2005.04.27 16:33:43 Kansas Corporation Commission /S/ Susan K. Duffy

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

APR 2 7 2005

Sum They Docket Room

In the Matter of the Future Supply, Delivery and Pricing of the Electric Service Provided by Kansas City Power & Light Company

Docket No. 04-KCPE-1025-GIE

JOINT MOTION TO APPROVE STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

)

)

COMES NOW Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCPL"), the Staff of the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas ("Staff"), Sprint and the Kansas Hospital Association ("KHA") (collectively "the parties"), and moves the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas ("Commission") for an Order approving the Stipulation and Agreement ("Agreement") filed concurrently with this Motion.

William G. Riggins Vice-President and General Counsel Kansas City Power & Light Company 1201 Walnut Kansas City, Missouri 64141

ATTORNEY FOR KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

James Zakoura

James Zakoura 750 Commerce Plaza II 7400 West 110th Street Overland Park, Kansas 66210-2346

ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT AND KANSAS HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION Respectfully submitted,

Wendy Tatro Assistant General Counsel Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Kansas 66604

ATTORNEY FOR STAFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a correct copy of the Motion to Approve Stipulation & Agreement was sent via U.S. Mail or hand-delivered on the 27th day of April, 2005 to:

Wendy Tatro Dana Bradbury Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Rd. Topeka, Ks. 66604

David Springe Citizen's Utility Ratepayers Board 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Ks. 66604

James G. Flaherty Anderson Byrd Richeson Flaherty & Henrichs, LLP 216 South Hickory, P.O. Box 17 Ottawa, Kansas 66067

James P. Zakoura Smithyman & Zakoura, Chartered 750 Commerce Plaza II 7400 West 110th Street Overland Park, Kansas 66210-2346

William Riggins Kansas City Power & Light 1201 Walnut Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Frank A. Caro, Jr. Polsinelli Shalton & Welte, P.C. 6201 College Blvd., Suite 500 Overland Park, KS 66211-2423

W. Scott Keith, Manager Aquila, Inc. 10700 East 350 Hwy PO Box 11739 Kansas City, MO 64138

David W. Gibson **Empire District Electric Company** 602 Joplin, PO Box 127 Joplin, MO 64802

Charles Benjamin Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1642 Lawrence, Ks. 66044-8642

uda ta

flenda Cafer

2005.04.27 16:31:56 Kansas Corporation Commission /S/ Susan K STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

)) APR 2 7 2005

Susan Talingfy Docket

In the Matter of the Future Supply, Delivery and Pricing of the Electric Service Provided by Kansas City Power & Light Company

Docket No. 04-KCPE-1025-GIE

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

As a result of extensive discussions between the Applicant, Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCPL"), the Staff of the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas ("Staff"), Sprint and the Kansas Hospital Association ("KHA") (collectively "the parties"), the parties hereby submit to the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas ("Commission") for its consideration and approval the following Stipulation and Agreement ("Agreement"):

I. KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S APPLICATION

KCPL is a vertically integrated electric utility company under the jurisdiction of the Commission. On May 18, 2004, KCPL filed an Application requesting the Commission open a docket to investigate, through various informal regulatory proceedings, emerging issues expected to affect the supply, delivery and pricing of the electric service provided by KCPL in the future. The issues presented in this proceeding include the following:

- A. The need for additional generating capacity in the KCPL service territory in the next decade;
- B. The mix of new generation that would result in reliable and cost efficient service for Kansas customers;

- C. The desirability of addressing environmental concerns relating to new generation and existing generating facilities;
- D. The investment into a reliable transmission and distribution infrastructure;
- E. The establishment of customer efficiency programs and development of new technologies for energy and account management; and
- F. The adoption of a regulatory plan that will address the comprehensive undertaking being considered by KCPL.

During the course of these proceedings, KCPL has provided Staff and other parties documents and information, including but not limited to, the following:

- a) a description of KCPL's conservation measures;
- b) a description of KCPL's demand side management efforts;
- c) a copy of KCPL's ten-year generation and load forecasts;
- a description of all of power supply alternatives considered by KCPL to meet its load requirements;
- e) a description of environmental investments considered by KCPL to be necessary for the future; and
- f) a description of transmission and distribution upgrades considered by KCPL to be necessary.

II. STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES

Having considered the Application that KCPL submitted in this matter and having conducted workshops, discovery and settlement negotiations, the parties agree on certain premises, fundamental concepts, and factual conclusions, as set forth hereafter, and recommend that the Commission approve and adopt as its Order in this docket these agreements and the Regulatory Plan for KCPL as set forth in detail below. For purposes of this Agreement, all obligations and conditions agreed and assumed by KCPL shall become, pursuant to the terms of this Agreement, obligations and conditions of any KCPL affiliate, successor, or assignee, which shall be bound in the same manner and to the same extent as KCPL.

A. Five-Year Regulatory Plan

1. **Capital Investments:** KCPL agrees to undertake reasonable efforts to make energy infrastructure investments as specified in **Appendix A** and **Appendix A-1** from January 1, 2005 through June 1, 2010. The parties to this Agreement recognize that under the unique circumstances respecting KCPL the construction of a new coal-fired generation plant, additions to existing transmission and distribution assets, and investments in certain environmental upgrades, as well as utilization of wind power generation, constitute major elements of a reasonable and adequate resource plan at the time of this Stipulation.

2. **Consumer Programs:** KCPL has developed a number of Demand Response, Efficiency and Affordability programs, which are described in detail in **Appendix B and Appendix B-1**. No such program will be implemented until Commission approval has been obtained. Further evaluation needs to be made on the Efficiency programs prior to implementation to determine the impact of the Efficiency programs on KCPL and the anticipated cost-effectiveness of the programs presented. KCPL will work with the parties to complete the necessary evaluations to determine the implementation plan for the Efficiency programs. The Efficiency programs may be modified or new programs introduced based on results from the evaluations. KCPL commits to implement the Demand Response and Affordability programs detailed on **Appendix B** beginning in 2005, upon Commission approval. The current estimated cost associated with Demand Response, Efficiency and Affordability programs is \$52.8 million split between Missouri (\$29 million) and Kansas (\$23.8 million) as detailed on **Appendix B-1**. The expenditures for Efficiency programs in Kansas for the five-year period will total \$ 9.7 million. A detailed review of the programs shall be undertaken at the conclusion of the initial two-year period. This review will be used to evaluate the initial results and what actions should be taken in the future. All parties to this proceeding may participate in the evaluation process. KCPL will accumulate costs for these programs in regulatory asset accounts as the costs are incurred through the next rate case. The amortization of these costs and return will be determined in the next rate case.

3. **Rate Plan:** The parties to this Agreement jointly request that the Commission approve the rate plan as set forth in **Appendix C**, **Appendix C-1 and Appendix C-2**. In addition to allowing for consideration of the new investments, the rate plan provides for specific treatment of pension expenses, sales of SO_2 allowances, off-systems sales, an Energy Cost Adjustment, AFUDC, depreciation and other matters. The rate treatment of the Contribution in Aid of Construction is addressed below. Subject to the overriding statutory obligation of the Commission, the parties intend for the rate plan attached as **Appendix C** to run until June 1, 2010.

4. **Financing**: The parties understand that making the capital investments and initiating the customer programs described in Paragraphs 1 and 2 of this section will require KCPL to issue debt securities. The parties also understand that KCPL will be required to refinance all or a portion of debt securities maturing during the term of the Plan.

4

Although it is not anticipated that KCPL will need to obtain Commission approval for the issuance such securities, KCPL will file with the Commission within ten days of the issuance of any debt securities occurring during the term of this Agreement, a report including the amount of debt securities issued, date of issuance, interest rate (initial rate if variable), maturity date, redemption schedules or special terms, if any, and use of proceeds. KCPL agrees that the net proceeds from the issuance of these securities will be used for KCPL general corporate purposes, including the repayment of short-term debt. With regard to such debt, KCPL agrees that it will abide by the conditions set forth by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in its Order issued February 21, 2003, in Docket No. ES02-51-000. KCPL also acknowledges that future proceedings before the Commission will likely address "ringfencing" and affiliate requirements for utilities in general and that it will be bound by decisions in such proceedings.

5. Contributions in Aid of Construction to Maintain Financial Ratios: In Docket No. 01-KCPE-708-MIS, In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company for an Order Authorizing its Plan to Reorganize Itself into a Holding Company Structure, KCPL agreed to maintain its debt at investment grade. The parties agree that it is desirable for KCPL to take prudent and reasonable actions in its effort to maintain its debt at investment grade levels during the period of the construction expenditures contained in this Agreement. KCPL further understands that it is incumbent upon the Company to take prudent and reasonable actions that do not place its investment grade debt rating at risk and that this Agreement heightens rather than lessens such obligation. KCPL further understands that its Kansas jurisdictional customers will not support any negative impact from KCPL's failure to be adequately insulated from the Great Plains business risks as perceived by the debt rating agencies. KCPL recognizes its obligation to continue to prudently manage costs, continuously improve productivity and maintain service quality during the five-year plan. KCPL further recognizes that any finding by the Commission that KCPL has failed to prudently manage its costs, continuously improve productivity and maintain service quality during the five-year period will negate the obligation of the parties to this Agreement other than KCPL ("non-KCPL parties") contained in this section.

In order to assist in the goal of providing KCPL a reasonable opportunity to maintain its bonds at an investment grade rating during the construction period ending June 1, 2010, the non-KCPL parties agree to support an amortization accounting to be referred to as a Contribution in Aid of Construction ("CIAC"). The CIAC is anticipated to result in lower overall costs of the Resource Plan to ratepayers over the life of such investments than traditional ratemaking, while also providing KCPL with adequate cash flow to maintain its debt at investment grade. (The term "Resource Plan" includes the capital investments identified in **Appendices A and A-1**, and the Customer Programs identified in **Appendices B and B-1**.) The lower financing costs associated with investment grade ratings increases the benefits of the CIAC to ratepayers. The non-KCPL parties reserve the right to recommend CIAC amounts in each rate case such that the CIAC amounts in aggregate do not exceed the expected cost savings from the amortization mechanism and the lower costs of capital resulting from the investment grade ratings.

The parties further recognize and agree that the use of the CIAC is extraordinary and is reasonable only in light of the facts and circumstances of this proceeding. It should not be construed as precedential in any other proceeding or for any other company. The parties' agreement is based on the unique factors in this case, including, but not limited to: (1) the fact that KCPL's debt is currently at an investment grade level; (2) KCPL is engaged in a major construction program that includes the addition of base load generation (coal); and (3) KCPL's construction plan increases its asset base by over 50% within a five to six-year period.

The CIAC is an amount that will be treated as an additional amortization expense added to KCPL's cost of service for ratemaking purposes in the rate cases contemplated by this Agreement. Any CIAC amounts approved in a rate case shall continue to be booked annually until and unless the Commission approves a change in the annual amount. However, no CIAC amounts will be booked after June 1, 2010. The accumulated CIAC amounts will be treated as increases to the depreciation reserve and be deducted from rate base in any future KCPL rate proceedings, beginning with the 2009 rate case. The specific plant accounts to be reduced by the CIAC amounts shall be determined at a later time. KCPL shall maintain adequate records that identify the CIAC on a state specific basis by vintage year so that Kansas customers will receive recognition of the CIAC funds they have provided. This recognition will occur in the rate base amount established in future rate proceedings.

The amount of the CIAC in each rate case shall be designed to allow KCPL's projected cash flow from Kansas jurisdictional operations, as determined by the Commission, to meet or exceed the Kansas jurisdictional portion of the lower end of the top third of the BBB range shown in **Appendix E** for Funds from Operations Interest Coverage and Funds from Operations as a percentage of Average total Debt. Since KCPL will address the Total Debt to Total Capitalization ratio through its issuance of securities, the CIAC is only intended to address the other two ratios shown on **Appendix E**. The current range for these ratios and definition of components included in the calculation are shown in **Appendix E**. Should these ratios change or be modified during the five-year rate plan, parties agree to consider the revised ratios and ranges in reviewing and making recommendations regarding the adequacy of cash flows. Should these

ratios be unpublished at any time during the term of the plan, the parties agree to work together to determine the appropriate values for these ratios, including consideration of the use of the last published ranges for these ratios.

The adjustment process to determine the Kansas jurisdictional CIAC amounts in each rate case will be based on the cash flow needs after consideration of the traditional revenue requirements determination and will be based on the major components of such determination. The calculation of the CIAC will exclude any negative cash flow impacts, or consideration of amounts, related to imprudent or unreasonable actions or costs, as determined by the Commission. The prudence of the "Capitalized Lease Obligations" and "Off-Balance Sheet Obligations" will be determined in the first general rate case that affords the Commission the opportunity to review the matter. Additional taxes will be added to the amortization to the extent that the Commission finds such taxes to be appropriate. The calculation of the CIAC will not reflect any negative cash flow impacts related to special contracts but will treat such contract as if they generated revenues at the full generally applicable tariff rates.

