

2345 GRAND BOULEVARD SUTTE 2500 KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64108-2684 816-292-2000, FAX 816-292-2001 1050/40 Corporate Woods 9401 Indian Creek Parkway Overland Park, Kansas 66210-2007 816-292-2000, Fax 913-451-0875

December 23, 1998

Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

FILE DEC 2 3 1998 Missouri Public Service Commission

Re: Case No. TO-99-227

Dear Judge Roberts:

Attached for filing with the Commission is the original and fifteen (15) copies of AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc., TCG St. Louis, Inc. an AT&T Company (hereafter collectively "AT&T"), Sprint Communications Company LL.P.'s ("Sprint") and MCI Telecommunications Corporation's, a MCI WorldCom Company ("MCI") Motion To Modify Procedural Schedule in the above referenced case.

Please call me on 635-1320 if you have any questions. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Paul S. De Jar (Kd)

Paul S. DeFord AT&T

Enc.

cc: All Parties of Record

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone)Company to Provide Notice of Intent to File an)Application for Authorization to Provide In-Region)InterLATA Services Originating in Missouri)Pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommunications)Act of 1996)

RI Service Commission Case No. TO 99-227

FILED

## MOTION TO MODIFY PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

COMES NOW AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHWEST, INC., TCG ST. LOUIS, INC., an AT&T company, TCG KANSAS CITY, INC., an AT&T Company, (hereafter collectively "AT&T"), SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS, LL.P., ("Sprint") and MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, a MCI WorldCom Company ("MCI") and files this Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule and in support whereof would show as follows:

1. On the afternoon of November 20, 1998, SWBT filed a draft of its application for interLATA authority with the MoPSC. SWBT did not file the draft application in the previously established docket, Case No. TO-97-56, nor did it provide notice of its filing to the parties in Case No. TO-97-56 as required by the MoPSC's September 25, 1997 Order. SWBT's tactics effectively delayed interested parties' opportunity to review SWBT's filing.

2. On December 9, 1998, the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC) entered an order establishing a procedural schedule. Pursuant to that Order, the MoPSC established a January 7, 1999 date for filing rebuttal testimony, and a January 15, 1999

date for filing surrebuttal testimony. Hearings were set for the weeks of February 1-5 and 8-11, 1999.

3. On December 15, 1998, Office of the Public Counsel filed a Motion to Modify the Procedural Schedule. Public Counsel cited the importance of this case and the technical nature of the issues involved in urging the MoPSC to modify its procedural schedule to assure that the parties had adequate time to prepare a full and complete evidentiary record, after allowing sufficient time for discovery and for analysis of SWBT's November 20 "filing." Public Counsel expressed special concerns regarding the early date established for the filing of rebuttal testimony.

4. AT&T, MCI and Sprint share in the concerns raised by Public Counsel in its Motion to Modify. The Commission's Procedural Schedule allows intervenors less than 30 calendar days, and only 18 business days, to prepare a response. Fully half of that time is over the Christmas and New Year's holidays. SWBT had unlimited time to prepare its direct testimony, and timed its filing to maximum effect so that intervenors and the Commission would be forced to try and round up witnesses and prepare testimony over the holidays.

5. Given the 120-day advance notice period, which both Staff and Public Counsel correctly note is not binding on this Commission, there simply is no need for the rush contemplated by the Commission's schedule. Accordingly, AT&T, MCI and Sprint support the Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule filed by Public Counsel. However, AT&T, MCI and Sprint recognize that the Commission might wish to try and conclude this proceeding within the 120-day window. The Commission could allow intervenors sufficient time to prepare rebuttal testimony, and still comply with the 120-day advance notice period previously ordered in Case No. TO-97-56. AT&T, MCI and Sprint suggest

that such a procedural schedule could comply with the following deadlines:

December 2, 1998 (120 days prior to SWBT filing an application with the FCC)	SWBT deemed to have provided notice to all parties in Case No.
December 9, 1998 (113 days prior to	Discovery may begin and depositions may
SWBT filing an application with the FCC)	be scheduled. Each party, except Staff,
	shall be limited to 50 data requests on
	SWBT or Staff. SWBT may file data
	requests on the other parties under the same
	limitation. All discovery shall be due
	within 10 business days of receipt. The last
	day to submit data requests will be
	February 1, 1998.
January 25, 1998 (66 days prior to SWBT	Parties may file rebuttal testimony and/or
filing an application with the FCC)	written comments regarding SWBT's
	Section 271 filing and direct testimony
February 1, 1998 (59 days prior to SWBT	Participants exchange witness lists
filing an application with the FCC)	
February 8, 1998 (52 days prior to SWBT	Last day for depositions.
filing an application with the FCC)	
February 15, 1998 (45 days prior to SWBT	Pre-hearing conference
filing an application with the FCC)	
February 22, 1998 (38 days prior to SWBT	Evidentiary hearing before Missouri Public
filing an application with the FCC)	Service Commission
March 8, 1998 (24 days prior to SWBT	Parties may file post-hearing briefs
filing an application with the FCC)	
March 31, 1998 (1 day prior to SWBT	Commission order issued.
filing an application with the FCC)	
April 1, 1998	On or within 30 days after this date SWBT
	may file with FCC.

AT&T, MCI & Sprint also suggest that a two-week hearing simply will not be necessary if the hearing is held in panel format as contemplated by the Commission.

6. AT&T, MCI and Sprint's proposed procedural schedule does not include

dates for SWBT to file sur-rebuttal and for intervenors to file reply testimony. AT&T,

MCI and Sprint urge the Commission either to limit pre-filed testimony to the two rounds

originally contemplated (SWBT direct and Intervenor rebuttal) or that any opportunity provided for SWBT to file sur-rebuttal be joined with an opportunity for Intervenors to file reply testimony. In those jurisdictions where SWBT has been allowed to submit surrebuttal testimony without an opportunity for Intervenors to reply, SWBT's has included in its sur-rebuttal testimony volumes of materials that should have been included in direct testimony. The consequence of allowing the round of SWBT sur-rebuttal without an opportunity to reply is that the record is underdeveloped on matters that could have been addressed by Intervenors if the matters had been included in SWBT direct testimony.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, AT&T, MCI and Sprint respectfully request that the Commission enter an Order granting the Motion to Modify Procedural

Respectfully submitted,

LATHROP & GAGE

Xledor L (KI)

Paul S. DeFord #29509 Lathrop & Gage 2345 Grand Boulevard Suite 2500 Kansas City, MO 64108-2684

Michelle Bourianoff AT&T, Senior Attorney 919 Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78701 CURTIS, OETTING, HEINZ GARRETT & SOULE, PC

unley (Kd) Carl J. Lumley #32869

Leland B. Curtis #20550 130 S. Bemiston, Ste. 200 Clayton, MO 63105

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS CO. L.P.

odsmill (Kd)

David Woodsmall #40747 Kenneth A. Schifman #42287 8140 Ward Parkway, 5E Kansas City, MO 64114

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsels of record as shown on the attached service list this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 1998.

A. De Jar Ockd)

Paul S. DeFord

Richard Brownlee PO Box 1069 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Linda Gardner UTC 5454 W. 110<sup>th</sup> St. Overland Park, KS 66211

Ken Schifman Sprint 8140 Ward Parkway Kansas City, MO 64114 Craig Johnson Andereck, Evans, Milne, Peace & Baumhoer PO Box 1438 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Mary Comley Newman, Comley & Ruth 205 E. Capitol Ave. PO Box 537 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Rick Zucker GTE GTE Service Corp. 225 Madison, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Jefferson City, MO 65101

Office of Public Counsel PO Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Mary Ann Young PO Box 104595 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Paul Lane, Diana harter, Leo BubSWB100 N. Tucker Blvd., Rm. 630St. Louis, MO 63101-1976

W. R. England, III Brydon, Swearengen & England 312 E. Capitol, PO Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456