Notice of Ex Parte Contact

- TO: Data Center All Parties to Case Nos. GC-2006-0313 GC-2006-0060 GC-2006-0390
- FROM: Nancy Dippell Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge
- DATE: April 21, 2006



On April 20, 2006, each of the Commissioners and I received the attached letters from Representative Mike Vogt and Representative Brad Robinson and e-mail from Representative Michael J. Spreng. The Commission is currently considering the issues discussed in these letters in Case Nos. GC-2006-0313, GC-2006-0060, and GC-2006-0390, which are contested cases. In contested cases, the Commission is bound by the same *ex parte* rule as a court of law; that is, to avoid off-the-record discussions going to the merits of the contested case.

Although communications from members of the public and members of the General Assembly are always welcome, those communications must be made known to all parties to a contested case so that those parties have an opportunity to respond. According to the Commission's rules (4 CSR 240-4.020(8)), when a communication (either oral or written) concerning a contested case occurs outside the hearing process, any member of the Commission or Law Judge who received the communication must prepare a written report concerning the communication and submit it to each member of the Commission and to the parties to the case. The report shall identify the person or persons who participated in the *ex parte* communication, the circumstances which resulted in the communication, the substance of the communication, and the relationship of the communication to a particular matter at issue before the Commission.

Therefore, I submit this report on behalf of myself and the Commissioners pursuant to the rules cited above. This will ensure that any party to these cases will have notice of the attached information and a full and fair opportunity to respond to the comments contained therein.

Attachments

cc: Commissioners Executive Director Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge General Counsel

HI20166 COMMITTEES Joint Committee on

Administrative Rules Financial Services

Workforce Development and Workplace Safety

Judiciary

CAPITOL OFFICE State Capitol, Room 109-E Jefferson City, Missouri 65101-6806 Tele: (573) 751-9472 Fax: (573) 522-3369 michael.vogt@house.mo.gov www.house.state.mo.us

HOME ADDRESS 6035 Weber Road St. Louis, MO 63123

St. Louis, MO 63123 Tele: (314) 631-0828 MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES MIKE VOGT

State Representative District 66

April 19, 2006

The Honorable Nancy Dippell Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

In re: GC-2006-0313, GC-2006-0060 and GC-2006-0390

Dear Ms. Murray:

I am writing to stress the importance of the referenced cases currently pending before you concerning Laclede Gas Company ("Laclede"), each of which relates, at least in part, to Laclede's cessation of or attempt to cease performing a service that it has traditionally performed for customers. Specifically:

- In Case No. GC-2006-0313, Laclede has been challenged for ceasing to perform meter inspections and in-house gas appliance inspections following the changing of gas meter, simply because they are performing the change through the use of a Grunsky Bag, which does not require Laclede to turn off the gas supply to the house. While the use of the Grunsky Bag may reduce the risk during meter changes of safety hazards associated with turning off the gas supply, there continue to be other safety reasons for thorough inspections at the time of changing a meter. For example, such inspections catch irregularities in gas flow that may cause a pilot light to extinguish, resulting in gas seepage.
- In Case No. GC-2006-0060, Laclede's request to cease performing inspections known as "turn off/turn ons" has been challenged. Turn off/turn ons have historically been an important part of Laclede's safety program. These inspections consist of inspecting the meter and every gas appliance in the residence to be sure gas lines are connected and not leaking, valves are turned properly, flues are in proper working order and



there is no blockage, carbon build-up or odor of gas that could foreshadow carbon monoxide poisoning or danger of fire or explosion.

- Case No. GC-2006-0060 also challenges Laclede's request to end its long-standing practice of annual meter reads. This is another safety issue, because meter readers performing annual reads conduct visual inspections and are cognizant of gas odors that may cause them to detect leaks.
- In Case No. GC-2006-0390, Laclede has been challenged for its use of persons who are not trained gas professionals to install the automated meter reading device on residential customers' meters on-site. This practice has been ongoing for approximately one year, and has resulted in numerous service calls some of them on an emergency basis to correct leaks and other problems arising because an installer drilled entirely through a meter or otherwise ineptly installed the device. In addition, by sending someone other than a gas professional to the customer's residence, Laclede is missing an opportunity always availed when a gas professional is on-site for a quick and informal safety review that may locate gas leaks or future safety hazards.