The parties recognize that credit rating agencies review other financial indicators and that these two ratios are not definitive in and of themselves. Credit rating agencies also acknowledge that other factors, some subjective, impact their financial ratings. The parties recognize the fact that KCPL may not earn an investment grade rating even if it meets the BBB+ ratio guidelines. Conversely, the parties recognize the fact that KCPL may earn a BBB+ credit rating without meeting the guidelines set out for a BBB+ credit rating. If KCPL meets the BBB+ credit rating guidelines but does not receive an investment grade credit rating, KCPL agrees that the parties are under no obligation to recommend any further cash flow or rate relief to satisfy the obligations under this section. KCPL also recognizes and agrees that Kansas is only responsible for and will only provide cash flow for its share of the necessary cash flows as set out in this section. Therefore, if KCPL is unable to meet the BBB+ credit ratio guidelines because of inadequate cash flows from its Missouri operations, because of imprudent or unreasonable costs, because of inadequate cash flows from the non-regulated subsidiary of GPE or any risk associated with GPE that is unrelated to KCPL's regulated operations, KCPL will not argue for or receive increased cash flows from Kansas in order to meet the BBB+ credit ratio guidelines.

The parties will not be precluded from suggesting other amortizations or other relief to address cash flow concerns resulting from a material event such as those identified in **Appendix C**. No party is precluded from supporting an amortization amount that exceeds the requirements of this section

B. Conditions

1. **Reports.** KCPL will provide quarterly status updates on the infrastructure commitments contained in **Appendix A and Appendix A-1**. Such updates will include detailed information regarding actual expenditures in comparison to planned expenditures and a description of any and all efforts by KCPL to efficiently and reasonably procure equipment and services related to the investments. In addition, KCPL will continue its current process of working with the parties in its long-term resource planning efforts to ensure that its current plans and commitments are consistent with the future needs of its customers and the energy needs of the State of Kansas.

2. Adherence to Resource Plan. KCPL will not voluntarily incur material capital investments or expenses beyond those contemplated by this Agreement and the Resource Plan without explicit approval by the Commission. For purposes of this provision, "material" means

9

an amount that could affect the financial rating of the company and the amount of CIAC that may be needed.

3. **Resource Plan Monitoring.** KCPL agrees to monitor the reasonableness and adequacy of the Resource Plan until the capital investments described therein are completed. KCPL will on its own or upon request of any non-KCPL parties re-assess the reasonableness and adequacy of the Resource Plan if changed circumstances arise that may impact the reasonableness and adequacy of the Resource Plan during the initial and ongoing implementation of the primary elements of the Resource Plan. Such changes in circumstances would include, but not be limited to:

- a) terrorist activity or an act of God;
- b) a material change in federal or state tax laws;
- a material change in federal utility laws or regulations or a material change in Generally Accepted Accounting Principles;
- an unexpected, extended outage or shutdown of a major generating unit(s), other than any major generating unit(s) shut down due to an extended outage at the time of the filing of this Agreement (these units are the major coal burning facilities identified as Hawthorn 5, Iatan, LaCyne 1 & 2 and Montrose 1, 2 & 3, and the nuclear unit Wolf Creek);
- e) a material change in KCPL's load forecast;
- f) material changes in the cost and/or reliability of power generation technologies;
- g) material changes in energy market conditions;
- h) material changes in the cost and/or effectiveness of emission control technologies;
- i) material changes in the price of emission allowances; and/or

10

 material changes in the projected rates and costs to ratepayers resulting from the Resource Plan.

If KCPL's senior management determines that its Resource Plan should be modified because changed circumstances have impacted the reasonableness and adequacy of the Resource Plan, then it shall file notice with the Commission and notify all parties within ten days of any such determination. In its notification, KCPL will: (1) explain the reason(s) (e.g. changed circumstances) for the proposed change in the Resource Plan, (2) specify the new proposed resource plan, (3) provide a description of the alternatives that it evaluated and the process that it went through in choosing the new proposed resource plan and (4) provide detailed workpapers that support the evaluation and the process whereby a new proposed resource plan was chosen. If any non-KCPL party has concerns regarding KCPL's new proposed resource plan, it shall file notice with the Commission and notify KCPL and all other parties within thirty days of KCPL's written notification to the parties. Upon receipt of any such written notification from a party, KCPL shall promptly schedule a meeting (KCPL must provide reasonable advance notice of the meeting to all parties) where the participants will make good faith efforts to reach consensus regarding how the resource plan should be modified in order to create a modified plan that is reasonable and adequate in light of changed circumstances. Any disputes about the need to modify the resource plan and the manner in which it should be modified will be discussed among the interested parties and these parties will cooperate to resolve the dispute in good faith. Any agreement concerning modification of the resource plan shall be filed with the Commission for approval. If the parties cannot resolve the dispute within ninety days of KCPL's written notification, the matter will be brought to the Commission for its determination.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to interfere with KCPL's ability to meet its

obligations to provide safe and adequate service by obtaining the resources necessary to meet the short-term reserve margin requirements of KCPL's regional reliability organization (KCPL's current regional reliability organization is the Southwest Power Pool).

4. **Iatan 2 Partnership Issues.** KCPL understands and agrees that its choice of partners for participation in Iatan 2 is of concern to Staff. KCPL commits to a fair and objective evaluation of all proposals for such participation, including any proposals submitted by Kansas utilities. KCPL will provide all requested information regarding its choice of partners to Staff.

5. **Future Resource Plans**. In order to provide more assurance that future generation or power supply, including Demand Side Management resources, are acquired at the most reasonable cost and to establish a benchmark of reasonable costs, KCPL agrees that its process for considering or acquiring future resources in addition to those contemplated by this Resource Plan shall include the issuance of a Request for Proposal (RFP) for the supply of such resource by competitive bid. KCPL agrees to consult with Staff in the design and content of the RFP before it is issued.

C. The Commission's Rights

Nothing in this Agreement is intended to impinge or restrict, in any manner, the exercise by the Commission of any statutory right, including the right of access to information, or of any statutory obligation, including the obligation to ensure that KCPL is providing efficient and sufficient service at just and reasonable rates.

D. Parties' Rights

1. The parties shall have the right to present pre-filed testimony in support of this Agreement and to provide whatever further explanation of the Agreement the Commission requests. Such pre-filed testimony shall be filed formally in the docket and presented by witnesses at any hearing on this Agreement. Each party's rationale for supporting this Agreement are independent of each other and not acquiesced in or otherwise adopted by other parties unless expressly stated.

2. The parties reserve the right to request local hearings in the KCPL service area. The parties also specifically reserve the right to assert a position on any new issue raised at local hearings that has not been addressed in the Agreement.

E. Effect of Agreement

The agreements in this Agreement are considered by the parties to be unique and specific to the current circumstances. None of the provisions, including the procedures, ratemaking methods and principles and accounting mechanisms reflected in this Agreement, shall be considered of any precedential value in any other Commission proceedings involving KCPL or any other public utility. This Agreement shall not prejudice or waive any party's legal rights, positions, claims, assertions or arguments in any other proceeding before the Commission or any court.

The non-KCPL parties enter into this Agreement in reliance upon information provided to them by KCPL. In the event that the Commission finds that KCPL failed to provide the non-KCPL parties with material and relevant information in its possession, or which should have

13

been available to KCPL through reasonable investigation, or in the event the Commission finds that KCPL misrepresented facts relevant to this Agreement, this Agreement shall be terminated.

F. Negotiated Settlement

This Agreement represents a negotiated settlement that fully resolves the issues addressed in this document. The parties represent that the terms of this Agreement constitute a fair and reasonable resolution of the issues addressed herein. Except as specified herein, the parties to this Agreement shall not be prejudiced, bound by, or in any way affected by the terms of this Agreement: (a) in any future proceeding; (b) in any proceeding currently pending under a separate docket; and/or (c) in this proceeding should the Commission decide not to approve this Agreement in the instant proceeding. If the Commission accepts this Agreement in its entirety and incorporates the same into a final order without material modification, the parties shall be bound by its terms and the Commission's order incorporating its terms as to all issues addressed herein and in accordance with the terms hereof, and will not appeal the Commission's order on these issues. Furthermore, KCPL will not make any efforts or support any efforts to have legislation enacted that have the effect of changing or modifying the terms of this Agreement.

Notwithstanding the above, this Agreement shall be subject to good faith renegotiation upon the request of any party if the "Stipulation and Agreement" that was entered into by KCPL, the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission and other parties in Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EO-2005-0329 on March 28, 2005, is rejected by the Missouri Commission or significantly modified by that Commission or by the parties to that agreement. If agreement cannot be reached on appropriate modification of this Agreement, this Agreement shall be considered terminated.

G. Provisions Are Interdependent

The provisions of this Agreement have resulted from negotiations among the parties and are interdependent. In the event that the Commission does not approve and adopt the terms of this Agreement in total, it shall be voidable. If this Agreement is terminated or voided, no party hereto shall be bound, prejudiced, or in any way affected by any of the agreements or provisions herein.

H. Submission Of Documents To The Commission Or Staff

To the extent this Agreement provides for information, documents or other data to be furnished to the Commission, such information, documents or data shall be filed with the Commission and a copy served upon the Commission's Director of Utilities. Information, documents or data to be furnished to Staff shall be directed to the Commission's Director of Utilities unless other arrangements are made. Such information, documents or data shall be marked and identified with the docket number of this proceeding.

I. Term Of This Agreement

This Agreement will be deemed to have become effective as of the date the Order approving the Agreement becomes final, and will expire June 1, 2010, except to the extent that specific agreements are made concerning future events.

Respectfully submitted,

William G. Riggins Vice-President and General Counsel Kansas City Power & Light Company 1201 Walnut Kansas City, Missouri 64141

ATTORNEY FOR KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

aloma James Zakoura

750 Commerce Plaza II 7400 West 110th Street Overland Park, Kansas 66210-2346

ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT AND KANSAS HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION cart Jougel

Wendy Tatro Assistant General Counsel Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Kansas 66604

ATTORNEY FOR STAFF

APPENDIX A

RESOURCE PLAN

ACQUISITION OF COAL-FIRED AND WIND-POWERED GENERATION FACILITIES, TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE ADDITIONS, AND ENVIRONMENTAL UPGRADES

KCP&L commits to undertake commercially reasonable efforts to acquire energy resources and make infrastructure investments as specified below from January 1, 2005 through June 1, 2010. These investments, including those subject to further evaluation, total approximately \$1.3 billion, as set forth in **Appendix D**. This commitment includes completion or substantial progress being made on the following investment projects:

COAL-FIRED GENERATION:

800-900 MW of new generation capacity located at the Iatan site near Weston, Missouri, referred to as Iatan 2, of which KCP&L will own approximately 500 MWs. It is anticipated that Iatan 2 will be in service by June 1, 2010.

KCPL contemplates the possibility of building a railroad bridge for coal deliveries to the Iatan site. The bridge will not be considered a part of the Resource Plan contained in this agreement. Should KCPL build the bridge, parties reserve their right to take any position on the revenue required related to the bridge in a future rate case. KCPL will consult with Staff regarding its negotiations for coal delivery arrangements and the need for the bridge before making a decision regarding the bridge.

WIND-POWER GENERATION:

100 MW of new wind generation facilities to be installed in 2006. This will be subject to the provision in Appendix C, paragraph I.1.d.

A potential of an additional of 100 MW of new wind generation in 2008. The second 100 MW investment in new wind generation will not be considered a part of the Resource Plan unless and until a detailed evaluation supports proceeding with its construction and it receives Commission approval. Interested Parties will be allowed to participate in the evaluation, with access to all relevant information and the opportunity to provide input. As part of the evaluation of the wind generation, KCPL will issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a twenty-year purchase power agreement (PPA) for wind generation from independent third parties on a cost per kilowatt-hour basis, which includes any expected tax credits.

APPENDIX A

TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION ADDITIONS:

Replacement and upgrade of transmission and distribution facilities as set forth in detail in **Appendix A-1**.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS TO EXISTING GENERATION:

Environmental investments related to Iatan 1 and LaCygne 1 for compliance with environmental regulations will consist of a Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) facility, a Flue Gas Desulphurization (FGD) unit and a Baghouse at both Iatan-1 and LaCygne 1. It is anticipated that the SCR at LaCygne 1 will be in service by December 31, 2007, the FGD and Baghouse at LaCygne 1 by May 31, 2010, and the environmental equipment at Iatan 1 by December 31, 2008.

APPENDIX A-1

Asset Management Plan

The following is a description of the Asset Management Plan of Kansas City Power & Light Co. (KCP&L), effective during the 5 year term of this Agreement.

This plan allocates resources to address known issues on the system that either present the highest risk of a major system outage or impact customers through multiple outages over relatively short spans of time. These projects are intended to address the identified system risks and allocate renewal programs where asset and performance data indicate the need.

This plan includes the following elements:

- Conduct a system wide condition assessment and inventory of the overhead distribution system
- Implement projects to address components which are nearing their end of life
- Utilize customer outage data to develop programs that minimize the number of outages customers experience
- Utilize industry experience with our inventory and performance data to conduct studies to develop targeted renewal programs
- Refine our maintenance practices to optimize costs and extend the life of existing facilities
- Implement distribution automation programs.

