Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Retail Revenue Witness: Joel McNutt Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Case No.: GR-2021-0241 Date Testimony Prepared: October 15, 2021

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION

TARIFF/RATE DESIGN DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JOEL MCNUTT

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a Ameren Missouri

CASE NO. GR-2021-0241

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2021

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		JOEL MCNUTT
4 5		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a Ameren Missouri
6		CASE NO. GR-2021-0241
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	А.	Joel McNutt, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, MO 65101.
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
10	А.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as
11	an Economics	Analyst for the Tariff and Rate Design Department, of the Industry Analysis
12	Division of the	e Commission Staff.
13	Q.	Are you the same Joel McNutt who has previously filed testimony in Staff's
14	Revenue Requirement Cost of Service Report in this case?	
15	А.	Yes.
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
17	А.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to address Ameren Missouri's continued
18	execution of certain Special Contracts and to provide an adjustment to Staff's calculation of	
19	revenue for Special Contract customers.	
20	EXECUTION	N OF CERTAIN SPECIAL CONTRACTS
21	Q.	Do the workpapers of Ameren Missouri Witness Michael Harding provide
22	support for co	ntinuing certain contracts originally initiated under Ameren Missouri's Special
23	Contract tariff	?

Rebuttal Testimony of Joel McNutt

1	A. Not completely. Mr. Harding provided workpapers that appear to provide a		
2	value for the cost to bypass, which is the customer's cost to construct their own infrastructure		
3	to connect to the transmission pipeline, for customers currently served on the Special Contract		
4	tariff. ¹ However, when Staff inquired about how the cost of bypass was calculated, Ameren		
5	Missouri responded that the actual cost to bypass is not known and the cost to bypass that was		
6	provided in Mr. Harding's workpapers were an engineering estimate of what Ameren Missouri		
7	thinks may be the cost to bypass for these customers. However, Ameren Missouri admits the		
8	cost may be higher or lower and does not provide any further details as to how the engineering		
9	estimate was derived ² .		
10	Q. Does Ameren Missouri's Special Contract tariff state that it can charge a specific		
11	flexed transportation charge?		
12	A. No. The tariff states:		
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The right to charge a lower Transportation Charge shall be exercised on a case-by-case basis at the discretion of the Company without Commission approval. Said right may be exercised only if the customer certifies to the Company (in a form acceptable to the Company), and the Company is convinced that: (i) bypass of Union Electric by an intrastate or interstate upstream pipeline is imminent; (ii) without the Company's lowering the Transportation Charge, the customer will bypass Union Electric; and (iii) the rate flexed is prudent given the level of customer's total cost to bypass.		
22 23 24	Ratemaking treatment of any flexed Transportation Charges will be reviewed and considered by the Commission in subsequent rate proceedings.		
25	Staff's concern is that if the cost to bypass is not actually known, then it is nearly		
26	impossible for Staff to evaluate the reasonableness of Ameren Missouri's continued execution		
27	of the contract.		

¹ There are currently three customers served on the Special Contract tariff. Two of the three customers have contracts that are being renewed annually because the initial term of the contracts has expired. ² Ameren Missouri response to Staff Data Request No. 0383

Rebuttal Testimony of Joel McNutt

Q.

14

15

16

What is Staff's recommendation regarding Special Contracts?

A. As stated on page 57 in Staff's direct testimony, "Staff annualized revenue for
this customer as if the customer was served under the Large Volume Transportation tariff
through the 12 months ending April 30, 2021. Staff will review customer contracts and revenues
through the true-up period ending September 30, 2021."

6 Staff recommends that until Ameren Missouri can provide actual support for
7 continuing certain contracts, a revenue imputation be performed as if the customer was not
8 served on the Special Contract tariff as provided in Ameren Missouri's Special Contract tariff.

9 Staff would expect that Ameren Missouri would require the customer 10 requesting to continue to receive the contract rate would provide the necessary documentation 11 including a detailed estimate of the cost to bypass to the Company for review. Staff would 12 further expect that the customer's documentation would be subject to Commission review in 13 subsequent rate cases.

ADJUSTMENT OF STAFF'S REVENUE FOR SPECIAL CONTRACT CUSTOMERS

Q. Does Staff have any corrections to its calculation of revenue for Special Contract customers?

A. Yes. When reviewing gas usage and revenue provided by Ameren Missouri in
its direct filing for Special Contract customers, Staff noticed one account showed lower than
normal usage as compared to the prior rate case. Staff submitted data request (DR) No. 0382
to Ameren Missouri to inquire about the reduced usage. In response, Ameren Missouri informed
Staff that this specific account is only used on an as-needed basis, which is what lead to sporadic
usage during the test year and update period. In light of this explanation, Staff recommends

¹

Rebuttal Testimony of Joel McNutt

- 1 making an adjustment to usage and revenue to reflect the customer's usage levels from the last
- 2 rate case. This adjustment results in an addition to revenue of \$231.00.
 - Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Adjust Its Revenues for Natural Gas Service

Case No. GR-2021-0241

AFFIDAVIT OF JOEL MCNUTT

STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF COLE

SS.

COMES NOW JOEL McNUTT and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony of Joel McNutt; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

JOEL McNUTT

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this ______ day of October 2021.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

unellankin Notary Public