BRUCE H. BECKETT WILLIAM JAY POWELL JOHN L. ROARK COLLY J. DURLEY JAMES B. LOWERY MICHAEL R. TRIPP

OF COUNSEL ROBERT C. SMITH RAYMOND C. LEWIS, JR. (1926-2004)

#### SMITH LEWIS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

P.O. BOX 918 COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65205-0918 ... CITY CENTRE 111 SOUTH NINTH STREET, SUITE 200 COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65201-4891 (573) 443-3141 • Fax (573) 442-6686

April 4, 2008

Ms. Colleen Dale Secretary and Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Re: Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE (AmerenUE), Case No. ER-2008-\_\_\_\_

Dear Ms. Dale:

Filed concurrently with this letter is a cover letter from AmerenUE's Sr. Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary Steven R. Sullivan, with which is included certain tariff sheets consisting of electric rate schedules designed to increase AmerenUE's gross annual electric revenues by approximately \$251,000,000, exclusive of applicable gross receipts, sales, franchise or occupational fees or taxes. The rate schedules bear a date of issue of April 4, 2008, and an effective date of May 4, 2008.

The test year employed by the Company is the 12 months ending March 31, 2008, using 9 months of actual data and 3 months of forecasted data, as well as certain pro-forma adjustments to include known and measurable items through June 30, 2008. The Company intends to file Supplemental Direct Testimony to the extent needed to take into account actual results for the last three months of the test year (the first quarter of 2008). The Company also intends to request that the test year be updated to June 30, 2008, and that the case be trued-up for certain cost and revenue items through September 30, 2008, as discussed in the direct testimony of AmerenUE witness Gary S. Weiss.

The rate increase sought by this filing is driven by several factors. These include escalating fuel and purchased power costs, including substantial coal transportation cost increases; increased operation and maintenance expenses, including the cost of compliance with the Commission's new vegetation management and infrastructure rules; higher depreciation expense, including for large capital expenditures on property, plant and equipment, much of which is directed toward continued improvement in the reliability of the Company's energy delivery systems; increasing employee medical costs; and other costs associated with providing safe and reliable electric service to AmerenUE's approximately 1.2 million electric customers in Missouri.

Also included herein or in Schedules to this Letter is the information required by 4 CSR 240-3.030(3)(B), as follows:

PHEBE LA MAR SARAH E. GIBONEY AMANDA ALLEN MILLER SPENCER L. THROSSELL

PARALEGAL CHERYL L. LOBB <u>NURSE CONSULTANT</u> ANNETTE THORNHILL, PhD, RN Ms. Colleen Dale April 4, 2008 Page 2

- **<u>3(B)1.</u>** The aggregate amount of annual revenue which would result from the application of the rates proposed in the attached rate schedules would be \$250,806,242 more than the annual revenue under the existing rates. This would represent an increase in Missouri jurisdictional revenues of approximately 12.1%.
- <u>**3(B)2.</u>** See Schedule 1</u>
- <u>**3(B)2.</u>** See Schedule 2</u>
- <u>**3(B)3.</u>** See Schedule 3</u>
- **<u>3(B)4.</u>** See Schedule 4
- <u>**3(B)5.**</u> See Schedule 5
- <u>**3(B)6.</u>** See attached Press Release being issued by AmerenUE concurrently with this filing.</u>

<u>**3(B)7.**</u> The rate increase sought by this filing is needed for the following reasons:

- Escalating fuel and purchased power costs, including substantial transportation cost increases;
- Increased operations and maintenance expense, including the cost of compliance with the Commission's new vegetation management and infrastructure rules;
- Increased depreciation expense;
- Large capital expenditures on property, plant, and equipment, including investments to continue the improvement of AmerenUE's energy delivery system;
- Increases in the Company's cost of capital; and
- To propose a fuel adjustment clause as authorized by Senate Bill 179 (Section 386.266, RSMo).