The capital requirements for this plan are as follows:

Proposed Capital Expenditure Level Increases (excluding demand response programs)

(in millions)	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Total
Plan Request *	\$4.0	\$5.7	\$8.6	\$11.3	\$12.8	\$42.3

* These multi-year expenditures are increases above the normal capital expenditures for the years stated.

This plan provides adequate capital to address known system issues and maintain reliability performance.

DEMAND RESPONSE, EFFICIENCY AND AFFORDABILITY PROGRAMS

1. AFFORDIBILTY PROGRAMS

LOW-INCOME AFFORDABLE NEW HOMES PROGRAM

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Low-Income Affordable New Homes Program will be a partnership between KCP&L and non-profit organizations, including Habitat for Humanity and local government community development organizations, to achieve energy-efficient affordable new housing for the low-income community. Incentives will be available for high efficiency CAC, heat pumps and refrigerators. Financial incentives will be set at the full incremental cost for CAC and heat pumps. A \$200 incentive will be available towards the purchase of an ENERGY STAR® rated refrigerator. Finally, up to \$100 will be available towards the purchase of ENERGY STAR® rated lighting fixtures.

The customer incentive budget is based upon 100% homes receiving refrigerator and lighting incentives and 25% of the homes will receiving high efficiency air conditioners, and 25% receiving high efficiency heat pumps.

EVALUATION

Impacts associated with this program will be estimated based upon engineering analysis. If a control group can be identified, a billing analysis may be conducted after homes that have participated in the program has been occupied for at least 1 full year.

LOW INCOME WEATHERIZATION AND HIGH EFFICIENCY PROGRAM

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Qualifying lower income customers can get help managing their energy use and bills through KCP&L's low income weatherization and high efficiency program. The program will work directly with local CAP agencies that already provide weatherization services to low income customers through the DOE and other state agencies. KCP&L will provide supplemental funds to the CAPs to cover the cost of weatherization measures. This program will be administered by the CAP agencies and follows the protocol under current federal and state guidelines. Participants can be a KCP&L owner-occupied residential customer in a one to

four-unit structure and have an income that is up to 185% of the federal poverty guidelines. Renters will also be allowed to participate if the landlord pays 50% of the weatherization cost and agrees not to raise the rent for pre-agreed period of time. CAP agencies will be allowed an average of \$1,500 per participant for weatherization and other electric savings measures.

This program helps low income customers reduce their energy costs at no cost to the customer. CAP agencies offer a cost effective implementation capability, which allows most of the funds allocated to this program to go directly to the purchase and installation of energy efficiency measures.

EVALUATION

Weatherization impacts for the first two years of the program will be based upon borrowed analysis from other utility programs. In the third year of the program, a billing analysis will be conducted to estimate impacts for all measures.

1. <u>EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS</u>

ONLINE ENERGY INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM USING NEXUS® RESIDENTIAL SUITE

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The online energy information and analysis program allows all residential customers with computers to access their billing information and comparisons of their usage on a daily, weekly, monthly or annual basis. This tool will analyze what end uses make up what percent of their usage, and provide information on ways to save energy by end use through a searchable resource center. This tool also allows the user to analyze why their bill may have changed from one month to another. A home comparison also displays a comparison of the customer's home versus an average similar home via an Energy guide label concept.

EVALUATION

Since this is an informational program and any potential savings will be difficult, if not impossible, to accurately measure, KCP&L does not propose to evaluate the program for energy savings. KCP&L will provide reports on usage.

HOME PERFORMANCE WITH ENERGY STAR® PROGRAM - TRAINING

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Home Performance with ENERGY STAR® is a unique program which enhances the traditional existing home energy audit service. This program uses the ENERGY STAR® brand to help encourage and facilitate whole-house energy improvements to existing housing. This program focuses on the private-sector contractors and service professionals who currently work on existing homes – replacing HVAC systems, adding insulation, installing new windows, etc. The Missouri Home Performance with ENERGY STAR® Initiative requires contractors to be accredited under Building Performance Institute (BPI) standards. Technicians must possess appropriate skills and are field-tested to obtain certification, further lending credibility to services offered.

The program strives to provide homeowners with consumer education, value and a whole-house approach. Contractors are trained to provide "one-stop" problem solving that identifies multiple improvements that, as a package, will increase the home's energy efficiency. While the program goal is saving energy, its market-based approach and message focus on addressing a variety of customer needs – comfort, energy savings, durability and health and safety. It also encourages the development of a skilled and available contractor/provider infrastructure that has an economic self-interest in providing and promoting comprehensive, building science-based, retrofit services.

EVALUATION

KCP&L will track whole-house evaluations that are performed by certified contractors in their service territory. In year 3, a billing analysis will be conducted between participants and a control group.

CHANGE A LIGHT-SAVE THE WORLD

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Changing the world starts with simple actions. When you replace a light bulb or fixture in your home with one that has earned the U.S. government's ENERGY STAR rating, you contribute to a cleaner environment while saving yourself energy, money and time buying and changing lights in your home. Lighting that has earned the ENERGY STAR® rating prevents greenhouse gas emissions by meeting strict energy efficiency guidelines set by the US Environmental Protection Agency and US Department of Energy. ENERGY STAR® encourages every American to change out the 5 fixtures they use most at home (or the light bulbs in them) to ENERGY STAR® qualified lighting, to save

themselves more than \$60 every year in energy costs.

Every fall, ENERGY STAR® partner retailers, manufacturers, utilities, and state organizations come together to make this change even easier. These partners are working to bring more energy-efficient lighting choices to store shelves than ever before. ENERGY STAR® qualified lighting uses two thirds less energy and lasts 6 to 10 times longer than traditional lighting. When you save energy, you not only save money on your utility bills, you also help to protect our environment. KCP&L will contribute funds annually to the state agencies that are working with the EPA and Energy Star to promote this program in the KCP&L service territory. KCP&L expects most of the funds to be used for point of purchase rebates for CFLs.

EVALUATION

KCP&L will rely on evaluations conducted by the EPA and ENERGY STAR®.

COOL HOMES PROGRAM

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Cool Homes Program will encourage residential customers to purchase and install energy-efficient central air conditioning and heat pumps by providing financial incentives to offset a portion of the equipment's higher initial cost. The program's long-range goal is to encourage contractors/distributors to use energy efficiency as a marketing tool, thereby stocking and selling more efficient units and moving the entire CAC and heat pump market toward greater energy efficiency. Incentives will be set at approximately 50% of incremental cost. SEER 13.0 and higher efficiency equipment will be rebated in 2005. Since federal standards are set to be increased from 10 SEER to 13 SEER in 2006, KCP&L will modify the 2006 incentives to only rebate SEER levels at 15.0 and above.

One important feature of the program that will begin immediately is to offer training in Manual J calculations and System Charging and Airflow for HVAC contractors. Manual J is the industry standard residential load calculation method. The training offers step-by-step examples of properly sizing equipment and also addresses principles of heat transfer. The training teaches HVAC contractors to accurately perform and document cooling load calculations and reduces oversizing. The System Charging and Airflow course addresses airflow and charging procedures and standards and includes hands-on training in the use of testing equipment. Once enough contractors have undergone this training, KCP&L may mandate that these calculations take place in order to qualify for the incentive.

EVALUATION

Evaluation will include random on-site inspections and engineering analysis. Spot metering and runtime data will also be collected to verify the connected load and full load hour estimates used in the engineering analysis.

ENERGY STAR® HOMES – NEW CONSTRUCTION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This program will require that new homes be constructed to a standard at least 30 percent more energy efficient than the 1993 national Model Energy Code. These savings are based on heating, cooling, and hot water energy use and are typically achieved through a combination of building envelope upgrades, high performance windows, controlled air infiltration, upgraded heating and air, conditioning systems, tight duct systems, and upgraded water-heating equipment.

Homes are qualified as an ENERGY STAR® with use of the Builder Option Packages (BOP). BOPs represent a set of construction specifications for a specific climate zone. BOPs specify performance levels for the thermal envelope, insulation, windows, orientation, HVAC system and water heating efficiency for a specific climate zone that meet the standard. The ENERGY STAR® Homes program will offer technical services and financial incentives to builders while marketing the homes' benefits to buyers. Scaled incentives will be provided to homes that are qualified as ENERGY STAR®.

EVALUATION

Evaluation will include random on-site inspections and engineering analysis. Billing analysis will be conducted in year 3 between participant and control groups.

ONLINE ENERGY INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM USING NEXUS® COMMERCIAL SUITE

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The online energy information and analysis program allows all business and nonprofit customers with computers to access their billing information and compare their usage on a daily, weekly, monthly or annual basis, analyze what end uses make up what percent of their usage, and access ways to save energy by end use through a searchable resource center. Targeted case studies provide ideas relevant to the customer's industry. This tool also allows the user to analyze why their bill may have changed from one month to another. A business comparison also

displays usage benchmarking data versus similar types of businesses.

EVALUATION

Since this is an informational program and any potential savings will be difficult, if not impossible, to accurately measure, KCP&L does not propose to evaluate the program for energy savings. KCP&L will provide reports on usage.

C&I ENERGY AUDIT

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

KCP&L will offer rebates to customers to cover 50% of the cost of an energy audit. In order to receive the rebate, the customer must implement at least one of the audit recommendations that qualify for a KCP&L C&I custom rebate. The energy audit rebate will be set at 50% of the audit cost up to \$300 for customers with facilities less than 25,000 square feet and up to \$500 for customers with facilities over 25,000 square feet. Energy audits must be performed by certified commercial energy auditors. Customers may choose their own auditor or KCP&L can recommend one. Customers with multiple buildings will be eligible for multiple audit rebates.

EVALUATION

KCP&L will track the effectiveness of this program through the evaluations done for the C&I Custom Rebate Program.

C&I CUSTOM REBATE - RETROFIT

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The C&I Custom Rebate Retrofit program will provide rebates to C&I customers that install, replace or retrofit qualifying electric savings measures including HVAC systems, motors, lighting, pumps, etc. All custom rebates will be individually determined and analyzed to ensure that they pass the Societal Benefit/Cost Test. Any measure that is pre-qualified (evaluated prior to being installed) must produce a Societal Benefit/Cost test result of 1.0 or higher.

Custom rebates are calculated as the lesser of the following:

- A buydown to a two year payback
- 50% of the incremental cost

One customer may submit multiple rebate applications for different measures. Each individual measure will be evaluated on its own merits. Similar measures that are proposed in different facilities or buildings will be evaluated separately. However, no customer, including those with multiple facilities or buildings, may receive more then \$40,000 in incentives for any program year.

As noted in the C&I Energy Audit program description, that program is designed to encourage customers to implement audit recommendations that would qualify for rebates under the C&I Custom Rebate Program.

EVALUATION

By design, the custom rebate program is self-evaluating. Impacts are based upon detailed engineering analysis.

<u>C&I CUSTOM REBATE – NEW CONSTRUCTION</u>

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The C&I Custom Rebate New Construction will provide rebates to C&I customers that install qualifying electric savings measures including HVAC systems, motors, lighting, pumps, etc. All custom rebates will be individually determined and analyzed to ensure that they pass the Societal Benefit/Cost Test. Any measure that is pre-qualified (evaluated prior to being installed) must produce a Societal Benefit/Cost test result of 1.0 or higher.

Custom rebates are calculated as the lesser of the following:

- A buydown to a two year payback
- 50% of the incremental cost

One customer may submit multiple rebate applications for different measures. Each individual measure will be evaluated on its own merits. Similar measures that are proposed in different facilities or buildings will be evaluated separately. However, no customer, including those with multiple facilities or buildings, may receive more then \$40,000 in incentives for any program year.

Another component of this program is an online new construction guide that will provide information to commercial builders and developers on energy efficiency in new construction. It first allows the builder or developer to identify the type of new construction building that is being planned, i.e. office building, community center, fire station. It then lists a variety of environmental and energy efficiency options and guides the builder or developer in prioritizing investments for the best results. A sample of this software is available for viewing at http://seattle.bnim.com/. KCP&L proposes to build a similar site for the Kansas

City metropolitan area but enhance it with features that tie into our rates and will allow developers and builders to plan buildings that can maximize our rates.

EVALUATION

By design, the custom rebate program is self-evaluating. Impacts are based upon detailed engineering analysis.

BUILDING OPERATOR CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Building Operator Certification (BOC) Program is a market transformation effort to train facility operators in efficient building operations and management (O&M), establish recognition of and value for certified operators, support the adoption of resource-efficient O&M as the standard in building operations, and create a self-sustaining entity for administering and marketing the training. This program requires a lot of effort and manpower. KCP&L cannot accomplish the program objectives alone. In year one of this program, KCP&L will work with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources to build a partnership with other Missouri stakeholders (sponsors). Once this has been accomplished, the program will begin to offer customers the Building Operator Training and Certification (BOC) program. The program will use a portion of its sponsor's funds (including the funds provided by KCP&L) to license the BOC curriculum from the Northwest Energy Efficiency Council (NEEC), its developer. Building operators that attend the training course will be expected to pay the cost of the course, less a \$100 rebate that will be issued upon successful completion of all course requirements. The program is expected to attract customers with large facilities (over 250,000 sq. ft.) that employ full time building operators.

