

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of a Possible Amendment) **Case No. TX-2006-0444**
to Section 4 CSR 240-29.040)

NOTICE OPENING NEW CASE, INVITING COMMENTS AND ISSUING PROTECTIVE ORDER

Issue Date: May 24, 2006

On May 23, 2006, the Commission determined that 4 CSR 240-29.040(4) does not require the Calling Party Number ("CPN") for wireless calls to be included in Category 11 billing records.

This case is opened to determine whether an amendment to 4 CSR 240-29.040 to require wireless CPN to be included in Category 11 billing records or other records exchanged between telecommunication carriers is necessary. If such an amendment is deemed necessary, it will be filed pursuant to this case. Although interested persons would have an opportunity to submit comments on any proposed amendment, the Commission seeks information prior to proposal of such an amendment.

Therefore, the Commission invites any interested person to file comments concerning this matter. The Commission seeks the following information:

1. Why would a terminating carrier need CPN for wireless calls in the Category 11 records if the carrier receives CPN contemporaneous with the call?
2. Is it possible for a terminating carrier to reconfigure its equipment to collect the CPN in lieu of receiving it in a Category 11 record? If so, at what cost?

3. How much revenue have terminating carriers lost because wireless CPN has not been included in the Category 11 records? How was that revenue number calculated? What percentage of overall revenue is that "lost" revenue number?
4. Why are wireless calls treated differently from wireline calls in relation to CPN in the Category 11 records?
5. What is the estimated cost to the transiting carrier to reconfigure its equipment to capture a wireless CPN for the Category 11 records?
6. What is the estimated time frame within which such reconfiguration is practicable?

BY THE COMMISSION



Colleen M. Dale
Secretary

(S E A L)

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 24rd day of May, 2006.

Dale, Chief Regulatory Law Judge