Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Deferred Income Taxes
Witness: Gregory L. Nelson
Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: ER-2008-0318

Date Testimony Prepared: October 14, 2008

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Case No. ER-2008-0318

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

GREGORY L. NELSON

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

** DENOTES HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION **

St. Louis, Missouri October, 2008

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		GREGORY L. NELSON
4		CASE NO. ER-2008-0318
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
6	A.	My name is Gregory L. Nelson. My business address is One Ameren
7	Plaza, 1901 C	Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
8	Q.	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
9	A.	I am employed by Ameren Services Company as Vice President & Tax
10	Counsel.	
11	Q.	Please describe Ameren Services Company.
12	A.	Ameren Services Company is a subsidiary of Ameren Corporation that
13	provides ada	ministrative and technical support services for its parent and other
14	subsidiaries,	including Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE ("AmerenUE" or
15	"Company").	Ameren Services Company was formed as a result of the December 1997
16	merger transa	action involving UE and CIPSCO Incorporated.
17	Q.	Please describe your employment history with Ameren Services
18	Company.	
19	A.	I was hired by UE as Manager, Taxes in October, 1995, and became
20	Manager, Ta	xes of Ameren Services Company, when that company was formed. In
21	1999, I was e	lected Vice President & Tax Counsel of Ameren Services Company.

22

1 Q. Please describe your duties and responsibilities as Vice President & 2 Tax Counsel. 3 I am Ameren's chief tax officer, and my department is responsible for A. 4 federal, state and local tax accounting, compliance, advice and planning for the Ameren 5 group of corporations, including UE. 6 Q. Please describe your qualifications. 7 I graduated from Vanderbilt University in 1978 with a Bachelor of Arts A. 8 degree in Business Administration. I also received a Juris Doctor degree from Vanderbilt 9 University in 1981. I received a Master of Laws degree in Taxation from Georgetown 10 University in 1988. I have been employed exclusively in the field of taxation since my 11 graduation from law school. My tax experience includes government service (with the 12 Tax Division of the United States Department of Justice in Washington, D.C. from 1984-13 1988), the private practice of law (with Reid & Priest in Washington, D.C. from 1988-14 1995) and employment as the head of the UE and Ameren Services Tax Departments 15 (1995-present). Since 1988, my career has been exclusively focused on the taxation of 16 regulated utilities and related businesses. 17 I have served as Chair of the Edison Electric Institute's Taxation 18 Committee and Co-chair of that committee's Tax Analysis and Research Subcommittee. 19 I have also served as the President of the St. Louis Chapter of Tax Executives Institute. 20 I have testified before a subcommittee of the Ways and Means Committee

of the United States House of Representatives and various committees of the Missouri

Senate and House of Representatives on matters relating to the taxation of regulated

- 1 utilities. I also have testified before the Illinois Commerce Committee on taxation issues
- 2 during hearings on the merger transaction involving UE and CIPSCO Incorporated.
- 3 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
- 4 A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to address an adjustment that
- 5 Staff has made to increase the amount of deferred income taxes that reduce rate base.
- 6 The specific adjustment relates to the treatment of liabilities associated with uncertain tax
- 7 positions.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 8 Q. How did the Staff address the treatment of liabilities associated with
- 9 uncertain tax positions?

UE's uncertain tax positions.

A. On pages 11-12 of the Staff Report on Cost of Service ("Report"), the Staff described the effect of deferred income taxes and the ratemaking principle that net deferred income liabilities are a reduction to rate base. Staff identified uncertain tax positions that UE has taken with respect to temporary book-tax differences. Staff stated that UE will not know whether the uncertain tax positions will be allowed or disallowed until the completion of the audits of its tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service. Staff stated that the Company has recorded reserves for those uncertain tax positions. Staff concluded that it "does not believe that the deferred tax balance should be reduced for the determination of rates until a final determination has been made regarding these items by the Internal Revenue Service." In Accounting Schedule 2, line 20, Staff proposes that the amount of Deferred Taxes that reduces rate base should be **

** That amount includes liabilities in the amount of **

NP

Q. Do you agree with Staff's adjustment to De

- A. No. The deferred tax balance used to reduce rate base should not include liabilities associated with uncertain tax positions. Therefore, I believe that the Deferred Taxes that reduce rate base, line 20 of Accounting Schedule 2, should be **
 - Q. Why should liabilities associated with uncertain tax positions be excluded from the balance of deferred tax liabilities that reduce rate base?
- 8 A. As a general rule, ratemaking should provide UE's customers with the tax 9 benefits that UE ultimately realizes.