EVALUATION

KCP&L will track the effectiveness of this program through the evaluations done by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources.

MARKET RESEARCH

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The market research component of this program will concentrate on specific opportunities to expand program offerings. Of particular interest will be expanding rebates to other ENERGY STAR® rated appliances such as washing machines; investigating the potential for a 2nd refrigerator pickup program and

offering incentives to small commercial customers for ENERGY STAR® rated office equipment.

3. DEMAND RESPONSE PROGRAMS

AIR CONDITIONING CYCLING

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Air Conditioning Cycling (ACC) is a program by which KCP&L can reduce residential and small commercial air conditioning load during peak summer days. The company achieves this load reduction by sending a paging signal to a control device attached to the customer's air conditioner. The control device then turns the air conditioner off and on over a period of time depending on the control and load reduction strategy establish by the company.

EVALUATION

This evaluation will contribute significantly to the decision to extend the program.

- Collect customer hourly usage data for the first three summers.
- Evaluate capacity and energy impacts at the end of the third summer season.

THE ALLIANCE, AN ENERGY PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Alliance, an energy partnership program, is a curtailment and distributed generation program designed to be a partnership with commercial and industrial customers. It is comprised of three coordinated programs. These are MPower, Distributed Generation and Commercial Lighting Curtailment. The program provides incentives to customers to reduce their load or add customer generation to the grid to offset the higher costs KCPL would incur without the reduced load or added customer generation.

MPower is a contracted load curtailment program for large commercial and industrial customers that provide a capacity and energy payment to participating customers to curtail their usage during summer months when high electric demand occurs. Customers are eligible for participation in the program by providing a minimum load reduction of 200 kW during KCP&L's high usage/high cost periods. The Missouri Public Service Commission and the Kansas Commerce Commission have approved the program tariff, currently known as Peak Load Curtailment Credit (PLCC). A new tariff will be filed as this

two-part incentive program becomes finalized. The customer contract could extend over several years.

Distributed Generation is a program in which KCP&L contracts with a customer that has on-site generation to use their generator when needed. This program captures additional value from the customer's generator and provides support to the utility grid. The customer contract is expected to be over several years.

Commercial Lighting Curtailment is a program in which KCP&L contracts with commercial customers to reduce their lighting load when requested. This is accomplished by permanently installing control devices that either reduce the voltage to the lights or turn off perimeter lighting in office buildings. In either case new equipment will be installed to achieve this load reduction. The load curtailment contract will extend over several years.

EVALUATION

This evaluation will contribute significantly to the decision to extend the program.

- Customer research
 - $\circ~$ Focus groups Sept '05 and Sept '06
 - Telephone surveys Oct '05 and Oct '06
- Process evaluation Dec '05 and Dec '06
- Impact evaluation Nov '05 and Nov '06

Budget in	
cludes :	
capital d	
& O&M	

Demand Response Residential AC Cycling Commercial Curtaliment	Market Research	Building Operator Certification	Custom Rebates	Custom Rebates	C&I Energy Audits	Online EE information/analysis (Nexus)	PAYS-type Concept	Energy Star Homes	Cool Homes Program	Change a Light-Save the World	Home Performance-Training	Online EE information/analysis (Nexus)	Energy Efficiency	Low Income WX-KCMO	Low Income Weatherization (non	Affordable New Homes	Affordeality	Annual AFF Total Cummulative AFF Totals	Annual EE Totals Cummulative EE Totals	Annual DR Totais Cummulative DR Totais	ANNUAL TOTAL CUMMULATIVE TOTAL	Rev 2/3/05 to separate
	•	Dir imp	Dir imp	Dir Imp	Educ	Educ	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Educ				Dir Imp					Туре	-
	≧	M&L C&	M&L C&I	M&L C&I	Comm	с	ע	עכ	ק	עד	ע	ק		7-70		R-Aff					Seg-	
	0	Ret	NC	Ret	Ret	Ret	Ret	NC	Ret	Ret	Ret	Ret	-			NC NC					NC/Ret	
	By % only; cannot be separated	Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Set up/software/monthly maintenance by %. User fee to be by actual. Can be made available by state only.	MO only	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Can be limited by state but with great difficulty. Crews work both states.	Set up/software/monthly maintenance by %. User fee to be by actual. Can be made available by state only.		By est. low income population	By est. low income population	Currently allocated by % of low income in each state. Incentives to be by actual.					Allocation Comments	
42.3% 58.1%	50.0%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	100%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	1 00.010	100%	30.42	83.9%					MO	
42.3% 57.7% 58.1% 41.9%	50.0%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	0%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	1 1 1 1 1	16.1%	70.6%	16.1%					Allocation MO KS	
\$1,503,834 \$636,122 \$1,862,899 \$1,082,344	\$77,500	\$105,000	\$707,500	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	\$80,000	\$955,000	\$152,500	\$177,500	\$281,750		\$117,100 \$350,000	¢147 400	\$16,000		\$483,100 \$483,100	\$2,591,750 \$2,591,750	\$3,366,733 \$3,366,733	\$ Total \$6,441,583 \$6,441,583	
\$636,122 \$1,082,344	\$38,750	\$62,738	\$422,731	\$17,925	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	\$41,168	\$491,443	\$78,477	\$91,342	\$144,989		\$350,000	¢73 800	\$13,424		\$387,312 \$387,312	\$1,414,561 \$1,414,561	\$1,718,466 \$1,718,466	\$ MO \$3,520,340 \$3,520,340	
\$867,712 \$780,555	\$38,750	\$42,263	\$284,769	\$12,075	\$	\$0	\$0	\$38,832	\$463,557	\$74,024	\$86,159	\$136,761		0\$ 717'ce¢	202 242	\$2,573		\$95,784 \$95,784	\$1,177,189 \$1,177,189	\$1,648,267 \$1,648,267	\$ KS \$2,921,240 \$2,921,240	
4,532 45,445	0	0	872	0	0	0	0	0	1,668	1,125	0	0		8		15		101	3,665 3,665	49,977 49,977	Y 400 Total 53,743 53,743	
1,917 26,404	0	0	521	0	0	0	0	0	858	579	0	0		72		13		85 85	1,958 1,958	28,320 28,320	Year 1 Estimates kW MO kW KS 30,363 23,379 30,363 23,379	
2,615 19,041	0	0	351	0	0	G	0	0	810	546	0	0		14		N		16 16	1,707 1,707	21,656 21,656	tes kW KS 23,379 23,379	
23,537 1,940,790	0	0	2,673,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,948,000	2,475,000	o	o		391,181		25,360		416,541 416,541	7,096,000 7,096,000	1,964,327 1,964,327	kWh Total 9,476,868 9,476,868	
9,956 1,127,599	0	0	1,597,118	0	o	o	0	o	1,002,441	1,273,635	0	0		328,201		21,277		349,478 349,478	3,873,193 3,873,193	1,137,555 1,137,555	KWh MO 5,360,226 5,360,226	
13,581 813,191	0	0	1,075,883	0	0	0	0	0	945,559	1,201,365	0	0		62,980		4,078		67,058 67,058	3,222,807 3,222,807	826,772 826,772	kWh KS 4,116,637 4,116,637	

Budget	
includes	
capital 8	
8 O&M	

Demond Response Residential AC Cycling Commercial Curtaliment	Market Research	Building Operator Certification	Custom Rebates	Custom Rebates	C&I Energy Audits	Online EE information/analysis (Nexus)	PAYS-type Concept	Energy Star Homes	Cool Homes Program	Change a Light-Save the World	Home Performance-Training	ion/analysis	Energy Enciency	Allocation for total	Low Income Weatherization (non- KCMO) Low Income WX-KCMO	w Homes	Affordebility	Cummulative AFF Totals	Cummulative EE Totals	Annual EE Totals	Annual DR Totals Cummulative DR Totals		Program ANNUAL TOTAL	Rev 2/3/05 to separate
	•	Dir Imp A	Dir Imp A	Dir Imp N	Educ	Educ	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Educ			Dir Imp	Dir Imp							Туре	
	₽	M&L C&I	M&L C&I	M&L C&I	Comm	ი	π	₽	נג	R	עק	סק			R-Aff	R-Aff							ment t	
	0	Ret	NC	Ret	Ret	Ret et	Ret M	N C	Ret	Ret p	Ret	Ret a s			Ret ≯⊞	NO T T O	Ц						NC/Ret	
	By % only; cannot be separated	Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Set up/software/monthly maintenance by %. User fee to be by actual. Can be made available by state only.	MO only	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Can be limited by state but with great difficulty. Crews work both states.	Set up/software/monthly maintenance by %. User fee to be by actual. Can be made available by state only.		By est. low income population	By est. low income population without KCMO	Currently allocated by % of low income in each state. Incentives to be by actual.							Allocation Comments	
42.3% 58.1%	50.0%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	100%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%		83.9%	20.4%	83.9%								
57.7% 41.9%	50.0%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	0%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%		16.1%	79.6% 0%	16.1%							Allocation MO KS	
\$1,820,634 \$2,128,160	\$77,500	\$105,000	\$922,500	\$502,500	\$60,000	\$240,900	\$125,000	\$545,000	\$1,355,000	\$152,500	\$127,500	\$223,950			\$117,100 \$400,000	\$32,000		\$549,100 \$1,032,200	\$7,029,100	\$4,437,350	\$3,948,794 \$7,315,527	\$14,070,0A1	\$ Total \$8,935,244	
\$770,128 \$1,236,461	\$38,750	\$62,738	\$551,194	\$300,244	\$35,850	\$143,938	\$125,000	\$280,457	\$697,283	\$78,477	\$65,612	\$115,245			\$23,888 \$400,000	\$26,848		\$450,736 \$838,049	\$3,909,347	\$2,494,785	\$2,006,589 \$3,725,055	90,71 <u>2,</u> 70	\$ MO \$4,952,111 \$8,475,451	
\$1,050,506 \$891,699	\$38,750	\$4 2,263	\$371,306	\$202,256	\$24,150	\$96,962	\$0	\$264,543	\$657,717	\$74,024	\$61,889	\$108,705			\$93,212 \$0	\$5,146		\$98,357 \$194,142	\$3,119,753	\$1,942,565	\$1,942,205 \$3,590,472	φυ,ουτ,υυτ	\$ KS \$3,983,127 \$6 004 367	
5,215 14,066	0	500	1,301	697	0	0	0	466	2,490	1,125	0	0			8	29		125 226	10,244	6,579	19,281 69,258	10,120	Yea kW Total 25,985	
2,206 8,172	0	299	777	416	0	0	0	240	1,281	579	0	0			81	24		190	5,551	3,593	10,378 38,699	11,100	2 Estima (W MO 14,076	
3,009 5,894	0	201	524	281	0	0	0	226	1,209	546	0	0			5	σ		36	4,693	2,986	8,903 30,559		Year 2 Estimates ai kW MO kW KS kg 14,076 11,909 kg 44,430 35,380	
44,226 479,358	0	1,250,000	3,989,000	2,138,000	0	0	0	1,303,500	2,907,000	2,475,000	0	0			436,160	50,720		486,880 903,421	21,158,500	14,062,500	523,584 2,487,911	27,070,002	KWh Total 15,072,964	
18,708 278,507	0	746,875	2,383,428	1,277,455	0	0	0	670,781	1,495,942	1,273,635	0	0			365,938	42,554		408,492 757,970	11,721,309	7,848,116	297,215 1,434,770	10,017,010	KWh MO 8,553,823	
25,519 200,851	0	503,125	1,605,573	860,545	0	o	0	632,719	1,411,058	1,201,365	o	0			70,222	8,156		78,378 145,436	9,437,191	6,214,384	226,370 1,053,141	10,000,100	kWh KS 6,519,131	

Þ
Ó
ō.
e.
a
₹
-
٣

Budget	
includes	
capital 8	
08.M	

.