As required by 4 CSR 240-2.065(1), this filing includes AmerenUE's direct testimony relating to this general rate increase request. Direct Testimony is provided by the following witnesses:

Thomas R. Voss	Overview of Company and Rate Increase
	Request; Operations/Reliability Improvements;
	Risks/Challenges Facing the Company;
	Witness List
Richard J. Mark	Storm Responses; Reliability Improvement
	Efforts; Customer Communications
Kenneth Gordon, Ph.D	Nationwide Utility Cost Pressures; Policy
	Tools
Prof. Roger A. Morin	Return on Equity (ROE)

Ms. Colleen Dale April 4, 2008 Page 3

Gary S. Weiss	Revenue Requirement, Net Base Fuel Costs;
Gury 5. Weiss	Historical Return on Equity; SO <sub>2</sub> Tracker;
	Pension and OPEB Tracker; Vegetation
	Management and Infrastructure Rule
	Compliance Cost Tracker
Martin J. Lyons, Jr.	Fuel Adjustment Clause
Robert K. Neff	
Robert K. Ivell	Coal and Coal Transportation Costs;
	Uncertainty and Volatility in Those Costs
Scott A.Glaeser	Gas Costs for Generation; Volatility and
	Uncertainty in Gas Costs
Randall J. Irwin	Nuclear Fuel Costs
Shawn E. Schukar	Pricing for Off-System Sales; Off-System
	Sales Uncertainty/Volatility
Ajay K. Arora	Volatility and Uncertainty of Net Fuel Costs
Timothy D. Finnell	Production Cost Model; Normalized Net Fuel
	Costs; FAC Minimum Filing Requirement –
	Supply and Demand Side Resources
Paul W. Mertens	Certain FAC Minimum Filing Requirements
Mark C. Birk	Heat Rate/Efficiency Testing
Michael G. O'Bryan	Capital Structure
Steven M. Wills	Weather Normalization of Test Year Sales
Wilbon L. Cooper	Rate Design/Billing Units
William M. Warwick	Class Cost of Service Study
James R. Pozzo	Normalized Billing Units
Michael Adams	Cash Working Capital
Edward C. Pfeiffer	FERC 7-Factor Test

Each witness' testimony includes an Executive Summary (Attachment A to each piece of testimony), all of which are also attached to the direct testimony of AmerenUE witness Thomas. R. Voss as Schedule TRV-E6.

I request that you please bring this filing to the attention of the appropriate Commission personnel and see that a copy of all correspondence, notices, orders or other communications in connection with this matter and proceeding are furnished to the undersigned counsel for AmerenUE at the addresses listed in the letterhead above, and also to the following persons:

Steven R. Sullivan	Thomas M. Byrne
Sr. Vice President, General Counsel &	Managing Associate General Counsel
Secretary	Ameren Services Company
Ameren Services Company	P.O. Box 66149 (MC 1310)
P.O. Box 66149 (MC 1300)	St. Louis, MO 63166-6149
St. Louis, MO 63166-6149	tbyrne@ameren.com
srsullivan@ameren.com	

Ms. Colleen Dale April 4, 2008 Page 4

Stephen M. KidwellVice President- Regulatory AffairsAmerenUEPO Box 66149 (MC 1450)St. Louis, MO 63166-6149skidwell@ameren.com

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. Should you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

# /s/ James B. Lowery

James B. Lowery

Cc/enc: Missouri Public Service Commission General Counsel Office of the Public Counsel

#### AMERENUE - COUNTIES SERVED - ELECTRIC

ADAI R AUDRAIN BOONE BUTLER CALDWELL CALLAWAY CAMDEN CAPE GIRARDEAU CHARITON CLARK CLAY CLINTON COLE COOPER DAVIESS DEKALB DUNKLIN FRANKLIN GASCONADE GENTRY HOWARD IRON **JEFFERSON** KNOX LEWIS LINCOLN LINN LIVINGSTON MARIES

MILLER MISSISSIPPI MONITEAU MONROE MONTGOMERY MORGAN **NEW MADRID** OSAGE PEMISCOT PETTIS PIKE RALLS RANDOLPH RAY REYNOLDS ST. CHARLES ST. FRANCOIS STE. GENEVIEVE ST. LOUIS CITY ST. LOUIS COUNTY SALINE SCHUYLER SCOTLAND SCOTT STODDARD **SULLIVAN** WARREN WASHINGTON

Alexandria	Brashear	Country Club Hills	
Altamont	Braymer	Country Life	
Amity	Breckenridge	Acres Cowgill	
Annada	Breckenridge Hills	Crestwood	
Anniston	Brentwood	Creve Coeur	
Arbyrd	Bridgeton	Crystal City	
Arcadia	Brookfield	Crystal Lake Park	
Argyle	Bunceton	Curryville	
Arnold	Byrnes Mills	DeSoto	
Ashland	Cairo	Dellwood	
Augusta	Caledonia	Delta	
Auxvasse	Calverton Park	Desloge	
Bagnell	Canalou	Des Peres	
Ballwin	Canton	Dexter	
Baring	Cape Girardeau	Downing	
Barnett	Cardwell	Dudley	
Bel-Nor	Caruthersville	East Prairie	
Bel-Ridge	Catron	Edina	
Bella Villa	Cedar Hill Lakes	Edmundson	
Belle	Center	Eldon	
Bellefontaine Neighbors	Centertown	Ellisville	
Bellerive	Chaffee	Elmira	
Bellflower	Chamois	Elsberry	
Benton	Champ	Eolia	
Benton	Charlack	Essex	
Berger	Charleston	Eureka	
Berkeley	Chesterfield	Ewing	
Bernie	Clark	Excelsior Springs	
Bertrand	Clarksburg	Farber	
Beverly Hills	Clarkson Valley	Fenton	
Bismarck	Clarksville	Ferguson	
Black Jack	Clarkton	Festus	
Blackwater	Clayton	Fisk	
Bland	Clifton Hill	Flint Hill	
Blodgett	Coffey	Flordell Hills	
Bonne Terre	Commerce	Florissant	
Boonville	Cool Valley	Foley	
Bowling Green	Cooter	Foristell	
Bragg City	Cottleville	Four Seasons	
		Minimum Filing Reau	

#### **AMERENUE - COMMUNITIES SERVED - ELECTRIC**

Minimum Filing Requirements Schedule 2 Page 1 of 3 Frankford Franklin Freeburg Frontenac Gasconade Gerald Gibbs Gideon Gorin Glen Echo Park Glendale Gordonville Grantwood Village Green Castle Green City Greendale Greentop Greenville Hallsville Hamilton Hanley Hills Hartsburg Hawk Point Havti Hayti Heights Haywood City Hazelwood Herculaneum Higbee High Hill Hillsboro Hillsdale Holcomb Holland Holliday Holt Holts Summit Hornersville Huntleigh Huntsville Hurdland Irondale

Ironton Jameson Jamestown Jefferson City Jennings Jonesburg Josephville Kearney Kelso Kidder Kimmswick Kingdom City Kingston Kinloch Kirksville Kirkwood Knox City LaBelle LaGrange Laddonia Ladue Lake MyKee Lake Ozark Lakeshire Lambert Lathrop Lawson Leadwood Lewistown Liberty Lilbourn Linn Linn Creek Lohman Louisiana Ludlow Luray MacKenzie Madison Manchester Maplewood Marlborough

Marston Marthasville Martinsburg Maryland Heights Matthews Maysville **McFall McKittrick** Meta Mexico Middletown Millard Mill Spring Miner **Mineral Point** Moberly Moline Acres Montgomery Mooresville Morehouse Morley Morrison Mosby Moscow Mills Nelson New Bloomfield New Florence New Franklin New Haven New London New Melle Newark Normandy Northwoods Novinger O'Fallon Oakland Old Monroe Olean Olivette Olympian Village Oran

> Minimum Filing Requirements Schedule 2 Page 2 of 3

**Osage Beach** Osborn Otterville Overland Pacific Pagedale Parkdale Park Hills Parma Pasadena Hills Pasadena Park Paynesville Pevely Piedmont Pilot Grove Pilot Knob Pine Lawn Plattsburg Polo Portage Des Sioux Portageville Potosi Praethersville Prairie Home Queen City Rayville Renick Revere Rhineland **Richmond Heights** Risco Rivermines Riverview Rock Hill Rosebud **Rush Hill** Russellville Rutledge Scott City Scottsdale Senath Shrewsbury

Silex Smithton St. Ann St. Charles St. Clair St. Elizabeth St. George St. John St. Louis St. Martins St. Paul St. Peters St. Thomas Steele Stover Sturgeon Sunset Hills Sycamore Hills Syracuse Taos Tipton Town & Country Troy Truesdale Truxton Turney Tuscumbia Twin Oaks Union University City **Uplands** Park Utica Valley Park Vandiver Village Vanduser Velda City Velda Village Hills Versailles Viburnum Vienna Vinita Park Vinita Terrace

Wardell Wardsville Warrenton Warson Woods Washington Wayland Weatherby Webster Groves Weldon Springs Weldon Springs Heights Wellston Wellsville Wentzville Westphalia Westwood Whiteside Wilbur Park Williamsville Winchester Winfield Winston Wood Heights Woodson Terrace Wright City Wyaconda

#### UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

Missouri Electric Operations Number of Customers Affected by General Category of Service and Rate Classification As of March 31, 2008

Service and Rate <u>Classification*</u>	Number <u>of Customers</u>
Residential Service	1,036,347
Small General Service	142,816
Large General Service	10,014
Small Primary Service	643
Large Primary Service	64
Large Transmission Service	1
Lighting Service	55,952
TOTAL CUSTOMERS	<u>1,245,837</u>

\* Union Electric Company's general categories of service and rate classifications for electric service are substantially the same.

# UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY Missouri Electric Operations Average Annual Change Requested in Dollars and Percentage Change from Current Rates

Service and Rate Classification*	Average <u>Annual Change</u>		Percentage <u>Change</u>
Residential Service	\$	104	12.1%
Small General Service	\$	220	12.1%
Large General Service	\$	5,367	12.1%
Small Primary Service	\$	34,476	12.1%
Large Primary Service	\$	305,390	12.1%
Large Transmission Service	\$ 1:	5,497,399	12.1%
Lighting Service	\$	62	12.1%

\* Union Electric Company's general categories of service and rate classifications for electric service are substantially the same.

# UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY Missouri Electric Operations Proposed Annual Aggregate Change and Percentage Change in Revenues from Current Rates

Service and Rate Classification*	Annual Aggregate Change (\$000's)	Percentage Change
Residential Service	\$107,595	12.1%
Small General Service	\$ 29,127	12.1%
Large General Service	\$ 53,322	12.1%
Small Primary Service	\$ 22,269	12.1%
Large Primary Service	\$ 19,494	12.1%
Large Transmission Service	\$ 15,497	12.1%
Lighting Service	\$ 3,441	12.1%
TOTAL **	<u>\$250,745</u>	12.1%

\* Union Electric Company's general categories of service and rate classifications for electric service are substantially the same.

\*\* Targeted increase from AmerenUE witness Mr. Gary S. Weiss testimony is \$250,806,000 however, rate rounding resulted in a shortfall of approximately \$61,000.

Contacts:Susan GallagherBruce Steinke(Media)(Analysts)314.554.2175314.554.2734



# N E W S R E L E A S E

# AmerenUE Requests Increase In Electric Rates To Continue System Improvements and Cover Rising Costs

St. Louis, MO, April 4, 2008 – AmerenUE, the Missouri utility company of Ameren Corporation (NYSE: AEE), will be filing today a request for a 12.1 percent electric rate increase with the Missouri Public Service Commission (MoPSC). The increase would allow the company to continue systemwide reliability improvements for its customers and cover increases in its costs for fuel, transportation and materials essential to generating and delivering electricity.

The request would provide a total of \$251 million annually in additional revenue to be used throughout the company's 24,000-square-mile service territory. The MoPSC Staff will review all of UE's costs, and the public will have opportunities for comment during the process.

Today's requested increase would mean less than \$9 more per month for the average household – or less than 30 cents per day. Each household's increase would vary according to the amount of electricity used.

"Specifically, this increase will enable us to put vulnerable power lines underground, trim more trees away from lines, and increase inspections and repairs of power lines and poles," said Thomas R. Voss, AmerenUE president and chief executive officer. "Fully 70 percent of the requested increase will go directly into projects at our generating plants, for fuel for those plants and for improvements in our delivery system. The rest provides indirect support to these critical investments.

"Today UE – like our customers – faces dramatically higher costs for construction materials and for equipment, such as transformers. The cost to buy and transport coal – which represents more than 20 percent of UE's total costs – has increased by 33 percent over the past two years."

In addition, UE's cost of maintaining the electric delivery system infrastructure has also risen significantly. For example, since 2004, the cost of pole transformers is up approximately 70 percent, wooden utility poles are up about 40 percent, underground aluminum wire is up about 30 percent and copper wire is up about 100 percent.

"We do not request this increase lightly because we realize our customers are facing rising costs in other areas," said Voss. "However, UE's existing rates are insufficient to recover current costs and permit UE to earn a reasonable return on its investments. Without a reasonable return, we cannot economically raise the money needed to continue to improve our system."

Voss added that the company has employed a range of initiatives to carefully manage its resources and keep electric price increases to a minimum. In fact, except for a 2 percent increase in 2007, UE has not had an increase in its rates for more than 20 years and has managed to keep prices for customers almost 40 percent below the national average.