As Staff states in its Report, deferred taxes arise from temporary differences between book and tax treatment of an item of income or expense. These deferred taxes are in essence an interest-free loan from the government to the taxpayer. Because the interest-free loan from the government is essentially "no-cost capital" to a regulated utility, well-established regulatory principles require that the deferred taxes be removed from rate base. These principles reflect the objective of ratemaking to provide ratepayers with the benefit of tax deferrals that are actually realized by the utility.

However, Staff's revised Accounting Schedule 2 treats liabilities associated with uncertain tax positions as deferred taxes in the rate base calculation. This treatment is erroneous because it has the effect of treating such liabilities as no-cost capital. Liabilities for uncertain tax positions are not cost-free capital, and should therefore be excluded from the deferred taxes that reduce rate base.

NP

Q. Why is it incorrect to treat liabilities for uncertain tax positions as nocost capital?

A. The liabilities for uncertain tax positions represent the best estimate of the amount of liabilities that UE expects to pay to taxing authorities, with interest. Because these liabilities to the government bear interest, they are not cost-free capital to UE.

Q. How does UE determine the amount of liabilities for uncertain tax positions?

A. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") provide rules for recording the effect of tax deferrals resulting from temporary book-tax differences in SFAS 109 and FASB Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48"). Under these rules, a regulated utility, like any other publicly-held enterprise, records as a deferred tax only the portion of the deferral that meets the criteria for recognition prescribed by FIN 48. Under FIN 48, the potential deferral associated with the uncertain tax position that does not meet the criteria for recognition is not recorded as a deferred tax, but rather as a separate "other" liability, which we refer to as the "FIN 48 liability." This amount is a liability for the deferral of tax that the taxpayer claimed on its tax returns that, under the FIN 48 standard, may not be recognized. In other words, the FIN 48 liability is the amount that the taxpayer expects to repay the government, with interest, under the FIN 48 standard.

Q. What internal and external review is required for FIN 48 liabilities?

A. FIN 48 requires UE to review the FIN 48 liabilities quarterly and adjust them to take into account changes in laws and regulations. In addition, UE's external auditors review UE's FIN 48 liabilities, also on a quarterly basis. Therefore, there is a constant true-up process of all FIN 48 liabilities, as mandated by GAAP.

1 Q. What is the effect of the Staff's position?

- A. Under the calculation employed in the Staff's revised Accounting
- 3 Schedule 2, 100% of the benefit of tax deferrals for uncertain tax positions reduces rates
- 4 by virtue of the rate base offset. If the ultimate outcome matches the FIN 48 analysis
- 5 (i.e., UE loses the uncertain tax position), there will be no deferral of tax and no
- 6 mechanism for UE to recover the amount that reduced rates but was not actually realized
- 7 by the utility.

10

- 8 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- 9 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric) Company d/b/a AmerenUE for) Authority to File Tariffs Increasing) Rates for Electric Service Provided) Case No. ER-2008-0318 To Customers in the Company's) Missouri Service Area.				
AFFIDAVIT OF GREGORY L. NELSON				
STATE OF MISSOURI)				
CITY OF ST. LOUIS) ss				
Gregory L. Nelson, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:				
1. My name is Gregory L. Nelson. I am employed by Ameren Services				
Company as Vice President & Tax Counsel.				
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal	L			
Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, consisting of				
6 pages, all of which have been prepared in written form for introduction into eviden	ıce			
in the above-referenced docket.				
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached				
testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct Gregory L. Nelson				
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13 th day of October, 2008. Augustus Vibratock Notary Public	,			
My commission expires: VIVAUIA Make State Commission Commission (Commission (