Destand Response Residential A/C Cycling Commercial Curtailment	Market Research	Building Operator Certification	Custom Rebates	Custom Rebates	C&I Energy Audits	Online EE information/analysis (Nexus)	PAYS-type Concept	Energy Star Homes	Cool Homes Program	Change a Light-Save the World	Home Performance-Training	Online EE information/analysis (Nexus)	Energy Efficiency	Allocation for total	Low Income Weatherization (non- KCMO) Low Income WX-KCMO	Affordable New Homes	Affordability		Annual AFF Total	Annual EE Totals Cummulative EE Totals		Annual DR Totals	CUMMULATIVE TOTAL	Program ANNUAL TOTAL	Rev 2/305 to separate AA from EE
	0	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Educ	Educ	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Educ			Dir Imp	Dir Imp							Π	Түрө	Γ
	AI	M&L C&I	M&L C&I	M&L C&I	Comm	c	π	70	עק	ע	עד	70			R-Aff	R-Aff								ment	
	0	Ret	NC	Ret	Ret	Ret	Ret	NC	Ret	Ret	Ret	Ret			Ret	NC						Ш		NC/Ret	
	By % only; cannot be separated	Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Set up/software/monthly maintenance by %. User fee to be by actual. Can be made available by state only.	MO only	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Can be limited by state but with great difficulty. Crews work both states.	Set up/software/monthly maintenance by %. User fee to be by actual. Can be made available by state only.		By est. low income population	By est. low income population without KCMO	Currently allocated by % of low income in each state. Incentives to be by actual.								Allocation Comments	
42.3% 58.1%	50.0%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	100%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%		83.9%	20.4% 100%	83.9%							\prod	MO	Alland
57.7% 41.9%	50.0%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	0%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%		16.1%	79.6% 0%	16.1%								MO KS	
\$1,849,076 \$2,435,971	\$77,500	\$105,000	\$922,500	\$727,500	\$60,000	\$171,800	\$250,000	\$985,000	\$1,405,000	\$152,500	\$147,500	\$201,300			\$152,100 \$450,000	\$39,500		\$1,673,800	\$641,600	\$5,205,600 \$12,234,700	\$11,0UU,074	\$4,285,047		\$ Total \$10 132 247	
\$782,159 \$1,415,299	\$38,750	\$62,738	\$551,194	\$434,681	\$35,850	\$102,651	\$250,000	\$506,881	\$723,013	\$78,477	\$75,904	\$103,589			\$31,028 \$450,000	\$33,141		\$1,352,218	\$514,169	\$2,963,726 \$6,873,073	61C'776'C¢	\$2,197,458	\$14,147,804	\$ MO \$5 675 353	
\$1,066,917 \$1,020,672	\$38,750	\$42,263	\$371,306	\$292,819	\$24,150	\$ 69,150	0\$	\$478,119	\$681,987	\$74,024	\$71,597	\$97,711			\$121,072 \$0	\$6,352		\$321,565	\$127,423	\$2,241,874 \$5,361,627	90,0/0,UOU	\$2,087,589	\$11,361,253	\$ KS	
4,518 10,457	0	500	1,301	1,041	0	0	0	933	2,490	1,125	0	0			ซี	29		361	135	7,390 17,634	04,233	14,975		KW Total KW N	V-01
1,911 6,076	0	299	777	622	0	٥	0	480	1,281	579	0	0			89	24		303	113	4,038 9,589	40,000	7,987	56,578	KW MO k	
2,607 4,382	0	201	524	419	0	0	0	453	1,209	546	0	0			17	<u>сл</u>		58	22	3,352 8,045		6,988	45,650	W KS	
56,669 535,381	0	1,250,000	3,989,000	3,191,000	0	0	0	2,607,000	2,907,000	2,475,000	0	<u> </u>			482,502	50,720		1,436,643	533,222	16,419,000 37,577,500	3,079,301	592,050	42,094,104	kWh Total 17 544 272	
23,971 311,056	0	746,875	2,383,428	1,906,623	0	0	0	1,341,562	1,495,942	1,273,635	0	0			404,819	42,554		1,205,343	447,373	9,148,064 20,869,374	1,109,191	335,027	23,844,514	kWh MO 9.930.4651	
32,698 224,325	0	503,125	1,605,573	1,284,378	0	0	0	1,265,438	1,411,058	1,201,365	٥	o			77,683	8,156		231,274	85,839	7,270,936 16,708,127	1,310,104	257,023	18,249,565	kWh KS 7.613.797	

≥
Ð.
9
9
ŵ
Ξ.

Budget includes capital & O&M

Denand Response Residential AC Cycling Commercial Curtalment	Market Research	Building Operator Certification	Custom Rebates	Custom Rebates	C&I Energy Audits	Online EE information/analysis (Nexus)	PAYS-type Concept	Energy Star Homes	Cool Homes Program	Change a Light-Save the World	Home Performance-Training	Online EE information/analysis (Nexus)	Energy Efficiency	Allocation for total	Low Income Weatherization (non- KCMO) Low Income WX-KCMO	Affordable New Homes	Alfordebility	Cummulative AFF Totals	Annual AFF Total	Annual EE Totais Cummulative EE Totais		Annual DR Totals	CUMMULATIVE TOTAL	Program ANNITAL TOTAL	Rev 2/3/85 to separate AA from EE
	•	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Educ	Educ	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Dir Imp	Educ			Dir Imp	Dir Imp								Type	
	Ą	M&L C&I	M&L C&I	M&L C&I	Comm	c	R	TD	ע	ע	סק	נג			R-Aff	R-Aff								Seg-	,
	0	Ret	NC	Ret	Ret	Ret	Ret	NC	Ret	Ret	Ret	Ret			Ret S Th	NC						\prod		NC/Ret	
	By % only; cannot be separated	Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Set up/software/monthly maintenance by %. User fee to be by actual. Can be made available by state only.	MO only	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Can be limited by state but with great difficulty. Crews work both states.	Set up/software/monthly maintenance by %. User fee to be by actual. Can be made available by state only.		By est. low income population	By est. low income population without KCMO	Currently allocated by % of low income in each state. Incentives to be, by actual.								Allocation Comments	
42.3% 58.1%	1 50.0%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	100%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%		83.9%	20.4%	83.9%								MO KS	Au
57.7% 41.9%	50.0%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	0%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%		16.1%	79.6% 0%	16.1%								KS	
\$2,963,461 \$3,150,128	\$77,500	\$105,000	\$922,500	\$737,500	\$60,000	\$172,700	\$250,000	\$935,000	\$1,355,000	\$152,500	\$127,500	\$205,350			\$117,100 \$500,000	\$32,000		\$2,322,900	\$649,100	\$5,100,550 \$17,335,250	÷;;,;17,;00	\$6,113,589	\$37,372,313	\$ Total \$11,863,239	
\$1,253,544 \$1,830,225	\$38,750	\$62,738	\$551,184	\$440,656	\$35,850	\$103,188	\$250,000	\$481,151	\$697,283	\$78,477	\$65,612	\$105,673			\$23,888 \$500,000	\$26,848		\$1,902,954	\$550,736	\$2,910,571 \$9,783,644	90,000,E0E	\$3,083,769		\$ MO \$6,545,076	
\$1,709,917 \$1,319,904	\$38,750	\$42,263	\$371,306	\$296,844	\$24,150	\$69,512	0\$	\$453,849	\$657,717	\$74,024	\$61,889	\$99,677			\$93,212 \$0	\$5,146		\$419,922	\$98,357	\$2,189,979 \$7,551,606	40,101,001	\$3,029,821 \$8 707 881	\$16,679,410	\$ KS \$5,318,157	
7,290 24,809	0	500	1,301	1,041	0	0	0	933	2,490	1,125	0	0			116	29		506	145	7,390 25,024		32,099	141,862	kW Total kW M 39,634 21,	
3,084 14,414	0	299	777	622	0	0	0	480	1,281	579	0	0			97	24		425	122	4,038		17,498	78,236	(W MO)	- Calimat
4,206 10,395	0	201	524	419	0	0	0	453	1,209	546	0	0			19	5		81	23	3,352 11,396	11	14,601 52 149	63,626	(W KS	
92,486 1,199,359	0	1,250,000	3,989,000	3,191,000	0	0	0	2,607,000	2,907,000	2,475,000	0	0			527,481	50,720		2,014,844	578,201	16,419,000 53,996,500		1,291,845		kWh Total 18,289,046	
39,122 696,827	0	746,875	2,383,428	1,906,623	0	0	0	1,341,562	1,495,942	1,273,635	0	0			442,557	42,554		1,690,454	485,111	9,148,064 30,017,438		735,949	34,213,638	KWh MO 10,369,124	
53,364 502,531	0	503,125	1,605,573	1,284,378	0	o	0	1,265,438	1,411,058	1,201,365	0	o			84,924	8,156		324,354	93,080	7,270,936 23,979,062		555,896	26,169,476	kWh KS 7,919,912	

Budget	
includes	
capital 8	
O&M	

	Market Research 0 All	Building Operator Certification Dir Imp M&L C&I	Custom Rebates Dir Imp M&L C&	Custom Rebates Dir Imp M&L C&I	C&I Energy Audits Educ Comm	Online EE information/analysis (Nexus) Educ C	PAYS-type Concept Dir Imp R	Energy Star Homes Dir Imp R	Cool Homes Program Dir Imp R	Change a Light-Save the World Dir Imp R	Home Performance-Training Dir Imp R	Online EE information/analysis (Nexus) Educ R	Energy Enclosed	Low Income Weatherization (non- KCMO) Low Income WX-KCMO	Affordable New Homes Dir Imp R-Aff	UT-OVER BARRY	Cummulative AFF Totals	Annual AFF Total	Annual EE Totais Cummulative EE Totais	Cummulative DR Totals	Annual DR Totais	Program Type ment ANNUAL TOTAL CUMMULATIVE TOTAL	Rev 21346 to separate AA from EE
	0 By 9	Ret Can	NC bet	Ret be t	Ret be t	Ret ava	Ret MO	NC bet	Ret be t	Ret be b	Ret gres	Ret avai	Dy a	Ret with	NC Ince							NC/Ret	$\frac{1}{2}$
	By % only; cannot be separated	Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Set up/software/monthly maintenance by %. User fee to be by actual. Can be made available by state only.	MO only	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Promotion by %. Incentives to be by actual. Can be by state.	Can be limited by state but with great difficulty. Crews work both states.	Set up/software/monthly maintenance by %. User fee to be by actual. Can be made available by state only.	by est. low income population	By est. low income population without KCMO	Currently allocated by % of low income in each state. Incentives to be by actual.							Allocation Comments	
42.3%	50.0%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	59.8%	100%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	51.5%	03.9%	20.4% 100%	83.9%							MO KS	Allocs
57.7%	50.0%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	40.3%	0%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	48.5%	10.1%	79.8% 0%	16.1%								ation
\$5,018,876	\$77,500	\$105,000	\$922,500	\$737,500	\$60,000	\$173,300	\$250,000	\$935,000	\$1,355,000	\$152,500	\$127,500	\$209,550		\$117,100 \$550,000	\$32,000		\$3,022,000	\$699,100	\$5,105,350 \$22,440,600	\$27,319,413	SO 605 240	\$ Total \$15,409,699 \$52,782,013	
\$2,122,985	\$38,750	\$62,738	\$551,194	\$440,856	\$35,850	\$103,547	\$250,000	\$481,151	\$697,283	\$78,477	\$65,612	\$107,834		\$23,888 \$550,000	\$26,848		\$2,503,691	\$600,736	\$2,913,091 \$12,696,734	\$13,793,949	\$4 787 667	\$ MO \$8,301,495 \$28,994,374	
\$2,895,892	\$38,750	\$42,263	\$371,306	\$296,844	\$24,150	\$69,753	0\$	\$453,849	\$657,717	\$74,024	\$61,889	\$101,716		\$93,212 \$0	\$5,146		\$518,279	\$98,357	\$2,192,259 \$9,743,866	\$13,525,463	\$4 817 582	\$ KS \$7,108,199 \$23,787,608	
12,242	0	500	1,301	1,041	0	0	0	933	2,490	1,125	0	0		126	29		661	155	7,390 32,414	170,318	53 086	kW Total 61,531 203,393	
5,179	0	299	777	622	0	0	0	480	1,281	579	0	0		106	24		555	130	4,038 17,666	93,615	29 431	1041 0 33,600 111,836	Year 5
7.064	0	201	524	419	0	0	0	453	1,209	546	0	0		20	5		106	25	3,352 14,748	76,703	24 554	KW KS 27,931 91,557	
123,156	0	1,250,000	3,989,000	3,191,000	0	0	0	2,607,000	2,907,000	2,475,000	0	0		572,460	50,720		2,638,024	623,180	16,419,000 70,415,500	6,795,696	2 423 889	kWh Total 19,466,069 79,849,220	
52,095	0	746,875	2,383,428	1,906,623	0	0	0	1,341,562	1,495,942	1,273,635	0	0		480,294	42,554		2,213,302	522,848	9,148,064 39,165,502	3,894,567	1 388 821	kWh MO 11,059,733 45,273,372	
71,061	0	503,125	1,605,573	1,284,378	0	o	0	1,265,438	1,411,058	1,201,365	o	o		92,166	8,156		424,676	100,322	7,270,936 31,249,998	2,901,128	1 0.35 068	kWh KS 8,406,326 34,575,802	