Today, UE's customers enjoy some of the lowest electric rates in the nation – lower than in Cincinnati, Indianapolis and Denver, as well as Kansas City and Springfield, Mo. Through the company's cost control efforts, the rates UE customers pay are lower today than they were 20 years ago. Even with this increase, UE electric rates would still be lower than those charged by other Midwest and Missouri utilities.

"As responsible stewards of our business, we will continue to make the best use of every UE asset to provide our customers with the reliable and clean energy they need at a price they can afford," said Voss. "This increase is needed to fulfill our commitment to our customers to continue to listen, respond and deliver for them by providing reliable power, dedicated customer service and vision for the future."

Here are the key factors behind today's request for an increase:

- Significant increases in fuel costs are a major reason UE is requesting an increase today. In addition, rising fuel costs and price volatility are forecasted to continue. These factors have prompted the company to request to employ a fuel and purchased power cost recovery mechanism. The MoPSC already has approved the use of this mechanism for one other Missouri utility.
  - This mechanism would enable UE to more quickly recover the money the company must spend on fuel to run its power plants and cover its purchased power costs -without the time and expense required for a full rate case.
  - The MoPSC will continue to have regulatory oversight over the fuel and purchased power adjusted rates.
  - The fuel and purchased power adjustment clause would <u>not generate additional profits</u> for UE.
  - It would also enable UE to pass fuel and purchased power cost increases and decreases – to its electric customers closer to the time these costs are incurred.
  - The mechanism has been approved by the Missouri legislature and is already employed in all but two fully regulated states.

- Because UE's customers told the company they value reliability above everything, UE recently launched the *Power On Project* -- a three-year, \$1 billion initiative, through which UE is spending:
  - \$300 million to underground the sections of our system most vulnerable to outages;
  - \$84 million to expand and upgrade our system inspection, repair and maintenance programs; and
  - an additional \$135 million on tree-trimming efforts.

Through *Power On*, UE is also investing some \$500 million in equipment to reduce emissions from the company's Sioux Power Plant and enable its coal-fired plants to meet or exceed federal environmental standards years ahead of requirements. The company is also investing in the development of wind power and other renewable sources, as well as in promising technologies to address greenhouse gas emissions.

*Power On* is the largest single reliability initiative in UE's history and the largest single corporate investment currently under way in Missouri.

Additional information about this electric rate case will be available on the Ameren Web site (<u>www.ameren.com/ueprice</u>).

AmerenUE serves approximately 1.2 million electric and 127,000 natural gas customers. Ameren Corporation, through its utility companies, serves approximately 2.4 million electric and nearly one million natural gas customers over 64,500 square miles in Missouri and Illinois.

#### Forward-looking Statements

Statements in this release not based on historical facts are considered "forward-looking" and, accordingly, involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed. Although such forward-looking statements have been made in good faith and are based on reasonable assumptions, there is no assurance that the expected results will be achieved. These statements include (without limitation) statements as to future expectations, beliefs, plans, strategies, objectives, events, conditions, and financial performance. In connection with the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we are providing this cautionary statement to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. The following factors, in addition to those discussed elsewhere in this release and in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, could cause actual results to differ materially from management expectations as suggested by such forward-looking statements:

- regulatory or legislative actions, including changes in regulatory policies and ratemaking determinations, such as the outcome of pending rate proceedings or future legislative actions that seek to limit or reverse rate increases;
- changes in laws and other governmental actions, including monetary and fiscal policies;
- prices for power in the Midwest;
- business and economic conditions, including their impact on interest rates;
- disruptions of the capital markets or other events that make access to necessary capital more difficult or costly;
- actions of credit rating agencies and the effects of such actions;
- weather conditions and other natural phenomena;
- the effects of strategic initiatives, including acquisitions and divestitures;
- the impact of current environmental regulations on utilities and power generating companies and the expectation that more stringent requirements, including those related to greenhouse gases, will be introduced over time, which could have a negative financial effect;
- the inability of our counterparties and affiliates to meet their obligations with respect to contracts and financial instruments;
- the cost and availability of transmission capacity for the energy generated or required to satisfy energy sales; and
- acts of sabotage, war, terrorism or intentionally disruptive acts.

Given these uncertainties, undue reliance should not be placed on these forward-looking statements. Except to the extent required by the federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect new information, future events, or otherwise.

# # #