I am greatly concerned that the loss of these services may place my constituency, and its property, at risk. Accordingly, I urge you to investigate these matters carefully and act very cautiously on these issues. I would further like to see Laclede prohibited from making the referenced changes until your investigation has been completed.

I understand that Laclede takes the position that ceasing these services would lower its costs and, therefore, lower the cost to consumers. However, I have not been apprised of any movement by Laclede to reduce gas rates to consumers. Moreover, Laclede's claim that ceasing turn off/turn on inspections will save customers \$35.00 seems specious in light of the fact that customers were never charged for those inspections until a few years ago, at approximately the time that Laclede decided it wanted to stop performing them.

Finally, I always advocate for the best service to my constituents at the most efficient price. Safety is a major component of obtaining the best service. I would rather see Laclede and/or customers pay a little more money for safe gas service than obtain cheaper gas service at the cost of their health and property.

I will continue to monitor these matters. Thank you for your careful consideration of each of them.

Sincerely

- - - - -

-

Rec'd 4bdde m

BRAD ROBINSON

State Representative District 107

HOME ADDRESS 416 Trevor Ct. Bonne Terre, MO 63628 Tele: 573 • 431-9751



CAPITOL OFFICE State Capitol 201 West Capitol Avenue Jefferson City, MO 65101-6806 Tele: 573 • 751-2317 Fax: 573 • 522-6297 E-Mail: brad.robinson@house.mo.gov

MISSOURI HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge Nancy Dippell Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

April 18, 2006

Re: GC-2006-0313, GC-2006-0060 and GC-2006-0390

Dear Judge Dippell and Public Service Commissioners:

I am writing to stress the importance of the referenced cases currently pending before you concerning Laclede Gas Company ("Laclede"), each of which relates, at least in part, to Laclede's cessation of or attempt to cease performing a service that it has traditionally performed for customers. Specifically:

- In Case No. GC-2006-0313, Laclede has been challenged for ceasing to perform meter inspections and in-house gas appliance inspections following the changing of gas meter, simply because they are performing the change through the use of a Grunsky Bag, which does not require Laclede to turn off the gas supply to the house. While the use of the Grunsky Bag may reduce the risk during meter changes of safety hazards associated with turning off the gas supply, there continue to be other safety reasons for thorough inspections at the time of changing a meter. For example, such inspections catch irregularities in gas flow that may cause a pilot light to extinguish, resulting in gas seepage.
- In Case No. GC-2006-0060, Laclede's request to cease performing inspections known as "turn off/turn ons" has been challenged. Turn off/turn ons have historically been an important part of Laclede's safety program. These inspections consist of inspecting the meter and every gas appliance in the residence to be sure gas lines are connected and not leaking, valves are turned properly, flues are in proper working order and there is no blockage, carbon build-up or odor of gas that could foreshadow carbon monoxide poisoning or danger of fire or explosion.

- Case No. GC-2006-0060 also challenges Laclede's request to end its long-standing practice of annual meter reads. This is another safety issue, because meter readers performing annual reads conduct visual inspections and are cognizant of gas odors that may cause them to detect leaks.
- In Case No. GC-2006-0390, Laclede has been challenged for its use of persons who are not trained gas professionals to install the automated meter reading device on residential customers' meters on-site. This practice has been ongoing for approximately one year, and has resulted in numerous service calls some of them on an emergency basis to correct leaks and other problems arising because an installer drilled entirely through a meter or otherwise ineptly installed the device. In addition, by sending someone other than a gas professional to the customer's residence, Laclede is missing an opportunity always availed when a gas professional is on-site for a quick and informal safety review that may locate gas leaks or future safety hazards.

I am greatly concerned that the loss of these services may place my constituency, and its property, at risk. Accordingly, I urge you to investigate these matters carefully and act very cautiously on these issues. I would further like to see Laclede prohibited from making the referenced changes until your investigation has been completed.

I understand that Laclede takes the position that ceasing these services would lower its costs and, therefore, lower the cost to consumers. However, I have not been apprised of any movement by Laclede to reduce gas rates to consumers. Moreover, Laclede's claim that ceasing turn off/turn on inspections will save customers \$35.00 seems specious in light of the fact that customers were never charged for those inspections until a few years ago, at approximately the time that Laclede decided it wanted to stop performing them.

Finally, I always advocate for the best service to my constituents at the most efficient price. Safety is a major component of obtaining the best service. I would rather see Laclede and/or customers pay a little more money for safe gas service than obtain cheaper gas service at the cost of their health and property.

