

Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE Chair

HAROLD CRUMPTON

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.ecodev.state.mo.us/psc/

December 23, 1999

GORDON L. PERSINGER
Acting Executive Director
Director, Research and Public Affairs

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

FILED³

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Missouri Public Service Commission

DEC 2 3 1999

RE: Case No. WR-2000-281

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and fourteen (14) conformed copies of a MOTION FOR LEAVE TO LATE-FILE PROPOSED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Keith R. Krueger Deputy General Counsel

(573) 751-4140

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

KRK/mm Enclosure

cc: Counsel of Record

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



In the Matter of Missouri-American Water)	Service Commission
Company's Tariff Sheets Designed to))) Case No. WR-2000-281
Implement General Rate Increases for)	
Water and Sewer Service Provided to)	
Customers in the Missouri Area of the)	
Company.)	

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO LATE-FILE PROPOSED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff"), and for its Motion for Leave to Late-File Proposed Procedural Schedule, states to the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as follows:

- 1. On September 1, 1998, the Commission issued its Suspension Order and Notice and Order Consolidating Cases, in which it ordered the parties to file a proposed procedural schedule on or before December 21, 1999. The said order further provided that Staff would have primary responsibility for preparing and filing the proposed procedural schedule.
- 2. The parties attended an early prehearing conference on December 14, 1999, as ordered by the Commission, and met for more than two hours to discuss issues the procedural schedule for this case. The parties reached tentative agreement on most of the issues surrounding the procedural schedule. However some issues remained, and the parties diligently continued to discuss the proposed procedural schedule for the next nine days. The parties finally reached agreement on all terms of the proposed procedural schedule on December 23, 1999.
- 3. The Staff was unable to complete preparation of a proposed procedural schedule on time, in part because of a temporary shortage of support staff to perform the work. The

55

Staff's secretarial support staff consists of five employees. On December 21, 1999, the date that the proposed procedural schedule was due, one of those employees was on annual leave, two were out sick, and one had a medical appointment that kept her out of the office all afternoon. This left only one employee, who was not able to prepare a proposed procedural schedule in addition to all of her other duties.

- 4. Furthermore, as of December 21, 1999, the parties had not yet reached agreement on the terms of the proposed procedural schedule. Staff's counsel did draft a non-unanimous proposal for filing on December 21, 1999. However, because of the disagreement among the parties at that time, if it had been filed, it would have resulted in the filing of multiple proposals, causing unnecessary delays and wasting resources.
- 5. The parties have now reached unanimous agreement on the terms of a Proposed Procedural Schedule, which is being offered for filing contemporaneously herewith. Acceptance of this late-filed Proposed Procedural Schedule will result in a more efficient processing of this case, on terms that are satisfactory to all parties.

WHEREFORE, the Staff respectfully requests that the Commission grant leave to file the Proposed Procedural Schedule after the filing deadline.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Keith & Krueger

Deputy General Counsel Missouri Bar No. 23857

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-4140 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 23rd day of December 1999.

Service List for Case No. WR-2000-281 December 23, 1999

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Chuck D. Brown City Attorney 303 East Third St., P.O. Box 1355 Joplin, MO 64802-1355

James Fischer Attorney at Law 101 West McCarty Street, Suite 215 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Joseph W. Moreland Blake & Uhlig, P.A. 2500 Holmes Rd. Kansas City, MO 64108

Louis J. Leonatti Leonatti & Baker P.O. Box 758 Mexico, MO 65265 W. R. England, III Brydon, Swearengen & England P.C. P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456

Stuart Conrad Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson, L.C. 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209 Kansas City, MO 64111

Leland B. Curtis Curtis, Oetting, Heinz, Garrett & Soule, P.C. 130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200 St. Louis, MO 63105

Charles Brent Stewart Stewart & Keevil, L.L.C. 1001 Cherry Street, Suite 302 Columbia, MO 65201