## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Halo Wireless, Inc.,	)
Complainant,	)
v.	)
Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Ellington Telephone Company, Goodman Telephone Company, Granby Telephone Company, Iamo Telephone Company, Le-Ru Telephone Company, McDonald County Telephone Company, Miller Telephone Company, Ozark Telephone Company, Rock Port Telephone Company, Seneca Telephone Company, Alma Communications Company, d/b/a Alma Telephone Company, Choctaw Telephone Company; MoKan Dial, Inc., Peace Valley Telephone Company, Inc.,	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
and,	)
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, d/b/a AT&T Missouri	)
Respondents.	)

## NOTICE REGARDING COMMUNICATION AND POST-HEARING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

Issue Date: July 24, 2012

On July 23, 2012, Daniel Young, one of the attorneys of record for Halo Wireless,

Inc. sent an electronic mail to the Regulatory Law Judge. That email was also sent to

the other counsel of record in this matter. A copy of the email exchange is attached to

this notice.

Mr. Young has advised the Commission that last week the United States Bankruptcy Court converted Halo's bankruptcy case to a Chapter 7 bankruptcy and appointed a trustee for Halo. Mr. Young states that his firm has not been authorized to make any filing in response to yesterday's deadline for filing proposed orders including proposed findings of fact and proposed conclusions of law. Consequently, the Commission makes notice of the following:

1.) The evidentiary hearing concluded on June 27, 2012.

2.) Halo was represented by counsel at the evidentiary hearing.

3.) The transcript of the evidentiary hearing was filed in the Commission's Electronic Information and Filing System on July 6, 2012.

4.) A deadline of July 6, 2012 was set for Halo to file an exhibit late, i.e., Halo's original wireless license.<sup>1</sup> That deadline has passed and the evidentiary record is closed.

5.) The Commission has complied with Section 386.420.1 and .4 by allowing all parties to be heard and present evidence, and to be represented by counsel.

6.) The Commission has complied with Section 536.063(3) by providing a reasonable opportunity for the preparation and presentation of evidence bearing on the issues raised and the relief sought with Halo's complaint.

7.) The Commission has complied with Section 536.080.1's requirement that parties are entitled to present oral arguments or written briefs at or after the hearing. The parties were allowed to file issues lists and position statements prior to the evidentiary hearing. The position statements delineate the parties' legal arguments in relation to the issues. The parties provided opening statements at the evidentiary hearing. No party requested closing arguments at the conclusion of the evidentiary hearing. All parties were given the opportunity to file proposed orders and reply briefs.

8.) The deadline for filing proposed orders and proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law was July 23, 2012.

9.) The deadline for filing reply briefs is July 30, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Transcript, pp. 508-509.

10.) The following attorneys are counsel of record for Halo: Daniel R. Young, Louis A. Huber, Jennifer Larson, Troy P. Majoue, William S. McCollough, and Steven H. Thomas. Ms. Larson, Mr. Majoue, Mr. McCollough and Mr. Thomas are appearing *pro hac vice*.

11.) At the evidentiary hearing the following counsel appeared for Halo: Daniel R. Young, Troy P. Majoue and William S. McCollough.

12.) Daniel R. Young's July 23, 2012 email notification was filed on behalf of Mr. Young and Mr. Huber, Halo's local counsel in Missouri.

13.) No counsel for Halo has filed a request to extend the deadlines of the posthearing procedural schedule.

14.) No counsel for Halo has sought leave to withdraw from representation of Halo.

## BY THE COMMISSION

Steven C. Reed Secretary

(SEAL)

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2012.

Stearley, Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge