Exhibit No.:

Issues: Tariff

Witness: Carol Gay Fred Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff *Type of Exhibit:* Rebuttal Testimony GT-2008-0374

Case No.:

Date Testimony Prepared: November 14, 2008

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **GENERAL COUNSEL DIVISION**

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CAROL GAY FRED

LACLEDE GAS COMPANY

CASE NO. GT-2008-0374

Jefferson City, Missouri November 2008

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3	CAROL GAY FRED
4	CASE NO. GT-2008-0374
5	
6	BACKGROUND OF WITNESS1
7	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY2
8	TARIFF PROPOSAL BACKGROUND3
9	TARIFF LANGUAGE3
10	LACLEDE'S PROPOSED TARIFF LANGUAGE5

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2		\mathbf{OF}	
3		CAROL GAY FRED	
4		LACLEDE GAS COMPANY	
5		CASE NO. GT-2008-0374	
6			
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address	
8	A.	My name is Carol Gay Fred, and my business address is 200 Madison Street,	
9	Suite 800, Jefferson City, MO 65101.		
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
11	A.	I am the Consumer Services Manager for the Missouri Public Service	
12	Commission (Commission or MoPSC).		
13	BACKGRO	OUND OF WITNESS	
14	Q.	Please describe your education and other qualifications.	
15	A.	I graduated from Almeda University, at Wauconda, IL in May of 2002, with a	
16	Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration. I also received my Certification in		
17	Project Management from Boston University, at Boston, MA in December 1999.		
18	Q	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?	
19	A.	Yes. I have filed testimony before the Commission on several occasions in my	
20	previous capacity as a Rate and Tariff Examiner II in the Telecommunications Departmen		
21	under the Operations Division of the Commission and in my current capacity as Manager of		
22	Consumer Services.		

Q. What knowledge, skill, experience, training or education do you have in regulatory matters?

A. I have acquired general knowledge of these topics through my experience and analyses in prior rate, complaint, merger, rulemaking and working group cases before this Commission. I have also acquired knowledge of these topics through review of Staff workpapers for prior complaint cases brought before this Commission. I have reviewed prior Commission decisions with regard to these areas. In addition, since commencing employment with the Commission in January, 1987, I have attended various in-house training seminars and NARUC training seminars and conferences. I am an active member of the NARUC Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs. I have also participated in and supervised the work on a number of informal and formal complaint proceedings. As the Manager of Consumer Services Department, I supervise and provide instructions to Consumer Specialist Is and IIs and Consumer Service Coordinators on similar matters within the General Counsel Division.

In addition, prior to my employment with the Commission I was employed by United Telephone Company, now known as Embarq, from 1977 to 1987, as an internal consultant with primary functions that consisted of call center supervision, credit and collections, outside plant compliance audits, cable assignment specialist and central office switch conversion assistant.

PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

- Q. What is the primary purpose of your testimony?
- A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss Laclede Gas Company's (Laclede's or Company's) tariff proposal to add tariff language that would address gas meters that have stopped or fail to register or provide actual readings of a consumer's gas usage.

TARIFF PROPOSAL BACKGROUND

Q. Are you familiar with the discussion that Laclede has been having with Staff and the Office of Public Counsel (OPC) regarding the terms of the proposed tariff for the past several months?

A. Yes. In fact, on April 25, 2008, Staff reported in <u>Staff's Reply to Public Counsel's Second Response</u>, Staff and OPC had met with Laclede and worked to develop tariff language to better define the company's procedures for handling estimated bills when meters stop registering usage. When meters stop registering, customer usage is estimated until a meter or AMR is replaced. The parties were not able to agree on the appropriate procedures or tariff language.

As directed by the Commission, Laclede submitted a tariff filing on May 19, 2008 to clarify the procedures that the Company will follow in responding to a meter that has stopped or failed to register or provide actual meter readings. This case was created on May 28, 2008, when OPC filed its motion to suspend the tariff filing and requested an evidentiary hearing on this matter.

TARIFF LANGUAGE

- Q. Do you agree with Laclede's assessment that Staff, OPC and Laclede have been discussing for approximately six (6) months the terms of this tariff which would memorialize the service obligations of the Company when a gas meter has stopped or failed to register?
- A. Yes. As stated by Laclede's witness Paul Wildeisen, Laclede's existing tariff simply provides for the Company to estimate the customer's usage during the period of a meter failure but fails to set parameters to ensure proper notice and timely handling by the Company on a stopped meter situation which could result in an estimated bill for the customer.

1	Q. Does Staff believe that there should be measures established by Laclede that		
2	would outline the Company's processes and timeline for handling stopped meters?		
3	A. Yes.		
4	Q. Will this type of tariff language be the first of its kind addressing stopped		
5	meters?		
6	A. No. AmerenUE's tariff PSC Mo. No. 2 Original Sheet No. 57.1 has had		
7	language in place since January 14, 2002, which addresses non-registering meters. However,		
8	AmerenUE has few if any inside meters that would warrant the specific measures contained in		
9	Laclede's proposed tariff language.		
10	Q. Have there been a number of Laclede consumer complaints regarding stopped		
11	meters?		
12	A. Since November 1, 2007 to October 31, 2008, the Consumer Services		
13	Department has received approximately 125 consumer complaints regarding estimated bills,		
14	defective meter, AMR installation/repair or complaints regarding a re-bill and approximately		
15	80 of those complaints dealt with unmetered gas/stopped meters.		
16	Q. Does staff believe that this is significant?		
17	A. While it may appear to be significant to the consumer whose meter has		
18	malfunctioned and who receives an unmetered gas bill, the Staff does not believe the numbers		
19	are significant considering that Laclede has approximately 630,000 meters in place. Of a total		
20	population of nearly 630,000 meters, the failure of only 80 meters is a very low percentage of		
21	the total number of meters.		

LACLEDE'S PROPOSED TARIFF LANGUAGE

Q. Do you believe that the proposed tariff language submitted by Laclede is necessary and if so, is the proposed tariff language sufficient?

A. Yes, I believe the proposed tariff language is necessary. Laclede is dealing with a unique situation whereby they have approximately one-half of their gas meters located inside the consumer's premises. This situation prohibits the Company from ease of access to the meter for conducting normal maintenance and for troubleshooting problems and abnormal circumstances that may arise from a stopped inside meter or a faulty AMR.

Staff believes that Laclede's proposed tariff language clearly addresses and clarifies the Company's protocol for stopped meters, meter or AMR failures, and the Company's methodology used when it issues an estimated bill for the period of meter malfunction. The language also clearly spells out the notices the Company is required to send to the consumer, the time parameters the company must act within to identify the problem, and the appointment times available to the consumer for allowing the Company to correct the matter. In addition, the company has also included an explanation of the parameters the company must follow prior to the discontinuance of service should the consumer refuse to grant the company access to resolve the matter.

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company's Tariff Filing to Allow Estimated Billing Whenever an Automatic Meter Reader Fails to Send Readings of Actual Usage.) <u>Case No. GT-2008-0374</u>) Tariff No. YG-2008-0690)				
AFFIDAVIT OF CAROL GAY FRED					
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)					
of the following Rebuttal Testimony in que Rebuttal Testimony to be presented in the a	states: that she has participated in the preparation stion and answer form, consisting of _5_ pages of above case, that the answers in the following she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such the best of her knowledge and belief. Carol Gay Fred				
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of November, 2008.				
My-commission expires 9-33-3	Notary Public				
	ROSEMARY R. ROBINSON Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Callaway County My Commission Expires: September 23, 2012 Commission Number: 08499510				