I will continue to monitor these matters. Thank you for your careful consideration of each of them.

Sincerely,

State Representative Brad Robinson

Cc: Commissioner Jeff Davis Commissioner Lin Appling Commissioner Robert Clayton Commissioner Steve Gaw Commissioner Connie Murray

Dippell, Nancy*

From:Neuner, JoyceSent:Thursday, April 20, 2006 2:03 PMTo:Dippell, Nancy*; Commissioners - PSCCc:Data Center - PSC

Subject: FW: La Clede Gas Issue

Forwarded per Nancy Dippell.

From: Bobbi Birdsong [mailto:Bobbi.Birdsong@house.mo.gov] On Behalf Of Michael Spreng
Sent: Thursday, April 20, 2006 12:02 PM
To: PSC Info (Public Info Email Address) - PSC
Subject: La Clede Gas Issue

Deputy Chief Regulatory Law Judge Nancy Dippell Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Commissioner Jeff Davis Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Commissioner Lin Appling Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Commissioner Robert Clayton Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Commissioner Steve Gaw Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

4/20/2006

Commissioner Connie Murray Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Re: GC-2006-0313, GC-2006-0060 and GC-2006-0390

Dear Judge Dippell and Public Service Commissioners:

I am writing to stress the importance of the referenced cases currently pending before you concerning Laclede Gas Company ("Laclede"), each of which relates, at least in part, to Laclede's cessation of or attempt to cease performing a service that it has traditionally performed for customers. Specifically:

- In Case No. GC-2006-0313, Laclede has been challenged for ceasing to perform meter inspections and in-house gas appliance inspections following the changing of gas meter, simply because they are performing the change through the use of a Grunsky Bag, which does not require Laclede to turn off the gas supply to the house. While the use of the Grunsky Bag may reduce the risk during meter changes of safety hazards associated with turning off the gas supply, there continue to be other safety reasons for thorough inspections at the time of changing a meter. For example, such inspections catch irregularities in gas flow that may cause a pilot light to extinguish, resulting in gas seepage.
- In Case No. GC-2006-0060, Laclede's request to cease performing inspections known as "turn off/turn ons" has been challenged. Turn off/turn ons have historically been an important part of Laclede's safety program. These inspections consist of inspecting the meter and every gas appliance in the residence to be sure gas lines are connected and not leaking, valves are turned properly, flues are in proper working order and there is no blockage, carbon build-up or odor of gas that could foreshadow carbon monoxide poisoning or danger of fire or explosion.
- Case No. GC-2006-0060 also challenges Laclede's request to end its long-standing practice of annual meter reads. This is another safety issue, because meter readers performing annual reads conduct visual inspections and are cognizant of gas odors that may cause them to detect leaks.
- In Case No. GC-2006-0390, Laclede has been challenged for its use of persons who are not trained gas professionals to install the automated meter reading device on

residential customers' meters on-site. This practice has been ongoing for approximately one year, and has resulted in numerous service calls — some of them on an emergency basis — to correct leaks and other problems arising because an installer drilled entirely through a meter or otherwise ineptly installed the device. In addition, by sending someone other than a gas professional to the customer's residence, Laclede is missing an opportunity — always availed when a gas professional is on-site — for a quick and informal safety review that may locate gas leaks or future safety hazards.

I am greatly concerned that the loss of these services may place my constituency, and its property, at risk. Accordingly, I urge you to investigate these matters carefully and act very cautiously on these issues. I would further like to see Laclede prohibited from making the referenced changes until your investigation has been completed.

I understand that Laclede takes the position that ceasing these services would lower its costs and, therefore, lower the cost to consumers. However, I have not been apprised of any movement by Laclede to reduce gas rates to consumers. Moreover, Laclede's claim that ceasing turn off/turn on inspections will save customers \$35.00 seems specious in light of the fact that customers were never charged for those inspections until a few years ago, at approximately the time that Laclede decided it wanted to stop performing them.

Finally, I always advocate for the best service to my constituents at the most efficient price. Safety is a major component of obtaining the best service. I would rather see Laclede and/or customers pay a little more money for safe gas service than obtain cheaper gas service at the cost of their health and property.

I will continue to monitor these matters. Thank you for your careful consideration of each of them.

State Representative Michael J. Spreng District 76