Exhibit No.:

Issues: EV Charging Witness: Byron Murray

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimo

pe of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Case No.: ET-2016-0246

Date Testimony Prepared: November 29, 2016

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT TARIFF/RATE DESIGN UNIT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

BYRON M. MURRAY

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI CASE NO. ET-2016-0246

Jefferson City, Missouri November 2016

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2					
3	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY				
4					
5	\mathbf{OF}				
6					
7	BYRON M. MURRAY				
8					
9	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI				
10					
11	CASE NO. ET-2016-0246				
12					
13	TARIFF ANALYSIS5				
14	STAFF RECOMMENDATION5				
1.5					
15					

1 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY 2 3 OF 4 5 **BYRON M. MURRAY** 6 7 UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI 8 9 CASE NO. ET-2016-0246 10 11 Q. Please state your name and business address. 12 A. Byron Murray, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. 13 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? I am a Regulatory Economist III in the Tariff/Rate Design Unit of the Missouri 14 A. 15 Public Service Commission ("Commission"). 16 Please describe your educational background and work experience. O. 17 A. I attended Lincoln University in Jefferson City, Missouri. In May 1997, I 18 received a Bachelor of Science degree. I then attended the University of Missouri – Columbia 19 in Columbia, Missouri. I graduated in May 2004 with a Master of Public Administration. I 20 have been employed by the Commission since October, 2013. Prior to joining the 21 Commission, I performed in regulatory enforcement positions with the state of Missouri in the 22 Department of Natural Resources, Department of Health and Senior Services and the Office 23 of Administration for the past 23 years. 24 Q. What has been the nature of your duties with the Commission? 25 A. From October 2013 to October 2016, I worked in the Energy Resources 26 Department as a Utility Policy Analyst II and in the Tariffs/Rate Design Unit of the 27 Operational Analysis Department as a Regulatory Economist II where my duties consisted of 28 analyzing applications, reviewing tariffs and making recommendations based upon those

- evaluations. On September 1, 2016, I assumed my current position as Regulatory Economist III, within the same Section, where my duties consist of coordinating highly complex activities, analyzing applications, reviewing tariffs, and making recommendations based upon my evaluations.
 - Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?
 - A. Yes. A list of cases in which I have filed testimony before this Commission is attached as Schedule BMM-r1.
 - Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
- A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the Direct Testimony of Ameren Missouri witness Mark Nealon. Specifically, I will respond to statements in his testimony claiming that the electric vehicle (EV) charging system is environmentally beneficial for all ratepayers as well as his claims regarding grid efficiency impacts. I will also provide an analysis of Ameren Missouri's proposed pilot program ("pilot program") for EV charging stations and its revised tariff.
 - Q. What is your understanding of Ameren Missouri's proposed pilot program?
- A. Ameren Missouri plans to install and operate six charging islands in its service territory along the Interstate 70 ("I-70") corridor between St. Louis and Boonville, and on Highway 54 in Jefferson City. Ameren Missouri estimates a \$570,000 total capital investment for the six charging islands and approximately \$40,000 of annual on-going hardware operation and maintenance expense for access to those vendors managing the charging station network. In addition, Ameren Missouri anticipates a \$10,000 annual marketing and education expense during the first three years of the program. No specific

¹ EFIS, Case No ET-2016-0246, Mark Nealon Direct Testimony, Page 15, Lines 8-17.

- Q. Has Staff previously filed a recommendation on the application and proposed tariff?
- A. Yes. Staff filed its recommendation September 28, 2016. Staff recommended that the Commission only approve Ameren Missouri's proposed tariff sheets as they are currently filed on the condition that all revenues, expenses and investment associated with the program are recorded below-the-line in order to hold ratepayers harmless. Further, as part of its pilot program, Staff recommended Ameren Missouri be required to gather data and report annually to the Commission and interested stakeholders on the impact of EV charging stations on grid reliability.
- Q. What claim has Ameren Missouri made about the impacts of the network charging system on the environment and the grid?
- A. Mark Nealon makes the following claim in his Direct Testimony, "Ameren Missouri's electric grid, like most others across the nation, operates below maximum capacity for most of any given year. Aided by thoughtful load management, a considerable EV population could root itself in the service territory without the need for generation or line infrastructure upgrades, hence applying a consistent downward pressure on electric rates"².
- Q. Has Ameren Missouri explained the load management techniques that Mark Nealon references?