Appendix B-1
<u>2002</u>	85	<u>م</u>	55 54	5	<u>ي</u> د	S S	4 84	5 0		-	10	ы ы	38	365			3	3226	27	80	2	22	22		19 19	16	15	ž	Į		12 =	: 3 «		1004		_
Number of Customers with Demand > 200 KW Percentage of Total Average Percentage for Commercial		Commercial Curtatiment KW of peak customer load for customer > 200 ww	Percentage of Total	Number of customer with Central A/C	1 Number of residential customers	Demand Response Residential A/C Cycline		Average - NS	Average - MO		MO (per Sep 30 2004 Form 1) KS (per Sep 30 2004 Form 1)	By KWh Sales: (does not include public street lights or other)		KS (per Sep 30 2004 Form 1)	MO (per Sep 30 2004 Form 1)	Energy Efficiency By # of Customens: (does not include public street lights or other)			GRAND TOTAL-MO	Missouri valley contri, Action Agency North East Community Action Corporation	West Central Missouri Community Action Age	Central Missouri Counties Human Dev Corp Green Hills Comm. Action Agency	Kansas City Dept. of Housing & Comm. Develop.		GRAND TOTAL	16 JECKAN 17 JEOF	SEK-CAP	Agency		-		Est. Low income Accounts per MUXIVER	Afforded By Programs whole KONO	Est. Low Income Accounts per Census KS	Affordability Programs with CMO	A Allocation Schemes
1,197 54% 98.1%	62%	945.258	212	108,082	234,170	Missouri				5,048,694,727	2,598,207,743	Res		430,478	234,170	Res					incy									Counties	1000	11,131	5 AF2	11.131 69,246	58 114	a
48%		578,779	TT	147.3	196,308			10.11	51.46%		40.02% 51.48%			40.00%					120,085	2,763	4,864	1,102	90,183		58,410	22,184) <u>s</u>		<u>ŏ</u>	KCPL Electric		79.90%	204	16.08%	13.024	-
~~~~		1,524,037	T	255,460	430,478	Total				8,968,432,739	3,225,929,781	Cal		<u>56,972</u>	31,803	C&I			479,259	9,199	30,168	3,835 5,736	368,130	Total House- holds Per	820,701	157,882	33,059	holds Per County	Total House-	# Individuals in Poverty						c
									59,75%		35.97%					CE						29.50%		% of Poverty House- holds to Total Per	7.12%		12.34%	holds to Total Per County	% of Poverty House-	% Individuals in Poverty of Total Individuals in Poverty						
																					2,202		225	KCPL Electric Accounts by	211,320			Accounts by Agency	KCPL Electric	Fotal House-holds Per County						
																			2,852			0	55	Estimated				Estimated Accounts		% of Poverty House holds to Total Per County						4

### RATE PLAN

#### A. <u>RATE FILINGS</u>

- 1. The parties agree that KCPL's current rate moratorium should remain in place and its rates should be maintained at current levels through December 31, 2006. KCPL, pursuant to Commission Order in Docket No. 02-KCPE-840-RTS, is required to file a rate case on or before May 1, 2006, with a 2005 calendar test year. KCPL shall meet the rate case filing requirements of Docket No. 02-KCPE-840-RTS by filing a rate case before that date as set out below.
- 2. A rate application in compliance with K.A.R. 82-1-231, including a full class cost of service study, will be filed with the KCC no later than February 1, 2006. The proposed rate schedules shall have an effective date of January 1, 2007. As part of such filing, KCPL will agree to extend the deadline for a Commission final order on the proposed tariff changes until December 10, 2006, pursuant to K.S.A. 66-117(c). In that filing, KCPL may include new investment in plant that is anticipated to be in service by December 31, 2006.
- 3. The parties agree that KCPL may seek an additional rate change in 2007. Any such filing shall be made no later than March 1, 2007, with a 2006 calendar test year and January 1, 2008 as the proposed effective date of the new rates. As part of such filing, KCPL will agree to extend the deadline for a Commission final order on the proposed tariff changes until December 10, 2007, pursuant to K.S.A. 66-117(c). In that filing, KCPL may include new investment in plant that is anticipated to be in service by December 31, 2007. Prior to such filing, KCPL shall meet with interested signatory parties to determine if simplified procedures for the rate filing are appropriate or other agreements on the potential rate change may be reached. Any such agreements shall be submitted to the Commission for approval.
- 4. The parties agree that KCPL may seek an additional rate change in 2008. Any such filing shall be made no later than March 1, 2008, with a 2007 calendar test year and January 1, 2009 as the proposed effective date of the new rates. As part of such filing, KCPL will agree to extend the deadline for a Commission final order on the proposed tariff changes until December 10, 2008, pursuant to K.S.A. 66-117(c). In that filing, KCPL may include new investment in plant that is anticipated to be in service by December 31, 2008. Prior to such filing, KCPL shall meet with interested signatory parties to determine if simplified procedures for the rate filing are appropriate or other agreements on the potential rate change may be reached. Any such agreements shall be submitted to the Commission for approval.
- 5. KCPL shall make a 2009 rate filing that proposes new rate schedules with an effective date of June 1, 2010. Any such filing shall be filed with the KCC on or

before August 15, 2009. The test year for this filing will be the 12 months ending June 30, 2009. As part of such filing, KCPL will agree to extend the deadline for a Commission final order on the proposed tariff changes to May 10, 2010, pursuant to K.S.A. 66-117(c). The filing may include new investment in plant that is anticipated to be in service as of May 31, 2010.

- 6. Because of the magnitude of these investments and the length of time of the regulatory plan, KCPL may need to adjust the timing of the above rate filings to reflect additional information regarding the construction and timing of investments and other factors. The parties agree to work together to adjust the rate filing schedule to reflect these needs. Such adjustment(s) shall be submitted to the Commission for approval.
- 7. The parties to this Agreement will not initiate a proceeding at the Commission requesting a change in KCPL's rates during the Rate Plan except the rate cases contemplated above unless at least one of the contingencies listed below applies. A change in rates includes any new surcharge or other mechanism for recovery of costs from ratepayers, except for the mechanisms set forth in this agreement.
  - (a) A terrorist activity or an Act of God.
  - (b) A material change in federal or state tax laws.
  - (c) A material change in federal or state utility laws or regulations, except for a change that permits a new surcharge or other mechanism for recovery of costs.
  - (d) An unexpected, extended outage or shutdown of a major generating unit(s), other than a major generating unit(s) shut down due to an extended outage at the time of the filing of this agreement.
  - (e) KCPL does not fulfill its commitments to make the investments described herein during the Regulatory Plan.
  - (f) It is determined that KCPL failed to provide the Non-KCPL parties with material and relevant information in its possession, or which should have been available to KCPL through reasonable investigation, or that KCPL misrepresented facts relevant to this Agreement and such nondisclosure or misrepresentation resulted in higher rates.

### B. ENERGY COST ADJUSTMENT

Concurrent with the new rates to be effective January 1, 2007, KCPL should be allowed to implement an Energy Cost Adjustment ("ECA") mechanism that reflects its total fuel costs on a monthly basis. The details and mechanics of the ECA will be determined in the 2006 rate case proceeding. Should any special contracts with customers exclude the ECA, the ECA computation shall take into account the sales associated with the contract in determining the appropriate ECA.

### C. OFF-SYSTEM SALES

The parties also agree that profits from off-system sales should continue to be included above-the-line in the regulatory process during the term of the Five-Year Regulatory Plan. KCPL specifically agrees not to propose any adjustment or modification that would remove any portion of its off-system sales costs and revenues from being passed through the ECA mechanism. The specific details of the ECA mechanism will be determined in the 2006 rate proceeding.

### D. SO₂ EMISSION ALLOWANCES

- Appendix C-1 sets forth KCPL's SO₂ Emission Allowance Management Policy. 1. With regard to KCPL's sale of SO₂ emission allowances during the period beginning with approval of this Agreement and ending June 1, 2010, KCPL will record sales proceeds as a regulatory liability and offset to ratebase for ratemaking purposes. The regulatory liability will be amortized over the same time period used to depreciate environmental assets. Such amortization shall be reflected in rates beginning with the rates resulting from the 2009 rate filing. This provision recognizes that sales of emissions allowances to fund investments in environmental control equipment to meet emissions standards required now or in the future by legislation or Environmental Protection Agency regulations are likekind exchanges of assets. KCPL will provide all correspondence between the Company and the Internal Revenue Service with respect to SO₂ emission allowances to Staff and CURB within fourteen (14) days of such correspondence. KCPL shall be obligated to define the correspondence as Proprietary or Highly Confidential if it so deems the material.
- 2. In the event the Internal Revenue Service fails to certify  $SO_2$  emission allowance sales as like-kind exchanges, the parties will recommend the appropriate amortization period for such allowance sales to be included in the 2009 Iatan 2 case revenue requirement required herein and commence on the effective date of tariffs from that case.
- 3. KCPL currently purchases coal from vendors under contracts that indicate nominal sulfur content. To the extent that coal supplied has a lower sulfur content than specified in the contract, KCPL pays a premium over the contract price. The opportunity to burn coal with a lower sulfur content is both advantageous to the environment and reduces the number of SO₂ emission allowances that must be used. To the extent that KCPL pays premiums for lower sulfur coal prior to January 1, 2007, it may determine the portion of such premiums that apply to retail sales and will record the proportionate cost of such premiums in Account 254 as a reduction of the regulatory liability. But in no event will the charges to the Kansas jurisdictional portion of Account 254 for these premiums exceed \$327,000 annually. The portion of premiums applicable to retail will be determined monthly based on the system-wide percentage of MWhs from coal

generation used for retail sales versus wholesale sales as computed by the hourly energy costing model. This system wide percentage will be applied to premiums invoiced during the same period.

#### E. <u>PENSION EXPENSE</u>

- 1. The intent of this pension agreement is to:
  - Ensure that KCPL recovers the amount of the net prepaid pension asset representing the recognition of a negative Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87 (FAS 87) result used in setting rates in prior years;
  - Ensure that the amount collected in rates is based on the FAS 87 cost using the methodology described below in item (2.b.);
  - Ensure that, once the amount in 2.c. has been collected in rates by the KCPL, all pension cost collected in rates is contributed to the pension trust;
  - Ensure that all amounts contributed by the KCPL to the pension trust per items (2.c.) and (2.e.) below are recoverable in rates; and
  - Ensure that the KCPL will receive no more or less than the amount in item (2.c.) before the KCPL is required to fund the plan.
- 2. To accomplish these goals, the following items are agreed upon as part of this Agreement, to be applied as of the first day of the year in which the settlement is approved:
  - KCPL's FAS 87 cost, for financial reporting purposes, will differ from the (a) method used for ratemaking purposes described in paragraph (2.b.). KCPL made a voluntary decision (not required for compliance with a Commission order) in January 2000, to amortize gains and losses under FAS 87 over a five-year period. A five-year average of the unrecognized gain/loss balance has been amortized over five years since January 2000. Any method, which recognizes gains and losses over a shorter time frame, is considered a "more preferred" method under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"). Pursuant to GAAP, KCPL is precluded from changing the method of pension accounting to another method unless the change is to a more preferable method. In the case of FAS 87, a preferable method is a method that amortizes gains and losses more rapidly. The method described in paragraph (2.b.) does not amortize gains and losses more rapidly and is not considered a more preferable method. Therefore, KCPL cannot switch to that method for financial reporting. KCPL will establish a regulatory asset or liability for the annual difference in the FAS 87 result from the two different methods. KCPL's outside actuary will maintain actuarial reports under each method on an annual Any difference between the two methods is merely a timing basis.

difference that will eventually be recovered, or refunded, through rates under the method used in setting rates over the life of the pension plan. No rate base recognition will be required for any regulatory asset or liability calculated in accordance with this paragraph.

- (b) FAS 87 cost, used for ratemaking purposes, will be calculated based on the following methodology:
  - (i) Market Related Value for asset determination, smoothing all asset gains and losses that occur on and after January 1, 2005 over five years;
  - (ii) No 10% Corridor; and
  - (iii) Amortization period of 10 years for unrecognized gains and losses.
    (With a five-year MRV amortization, all gains/losses are reflected in 15 years.)
- (c) Any FAS 87 amount [as calculated in (2.b.) above], which exceeds the Minimum ERISA contribution, will reduce the prior net prepaid asset currently recognized in rate base of \$63,658,444 (\$28,963,526-Kansas. jurisdictional amount). When the prior net prepaid pension asset currently recognized in rate base is reduced to zero, any amount of FAS 87 [as calculated in paragraph (2.b.)], which exceeds the minimum Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) funding level, must be funded. The Kansas jurisdictional net prepaid pension amount to be included in rate base may be changed as provided in item (2.e.). Any SFAS 87 amount that exceeds the minimum ERISA funding level that is not funded because it exceeds the amount of funding that is tax deductible will be tracked as a regulatory liability to ensure it is funded in the future when it becomes tax deductible.
