

BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PETITION OF SOCKET TELECOM, LLC)
FOR COMPULSORY ARBITRATION OF)
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENTS WITH) **CASE NO. TO-2006-0299**
CENTURYTEL OF MISSOURI, LLC AND)
SPECTRA COMMUNICATIONS, LLC)
PURSUANT TO SECTION 252(b)(1) OF THE)
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996.)

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
ATTACHMENT TO ARTICLE VII APPENDIX DECISION POINT LIST

COMES NOW Socket Telecom, LLC (“Socket”), pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.080(20) and other applicable law, and for its Motion for Leave to File Attachment to the Article VII Appendix Decision Point List, respectfully states to the Commission as follows:

1. On February 21, 2006, the Parties jointly filed a series of Decision Point Lists (“DPLs”), laying out all of the issues in the proceeding, including the Parties’ respective contested contract language and position statements. As part of this filing, Socket included certain rates in the “Socket Language” column of the Article VII Appendix DPL. The concluding remark in that column stated “See also attachment for NRCs.” An attachment, entitled “Socket’s Proposed Non-Recurring Charges,” was then attached to the Article VII Appendix DPL.

2. On April 7, 2006, the Parties jointly filed another series of DPLs, laying out all of the issues in the proceeding that had not been settled since the February 21, 2006, DPL filing, including the Parties’ respective contested contract language and position statements. As part of this filing, Socket again included certain rates in the “Socket Language” column of the Article

VII Appendix DPL. The concluding remark in that column again stated “See also attachment for NRCs.” However, the attachment was inadvertently omitted from the April 7, 2006 filing.

3. Socket became aware of this omission on April 12, 2006, and now requests leave to file the attachment. The attachment, entitled “Socket’s Proposed Non-Recurring Charges,” is identical to the attachment included in the February 21, 2006 filing, but Socket believes it will prevent ambiguity in the record if the attachment is included as an errata to the April 7 DPL filing so that it is clear that Socket has not changed its proposed non-recurring charges since the February 21 filing. There is no harm to CenturyTel to accept this filing, as all of the testimony and preparation for hearing was based upon the February 21 DPL, which included the attachment.

WHEREFORE, Socket respectfully requests the Commission grant leave for Socket to file the attached Attachment to the Article VII Appendix Decision Point List, entitled Socket’s Proposed Non-Recurring Charges.

Respectfully submitted,

CURTIS, HEINZ,
GARRETT & O'KEEFE, P.C.

/s/ Carl J. Lumley

Carl J. Lumley, #32869
Leland B. Curtis, #20550
130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200
St. Louis, Missouri 63105
(314) 725-8788
(314) 725-8789 (FAX)
clumley@lawfirmemail.com
lcurtis@lawfirmemail.com

CASEY, GENTZ & MAGNESS, L.L.P.
Bill Magness, TX #12824020
98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1400
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 480-9900
(512) 480-9200 (FAX)
bmagness@phonelaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR SOCKET TELECOM, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the undersigned has caused a complete copy of the attached document to be electronically filed and served on the Commission's Office of General Counsel (at gencounsel@psc.mo.gov), the Office of Public Counsel (at opcservice@ded.mo.gov), and counsel for CenturyTel Missouri and Spectra (at lwdority@sprintmail.com and david.brown@hughesluce.com), on this the 12th day of April, 2006.

/s/ Carl J. Lumley

EXHIBIT A

ATTACHMENT TO ARTICLE VII APPENDIX DPL