² EFIS, Case No ET-2016-0246, Mark Nealon Direct Testimony, Page 29, Lines 1-5

- A. No, Ameren Missouri has not specifically explained how the EV charging network will be incorporated into a demand response program or into supply-side resources.
 - Q. What other statements has Ameren Missouri made about the benefits of EVs?
 - A. Mark Nealon also states the following:
 - To the extent that EV adoption can be positively affected by enabling the long-distance end-use, the fact remains that the vast majority of the charging involved for those new vehicles in fact, 80% to 90% of it will still be done at home, and subject to the types of creative load management measures a well-designed TOU rate represents. Therefore, home charging will likely be an area of focus for load management programs Ameren Missouri considers".
- Q. And does Mark Nealon lay out any plans Ameren Missouri has to encourage EV users to utilize public charging stations over home chargers or to charge at non-peak times?
- A. Mark Nealon does mention load management as an area Ameren Missouri will consider as part of its pilot program. However, Ameren Missouri has not proposed a separate rate that would incent owners and operators of EVs to charge during off-peak hours. Ameren Missouri has not proposed any types of load management programs such as supply side resourcing or demand response programs specific to the EV charging network. Any impact on grid efficiency or emissions from the power plants that will be powering the EV charging stations will likely stem from proper rate design and the properly designed demand response programs. Staff recommends Ameren Missouri implement some type of demand response program or special rate during the initial three years of the pilot program to assist it in analyzing the effects of EVs on grid reliability and load management.

³ EFIS, Case No ET-2016-0246, Mark Nealon Direct Testimony, Page 32, Lines 7-13

TARIFF ANALYSIS

- Q. What issues did Staff find in its review of the tariff for the EV charging station network?
- A. Staff had the following issue with the tariff as it is now revised per Commission order, *Order Rejecting Tariff Filing and Directing Filing on October 6, 2016*: The revised tariff applies a per minute rate to the Level 2 charging stations and a per kW rate to the Level 3 charging stations. Staff recommends the tariff list both of the rates as either a per minute rate or as a per kW rate at an equivalent dollar amount.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

- Q. Does Staff recommend the approval of the tariff?
- A. Staff recommends that the Commission only approve Ameren Missouri's revised tariff sheets on the condition that all revenues, expenses and investment associated with the program being recorded below-the-line in order to hold ratepayers harmless. Further, if approved, Staff recommends the Commission order Ameren Missouri to revise its tariff as noted in Staff's recommendation above. Finally, as part of its pilot program, Staff recommends Ameren Missouri be required to gather data and report annually to the Commission and interested stakeholders on the impact of electric vehicle charging stations on grid reliability.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
 - A. Yes it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Applica Company d/b/a Ameren Mi Of a Tariff Setting a Rate for Charging Stations)))	Case No. ET-2016-0246				
AFFIDAVIT OF BYRON M. MURRAY						
STATE OF MISSOURI)					
COUNTY OF COLE) ss)					

COMES NOW Byron M. Murray and on his oath states that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

Byron M. Murray

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 29th day of November, 2016.

JESSICA LUEBBERT
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: February 19, 2018
Commission Number: 15633434

Notary Public

BYRON M. MURRAY CREDENTIALS

PRESENT POSITION

I am currently employed as a Regulatory Economist III in the Tariff/Rate Design Unit, Operational Analysis Department within the Commission Staff Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission. I have been employed at the Missouri Public Service Commission since October 2013.

EDUCATION

I received my Bachelor of Science in Agricultural Business from Lincoln University in Jefferson City, MO in May 1997. I completed my Master of Public Administration from the University of Missouri – Columbia in Columbia, MO in May 2004.

EMPLOYMENT BACKGROUND

Prior to joining the Commission, I worked as an Energy Planner II for the Division of Energy, Department of Economic Development. I was a Unit Chief/Fiscal and Administrative Manager, in the Water Protection Program of the Department of Natural Resources responsible for the management of fee collections. I also worked as a Management Analyst Specialist II in the Administration Division and the Solid Waste Management Program of the Department of Natural Resources. I was employed as a Planner II/State Project Manager for the Scrap Tire Unit in the Solid Waste Management Program of the Department of Natural Resources. I have approximately 23 years of professional regulatory enforcement experience with the State of Missouri.

This will be my third participation in a rate case before the commission. Please see the table below of case proceedings:

Case Number	Company Name	Testimony Type	Type of Case	Issue
ER-2014-0370	KCP&L	Direct/Rebuttal/Surrebuttal	Electric Rate Case	Tariff/Rate Design
ET-2016-0246	Ameren Missouri	Rebuttal	Electric Vehicle Tariff	Tariff/Rate Design for Electric Vehicle Charging Station Network
EW-2016-0123	Electric Vehicle Working Docket	Staff Report	Working Group	Tariff/Rate Design Electric Vehicle Charging Station
EW-2016-0313	A Working Case To Consider Policies To Improve Electric Utility Regulation	Staff Report	Working Group	Tariff / Rate Design to improve regulation
GA-2017-0016	Summit Natural Gas of Missouri	Staff Recommendation	Working Group	CCN Application