- (d) In the case that FAS 87 expense becomes negative, the KCPL is ordered to set up a regulatory liability to offset the negative expense. In future years, when FAS 87 expense becomes positive again, rates will remain zero until the prepaid pension asset that was created by negative expense is reduced to zero. The regulatory liability will be reduced at the same rate as the prepaid pension asset is reduced until the regulatory liability becomes zero. This regulatory liability is a non-cash item and should be excluded from rate base in future years.
- (e) The KCPL will be allowed rate recovery for contributions made to the pension trust in excess of the FAS 87 expense calculated pursuant to paragraph (2.b.) for the following reasons: (1) the minimum required contribution is greater than the FAS 87 expense level, (2) avoidance of Pension Benefit Guarantee Corporation (PBGC) variable premiums, and (3) avoidance of the recognition of a minimum pension liability (i.e. with associated charge to other comprehensive income). A regulatory asset will be established and will be allowed rate base treatment for the excess of

any contribution (as defined above) over the annual FAS 87 amount calculated in accordance with paragraph (2.b.).

- A regulatory asset or liability will be established on the KCPL's books to (f) track the difference between the level of FAS 87 expense calculated pursuant to paragraph (2.b.) during the rate period and the level of pension expense built into rates for that period, after consideration for pension costs capitalized. The level of FAS 87 current period costs, before capitalization, built into rates for the initial period is established as \$22,000,000. If the FAS 87 expense during the period is more than the expense built into rates for the period, KCPL will establish a regulatory asset. If the FAS 87 expense during the period is less than the expense built into rates for the period, KCPL will establish a regulatory liability. If the FAS 87 expense becomes negative, a regulatory liability equal to the difference between the level of pension expense built into rates for that period and \$0 will be established. Since this is a cash item, the regulatory asset or liability will be included in rate base and amortized over five years at the next rate case.
- (g) Any FAS 87 net prepaid pension asset, other than the amount identified in paragraph (2.c.), will not earn a return in future regulatory proceedings. The regulatory assets/liabilities identified in paragraphs (2.e.) and (2.f.) of this settlement agreement address the inclusion of any additional rate base amounts.
- 3. The parties agree that KCPL should follow the accounting treatment prescribed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) in General Instruction No. 23 regarding pension-related Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) and transfer existing and future pension OCI amounts to a regulated asset.
- 4. In addition, non-KCPL parties reserve the right to propose a different methodology for addressing FASB 87 pension expense in the first KCPL rate case proceeding after 2010. In the event that the Commission addresses FASB 87 pension expense in a general investigation, KCPL agrees to cooperate in such investigation and be bound by the results thereof in rate proceedings subsequent to 2010.

### F. <u>CUSTOMER PROGRAMS</u>

In calendar years 2005 through 2009, KCPL commits to implement the Demand Response, Efficiency and Affordability programs detailed in **Appendix B**, subject to the continuing review and prior approval of the Commission on a program-by-program basis. No program will be implemented until such approval has been obtained. The current design and estimated budgets associated with those

programs is \$52.8 million split between Kansas (\$23.8 Million) and Missouri (\$29 million) as detailed in **Appendix D**.

#### G. AFUDC, CONSTRUCTION ACCOUNTING AND IN-SERVICE CRITERIA

- 1. AFUDC. With regard to the AFUDC rate applicable to Iatan 2, KCPL agrees to a 2.5% or 250 basis point reduction in the equity portion of the rate. KCPL shall use this 250 basis point reduction in the AFUDC rate from the effective date of the rates determined in the first rate case (anticipated to be January 1, 2007) and in all subsequent calculations of AFUDC on Iatan 2 until the in-service date of Iatan 2. KCPL shall submit a report to Staff at the beginning of each calendar year during this plan of the AFUDC rates it will use and the calculation thereof.
- 2. Construction Accounting. The accrual of AFUDC on new investments shall cease when such plant is considered to be in service. This specifically precludes any "Construction Accounting" permitted in other jurisdictions that provides for continued accrual of AFDUC after Iatan 2 is considered in-service until the plant is reflected in rates.
- 3. In-Service Criteria. For purposes of determining whether the new generation resources are in service, the parties should use the same criteria as used by the Southwestern Power Pool for accreditation. Criteria for determining whether the new emissions control equipment is in service shall be developed by the parties.

### H. <u>DEPRECIATION</u>

The parties agree that KCPL is authorized to use the depreciation rates as set forth in **Appendix C-2**. KCPL agrees to file an updated full depreciation study prior to August 1, 2010.

### I. <u>OTHER CONDITIONS</u>

The parties agree that the following conditions apply to the rate proceedings during the term of this agreement and, where applicable, future proceedings:

- 1. *Rate treatment of investments.* 
  - (a) So long as KCPL implements the Resource Plan described herein (or a modified plan that has been approved by the Commission) and KCPL is in compliance with its Resource Plan Monitoring obligation, the parties agree that they will not take the position that the described investments should be excluded from KCPL's rate base on the ground that projects were not necessary, or that alternative technologies or fuels should have been used. The parties reserve their rights to inquire regarding the prudence of

KCPL's expenditure, or to assert that the appropriate amount to include in KCPL's rate base for these investments is a different amount (e.g. due to imprudent project management) than that proposed by KCPL.

- (b) KCPL agrees that it will not request, at any time during or after the Rate Plan, any additional return on the new investments in this plan that may be allowed by K.S.A. 66-117(e) or amendments thereto or other statues intended to encourage renewable resources or energy efficiency or conservation.
- (c) The non-KCPL parties specifically reserve their rights to review KCPL's decisions regarding its partners in the Iatan 2 plant and assert that such decisions resulted in higher costs that should not be allowed for rate purposes.
- (d) The non-KCPL parties reserve the right to propose adjustments to revenue requirements regarding the prudence of the installed cost, operation and maintenance costs or KWH output of the wind generation as opposed to the costs or output of comparable wind generation facilities.
- (e) The parties have not waived their right to argue regarding issues provided for under KSA 66-128.
- 2. Cost control system. KCPL agrees that it will develop and implement a cost control system that identifies and explains any cost overruns during the construction period above the cost amounts contained in accepted bids for the latan 2 project, the wind generation projects and the environment investments and will present such information as part of the appropriate rate proceeding.
- 3. *Deregulation.* KCPL recognizes that if generation assets are deregulated in the future, it is at risk for recovery of stranded costs related to the acquisition of the new generation. Furthermore, KCPL acknowledges that ratepayers would be entitled to a greater share of a gain on the disposition of the new generation upon deregulation due to possible implementation of the CIAC mechanism.
- 4. Special contracts. KCPL agrees that in any rate proceedings, any special contracts with customers that provide for discounted rates will treated as if they provided for revenues at the full generally applicable tariff rate including any ECA tariffs for service from KCPL and that any other special contractual provisions will treated in a manner that results in no increase in rates for other customers.
- 5. *Transmission costs.* As part of one of the rate proceedings contemplated by the agreement, KCPL agrees to include a proposal to have its transmission costs reflected in separate rates when it can be done appropriately consistent with its

wholesale tariffs. KCPL will consult with the parties about the timing of such a proposal.

- 6. *Rate Design.* The parties are not restricted in any manner as to the position they may take in the above rate proceedings regarding rate design.
- 7. *Costs of Debt.* For purposes of determining the cost of debt in the rate proceedings during the plan, the lower of the actual cost of debt or the cost of debt for an investment grade rating will be used.
- 8. *Jurisdictional Allocations.* The parties agree to use the 12 Coincident Peak method of allocating costs to the Kansas jurisdictional cost of service.

Kansas City Power & Light Company SO₂ Emission Allowance Management Policy

#### Introduction

The purpose of the  $SO_2$  Emission Allowance Management Policy (SEAMP) is to set out the approach, guidelines and reporting requirements that Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL) will utilize to manage its  $SO_2$  emission allowance inventory. Specifically, this policy is structured to achieve the following objective:

Continue to manage "banked" (past vintage) SO₂ emission allowances, current and future allowances in a manner that will mitigate, subject to any identified risk considerations, the expected future emission allowance cost associated with complying with current and future environmental regulations while fulfilling obligations to provide adequate service at reasonable rates, by optimizing the value to KCPL through transactions of allowances. As used in this objective statement, decisions impacting the "expected future emission allowance cost associated with complying with current and future emission allowance cost associated with complying with current and future emission allowance cost associated with complying with current and future environmental regulations" shall take into consideration: the market price of SO₂ emission allowances in emission control equipment, additional operating and maintenance costs associated with new installations of emission control equipment, and other changes in power production costs (e.g. due to declines in the efficiency (heat rates) of generating units and changes in merit order of unit dispatch) associated with new installations of emission control equipment.

### History

Following are excerpts from the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) website (<u>http://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/arp/overview.html#phases</u>) describing the SO₂ emission allowance trading program:

Title IV of the Clean Air Act [(CAA)] sets a goal of reducing annual  $SO_2$  emissions by 10 million tons below 1980 levels. To achieve these reductions, [a program, deemed the Acid Rain Program, was implemented].

The Acid Rain Program represents a dramatic departure from traditional command and control regulatory methods which establish specific, inflexible emissions limitations with which all affected sources must comply. Instead, the Acid Rain Program introduces an allowance trading system that harnesses the incentives of the free market to reduce pollution.

Under this system, affected utility units are allocated allowances based on their historic fuel consumption and a specific emissions rate. Each allowance permits a unit to emit 1 ton of  $SO_2$  during or after a specified year. For each ton of  $SO_2$  emitted in a given year, one allowance is retired, that is, it can no longer be used.

Allowances may be bought, sold, or banked. Anyone may acquire allowances and participate in the trading system. However, regardless of the number of allowances a source holds, it may not emit at levels that would violate federal or state limits set under Title I of the Clean Air Act to protect public health.

During Phase II of the program (now in effect), the Act set a permanent ceiling (or cap) of 8.95 million allowances for total annual allowance allocations to utilities. This cap firmly restricts emissions and ensures that environmental benefits will be achieved and maintained.

#### Procedures

KCPL finds itself in a position where it has an inventory of past, current and future vintage  $SO_2$  emission allowances. The following presents procedures that KCPL will follow to manage its allowance inventory in order to benefit KCPL and its customers and to provide information helpful in review of such activities.

#### SO₂ Plans

As stated above, KCPL is allocated a certain number of  $SO_2$  emission allowances as provided by law and/or regulation. Each year, allowances are issued for the year 30 years following. KCPL, as part of this agreement, will provide to KCC Staff and CURB annually its  $SO_2$  Plan ( $SO_2$  Plan). As part of the annual  $SO_2$  Plan, KCPL will provide the number of allowances it currently has banked, the number of allowances it projects to need on a yearly basis, and the number of additional allowances it projects to receive in future years. The annual  $SO_2$  Plan will also consider the scheduled commitments already in place.

The annual  $SO_2$  Plan will consider the types of coals that will be burned in generating units, the sulfur content of those coals, expected regulations that may affect the  $SO_2$  allowance management program, and expected installed air quality pollution control equipment that will affect emission rates of generating units.

Commencing with the first full calendar year the SEAMP is in effect, KCPL will provide its annual SO₂ Plan to KCC Staff and CURB by March 31 of each calendar year.¹

The annual SO₂ Plan may need to be updated throughout the year. Reasons which may require interim updates would include, but not be limited to: (1) substantial changes in the price of allowances, (2) substantial changes in the cost and/or effectiveness of emission control technologies, (3) substantial changes in environmental regulations or proposed environmental regulations, (4) substantial changes in other energy market conditions and (5) market opportunities. The KCC Staff and CURB will be notified if transactions not included in the annual SO₂ Plan exceed the projections by 50,000 allowances or more.

¹ KCPL's projection for 2005 was previously provided to the parties on January 23, 2005 and will be filed in the docket.

Any disputes about an  $SO_2$  Plan or any mid-year updates to a Plan will be discussed among the parties and the parties will cooperate to resolve the dispute in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve the dispute within forty (40) days of the original filing date, the matter will be brought to the KCC for its determination.

