preferably three hundred feet (300') of any well or water supply structure.

applicable DNR regulation 10 CSR 20-8.020 (11) (A) 3 provided:

1 To the best of my knowledge, this regulation is still in effect. I have attached a 2 copy to my testimony as Krehbiel Schedule 1. The well and the wastewater 3 treatment system on Big Island are separated by more than 100 feet. In fact, the 4 well structure and the discharge point for the treatment plant effluent are 5 separated by more than 300 feet. The facilities are in compliance with the DNR 6 Design Guide and again, are otherwise compliant with applicable engineering 7 standards.

8

9

10

11

- Q. Have the water and sewer systems subject to transfer in this case been inspected by Big Island Water Company, Inc. and Big Island Sewer Company, Inc. (the 393 Companies)?
- 12 A. Yes, a walk through and inspection of the wastewater treatment plant, the well 13 and pumping facilities, and the mains, valves and other equipment for each 14 system was conducted on January 10, 2007. I joined Ms. Pam Holstead and Mr. 15 Gail Snyder representing the 393 Companies, Mr. Jim Crowder who works with 16 Folsom Ridge, Mr. Chad Stout and Mr. Jim Heppler of LOWS, who is the current 17 operator of the systems, and Kenny Carroll, the installation contractor for these 18 systems for the walk through and inspection.

19

21

- 20 Q. As a result of that walk through and inspection were any actions taken with respect to preparing the systems for transfer.
- 22 A. There were none that required my services. I understand that LOWS may have 23 performed some minor repairs or improvements that were requested by Ms.

Direct Testimony David Krehbiel February 13, 2007 Page 8 of 8

- 1 Holstead and the others from the 393 Companies. Mr. McDuffey will address this
- 2 in his separate testimony.

3

- 4 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 5 A. Yes.