#### Contents of the SO₂ Plan

The initial  $SO_2$  Plan provided an assessment of the short-term to long-term allowance cost risk for compliance with current and future environmental regulations at various KCPL generating facilities. This assessment considered KCPL's option to install air quality control equipment that would lower  $SO_2$  emission rates.

Annual SO₂ Plans will include at least three different scenarios of projections. A baseline projection will be made based on projected fuel types (sulfur content), projected emission rates, and best estimate of future regulations. A second projection will be made that looks at a high emissions scenario. The final projection will look at a low emissions scenario. These scenarios will be used to project a range of future allowance bank surpluses or deficits for each year of a planning horizon. The planning horizon will consist of at least ten (10) years.

 $SO_2$  Plans will set out a range of allowances for intended transactions that KCPL proposes during the upcoming year. This range of allowances and the intended transactions will be based on a methodology that will consider risk exposure associated with the expected future emission allowance cost for complying with current and projected future environmental regulations while fulfilling obligations to provide adequate service at reasonable rates and ensuring that the operation of KCPL generators will not be restricted due to a deficiency of available  $SO_2$  emission allowances.

 $SO_2$  Plans will include a summary of the previous year's transactions including for each transaction the type of transaction, the quantity of allowances involved in the transaction, the quantity and vintage of any allowances received as a result of the transaction, any monetary value received as a result of the transaction, and any expenses (such as brokerage fees) related to the transaction.  $SO_2$  Plans will also include the quantity of allowances issued to KCPL in the past year by the EPA, the quantity of allowances used to offset emissions in the past year, the quantity of allowances (or value thereof) used to offset sulfur premiums paid under coal contracts, and the quantity of unused allowances allocated to partners.

Because public knowledge of KCPL's plans would jeopardize its ability to manage its  $SO_2$  emission allowances, KCPL's submitted projections (both the  $SO_2$  Plans and any updates to those Plans) and all transaction documentation will be considered "highly confidential." Certain public information included in the Plans will continue to be available on the Internet on the EPA's website.

### **Types of Transactions**

For the purposes of KCPL's SEAMP the following transactions will be allowed and defined as follows.

 $SO_2$  Emission Allowance Purchases –  $SO_2$  emission allowances purchased to meet expected requirements of KCPL's units.

 $SO_2$  Emission Allowance Sales –  $SO_2$  emission allowances sold from KCPL's share of general or unit account holdings.

 $SO_2$  Emission Allowance Exchanges – The exchange of SO₂ emission allowances either as a "like-kind" exchange or from one vintage to another.

 $SO_2$  Emission Allowance Call Sales – The sale of an option that gives the buyer (holder) the right to buy SO₂ emission allowances for a specified price within a specified time period in exchange for a premium payment. It obligates the seller (writer) of the option to sell SO₂ emission allowances at the designated price should the buyer exercise the option.

 $SO_2$  Emission Allowance Put Purchases – The purchase of an option that gives the buyer (holder) the right but not the obligation to sell SO₂ emission allowances for a specified price within a specified time period in exchange for a premium payment. It obligates the seller (writer) of the option to buy SO₂ emission allowances at the designated price should the buyer exercise the option.

### **Trading Parameters and Authorization**

Details of the (1) internal controls, (2) authorization parameters and limits, (3) internal management reports, and (4) duties and workflow of personnel involved in implementing and overseeing the SEAMP are included in separate documentation; however, the following paragraph sets forth the fundamental controls for the SEAMP program. SO₂ allowance trading can only be authorized by the Company's Designated Representative (DR), Authorized Account Representative (AAR), or Alternate Authorized Account Representative (AAR) as defined by the CAA. Approval requirements for transactions will be consistent with other similar transaction approval requirements within the Company. Proceeds and costs related to transactions completed under the SEAMP will be accounted for in account 254000, Other Regulatory Liabilities.

### Special Allowance Reserve

Because the availability of allowances is crucial to ensure both the economic efficiency of the emissions limitation program and the addition of new electric-generating capacity, Title IV of the CAA mandates that EPA hold or sponsor yearly auctions of allowances for a small portion of the total allowances allocated each year. The auctions help ensure that new units have a public source of allowances beyond those allocated initially to

existing units. The parties recognize that, to supply this auction, the EPA withholds a portion of the Company's annual allowance allocation. The EPA sells these allowances at auction and provides the proceeds to KCPL. The parties understand that KCPL has no control over such EPA withholding or the amount of proceeds received for these auctioned allowances; however, any such proceeds will be accounted for in the same manner as a transaction completed under the SEAMP.

#### Summary of 2005 SO₂ Plan

In addition to the factors discussed above under  $SO_2$  Plans, KCPL's current projections are influenced by the following:

- Baselines for each unit were established for the initial allocation of SO₂ emission allowances based on historical averages for fossil fuel consumed from 1985 through 1987.
- While KCPL net generation has been greater than the generation levels established in the baseline, significant reductions in SO₂ emissions have resulted because of conversions to Power River Basin coal.
- KCPL has accumulated past vintage allowances in its SO₂ allowance "bank".
- The Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) will impact future SO₂ emission allowance requirements.
- The respective State Implementation Plans set forth by Missouri and Kansas to address implementation of CAIR will impact future SO₂ emission allowance requirements.
- New SO₂ emission regulations are anticipated to have the impact of requiring two allowances for each ton of SO₂ emitted beginning with vintage 2010, and three allowances for each ton emitted beginning with vintage 2015.
- KCPL's strategic initiative for implementing environmental upgrades aligns timing of such upgrades with changes in allowance requirements noted above.
- If no sales strategy is implemented as part of the Regulatory Plan, KCPL will maintain an inventory of SO₂ emission allowances well in excess of requirements given implementation of comprehensive environmental retrofits as scheduled in the Regulatory Plan.

KCPL's Regulatory Plan includes the sale of  $SO_2$  emission allowances. Because the need for allowances will decrease with the completion of environmental upgrades described in the Regulatory Plan, the proposed sale of  $SO_2$  allowances will provide needed cash flow during periods of high capital expenditures anticipated in the Regulatory Plan while maintaining a relatively low post-construction period allowance cost impact.

KCPL's strategy for environmental upgrades, including the cost thereof and unit-specific schedules, is included in the KCPL Regulatory Plan.

#### Kansas City Power & Light Company Depreciation & Amortization Rates Kansas Jurisdictional

		Avg.		
Account	Acct.	Service	Net	Deprec.
	No.	Life	Salvage	Rate
Total Steam Production (Note)				
Structures & Improvements	311	32.0	-10.0%	3.44%
Structures & Improvements Structures & Improv – Haw 5 Rebuild	311	52.0	-10.070	0.85%
Boiler Plant Equipment (excl trains)	312	25.5	-5.0%	4.12%
Boiler Plant Equipment - Trains	312	25.5 15.0	-0.0 <i>%</i> 10.0%	6.00%
• •	312	10.0	0.0%	10.00%
Boiler Plant Equip-Scrubber-La Cygne	312	10.0	0.076	1.02%
Boiler Plant Equip – Haw 5 Rebuild	312	42.4	0.0%	2.36%
Turbogenerator Units		42.4		2.30%
Accessory Electric Equipment	315	33.7	5.0%	
Accessory Electric Equip – Haw 5 Rebuild	315	20.0	0.00/	0.70%
Acc Electric Equip – Computers (like 391)	315	30.0	8.0%	3.07%
Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	316	22.8	5.0%	4.16%
Misc Power Plant Equip – Haw 5 Rebuild	316			1.03%
Total Nuclear Production (Note)				
Structures & Improvements	321			1.55%
Reactor Plant Equipment	322			1.73%
Turbogenerator Unites	323			1.96%
Accessory Electric Equipment	324			1.73%
Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment	325			2.36%
Nuclear Plant Write-Off	328			1.73%
<b>Total Combustion Turbines</b>				
Structures & Improvements	341	25.0	0.0%	4.00%
Fuel Holders, Producers, & Acc. Equip.	342	25.0	0.0%	4.00%
Generators	344	25.0	0.0%	4.00%
	345	25.0 25.0	0.0%	4.00%
Accessory Electric Equipment	343	20.0	0.070	4.0070
Total Wind Generation				
Structures & Improvements	341	20.0		5.00%
Generators	344	20.0		5.00%
Accessory Electric Equipment	345	20.0		5.00%
Total Transmission Plant				
Structures & Improvements	352	45.0	-5.0%	2.33%
Station Equipment	353	29.3	5.0%	3.24%
Station Equip-Communication Equip (like 397)	353	26.0	5.0%	3.65%
Towers & Fixtures	354	40.0	-10.0%	2.75%

Note: Nuclear Production rates are based on a lifespan under a 60-year license using remaining life rates. Rates for Steam Production Plant related to Hawthorn Unit 5 Rebuild plant reflect Missouri jurisdictional rates after consideration of insurance and subrogation recoveries recorded in Account 108, Accumulated Provision for Depreciation. Future depreciation studies will use remaining life rates.

356 357 358	27.0 27.0 50.0 50.0	-5.0% 15.0% -5.0% 10.0%	3.89% 3.15% 2.10% 1.80%
857 858	50.0 50.0	-5.0%	2.10%
358	50.0		
	******	10.0%	1.80%
861	45.0		
861			
361			
	45.0	-5.0%	2.33%
			2.51%
			3.65%
364	30.0	-6.0%	3.53%
865	27.0	25.0%	2.78%
866	50.0	-5.0%	2.10%
867	25.0	20.0%	3.20%
368	25.0	10.0%	3.60%
369	33.0	5.0%	2.88%
370	28.0	5.0%	3.39%
371	8.5	2.0%	11.53%
373	29.0	5.0%	3.28%
390	50.0	5.0%	1.90%
			3.07%
			7.73%
			3.17%
			3.52%
			2.88%
			5.33%
			3.65%
			5.59%
	362      364      365      366      367      368      369      371      373      390      391      392      393      394      395      396	362    37.0      362    26.0      364    30.0      365    27.0      366    50.0      367    25.0      368    25.0      369    33.0      370    28.0      371    8.5      373    29.0      390    50.0      391    30.0      392    11.0      393    30.0      394    27.0      395    33.0      396    15.0      397    26.0	362 $37.0$ $7.0%$ $362$ $26.0$ $5.0%$ $364$ $30.0$ $-6.0%$ $365$ $27.0$ $25.0%$ $366$ $50.0$ $-5.0%$ $367$ $25.0$ $20.0%$ $368$ $25.0$ $10.0%$ $369$ $33.0$ $5.0%$ $370$ $28.0$ $5.0%$ $371$ $8.5$ $2.0%$ $373$ $29.0$ $5.0%$ $391$ $30.0$ $8.0%$ $392$ $11.0$ $15.0%$ $393$ $30.0$ $5.0%$ $394$ $27.0$ $5.0%$ $395$ $33.0$ $5.0%$ $396$ $15.0$ $20.0%$ $397$ $26.0$ $5.0%$

# Amortization of Limited Term & Other Electric Plant

		Avg.		
Account	Acct. No.	Service Life	Net Salvage	Deprec. Rate
Intangible – Five Year Software	303	5.0	0.0%	20.0%
Intangible – Ten Year Software	303	10.0	0.0%	10.0%
Intangible – Communication Equip (like 397)	303	26.0	5.0%	3.65%
Intangible – Accessory Equip (like 345)	303	25.0	0.0%	4.00%
Steam Prod-Structures & Impr-Leasehold Impr	311	Lease		
Combustion Turbine Plant – Land Rights	340			0.00%
Transmission Plant – Land Rights	350			0.00%
Distribution Plant – Land Rights	360			0.00%
General –Structures & Impr-Leasehold Impr	390	Lease		

Note: Nuclear Production rates are based on a lifespan under a 60-year license using remaining life rates. Rates for Steam Production Plant related to Hawthorn Unit 5 Rebuild plant reflect Missouri jurisdictional rates after consideration of insurance and subrogation recoveries recorded in Account 108, Accumulated Provision for Depreciation. Future depreciation studies will use remaining life rates.

	A	B	Q	D	ŢŦĴ	щ	G	H
н				REGULA	<b>REGULATORY INITIATIVES</b>	ATIVES		
Ν				CAPITAL/AA	CAPITAL/AMORTIATIONS PROJECTS	S PROJECTS		
ω					(\$s in thousands)	ousands)		
4	PROJECT		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009*	TOTAL
ഗ							-	
თ	IATAN 2		13,027	30,912	129,920	230,499	329,308	733,666
٦								
ω	WIND GENERATION		19,215	111,623	0	0	0	130,838
و								
10	ENVIRONMENTAL		8,387	44,949	107,900	101,225	9,352	271,813
11								
12	ASSET MANAGEMENT		4,000	5,696	8,501	11,309	12,820	42,326
13								
14	DSM PROGRAMS		6,442	8,935	10,132	11,863	15,410	52,782
15								
16	16 TOTAL		51,071	202,115	256,453	354,896	366,890	1,231,425
17								
18	*latan 2 numbers include \$148,680(000) of expenditures in 2010	48,680(000)	of expendit	ures in 2010				
I								

### APPENDIX E

#### **Credit Ratio Ranges & Definitions**

	А	A	A	۸		BBB		<u> </u>	B
-	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Top $^{1}/_{3}$	Max.	Min.	Max.
Total Debt to Total Capitalization ⁽¹⁾	32%	40%	40%	48%	48%	51%	58%	58%	62%
Funds From Operations Interest Coverage ⁽²⁾	5.2x	6.0x	4.2x	5.2x	3.0x	3.8x	4.2x	2.0x	3.0x
Funds From Operations as a % of Average Total Debt ⁽³⁾	35%	45%	28%	35%	18%	25%	28%	12%	18%

#### **Ratio Definitions:**

- (1) "<u>Total Debt to Total Capitalization</u>" is calculated as Total Debt ÷ Total Capitalization where Total Debt and Total Capitalization are defined as below:
  - Total Debt is calculated as:
    - Notes Payable + Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt + Current Capitalized Lease Obligations + Long-Term Debt + Capitalized Lease Obligations + Total Off-Balance Sheet Debt
      - "Total Off-Balance Sheet Debt" includes off-balance sheet financings such as:
        - Operating and synthetic leases, accounts receivable securitizations, contingent liabilities and other potential off-balance sheet obligations
  - Total Capitalization includes:
    - Total Debt + Minority Interest + Total Preferred and Preference Stock + Common Stock Equity
- (2) "<u>Funds From Operations Interest Coverage</u>" is calculated as (Funds From Operations + Gross Interest Expense) ÷ Gross Interest Expense where Funds From Operations and Gross Interest Expense are defined as below:
  - Funds From Operations is calculated as:
    - Cash From Operations Working Capital
  - Gross Interest Expense is calculated as:
    - Interest Expense (net) + Allowance For Borrowed Funds Used During Construction + Interest on Off-Balance Sheet Debt
- (3) "<u>Funds From Operations as a % of Average Total Debt</u>" is calculated as Funds From Operations ÷ Average Total Debt where Funds From Operations and Average Total Debt are defined as below:
  - Funds From Operations
    - As defined above
  - Average Total Debt is calculated as:
    - The average total debt over the period subject to analysis