Exhibit No.

Issue: Certificate of

Convenience and

Necessity

Witness:

Brian P. Kirk

Type of Exhibit:

Surrebuttal Testimony

Sponsoring Party:

Trigen-Kansas City

Case No.

HA-2006-0294

Date Testimony Prepared:

April 27, 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

BRIAN P. KIRK

TRIGEN-KANSAS CITY ENERGY CORP.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BRIAN P. KIRK

	Section	Testimony Reference	
KCPL Rebuttal Testimor	2		
Staff Rebuttal Testimony	4		
HC Schedule BPK-1-S	Highly Confidential response to Staff Data Request No. 0019		
HC Schedule BPK-2-S	hedule BPK-2-S Feasibility Study – Handwritten Notations		

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BRIAN P. KIRK ON BEHALF OF TRIGEN KANSAS CITY ENERGY CORP. CASE NO. HA-2006-0294

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Brian P. Kirk and my business address is Trigen-Kansas City Energy
3		Corporation, 115 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64106.
4		
5	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6	A.	I am employed by ThermalSource, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Thermal North
7		America, Inc., and serve as Vice President & General Manager of Trigen-Kansas City
8		Energy Corporation (referred to in this document as "Trigen" or the "Company").
9		
10	Q.	Are you the same Brian Kirk that sponsored direct testimony in this same proceeding?
11	A.	Yes.
12		
13	Q.	Have you reviewed the rebuttal testimony filed on behalf of Staff and Kansas City Power
14		& Light Company ("KCPL") in this proceeding?
15	A.	Yes. I have reviewed the rebuttal testimonies of Staff witnesses Messrs. V. William
16		Harris and Alan J. Bax as well as KCPL witness Mr. David L. Wagner. My surrebuttal
17		testimony will briefly reply to the impact of Mr. Harris' recommendations and respond to
18		rebuttal testimony of KCPL witness Mr. Wagner.

KCPL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

- 2 Q. What is the purpose of Mr. Wagner's rebuttal testimony¹?
- 3 A. Mr. Wagner states: "The purpose of my testimony is to confirm that KCPL is capable of
- 4 providing economic electric service to generate steam heat to Truman Medical Center
- 5 ("TMC"). I also explain that KCPL offers its customers a comprehensive energy
- 6 consumption analysis, including electric heat."²

7

1

- 8 Q. Do you concur with Mr. Wagner that KCPL is capable of providing electric service to
- 9 enable TMC to economically generate steam heat?
- 10 A. No. Mr. Wagner's rebuttal testimony offers no economic analyses comparing the relative
- costs to TMC of using electricity to self-generate steam versus purchasing district steam
- from Trigen. Based on a highly confidential economic analysis that I performed and
- Trigen produced in a highly confidential response to Staff Data Request No. 19, Trigen
- 14 continues to believe that district steam service is a superior economic option for the
- provision of steam heating energy to TMC.

16

- 17 Q. Explain the basis for your contention that Trigen district energy service is more
- economical than KCPL electric service.
- 19 A. Overall, Mr. Wagner's rebuttal testimony was very general, simply referring to KCPL's
- 20 ability to provide "...economic electric service to its customers..." for a number of uses.
- Unfortunately, this testimony did not respond directly to the question, which asked

In Mr. Wagner's testimony, it is denominated as both direct and rebuttal testimony, but should have been simply denominated as rebuttal according to the procedural schedule.

Wagner rebuttal, p. 2, lines 9-12.

whether "...KCPL can provide economic electric service to generate steam heat to TMC?" In the absence of a direct answer to the question or alternative analyses illustrating KCPL's view of the relative economics of steam produced by electric energy as compared to Trigen's district steam, Trigen is unable to respond directly to the basis underlying Mr. Wagner's general assertion.

However, Trigen has modeled the steam requirements of TMC, as well as the cost of serving the facility using each of the three energy options potentially available to produce heating energy: electricity, natural gas and district steam. The table below summarizes the approximate estimated relative costs to TMC under each of the available options for provision of steam energy.

Steam Energy Option	TMC's Cost/mlb	TMC's Annual Cost	
Electric Self-Production	** <u>\$/mlb</u> **	**\$**	
Natural Gas Self-Production	** <u>\$</u> /mlb**	**\$**	
Trigen District Steam Service	** <u>\$_/mlb</u> **	**\$**	

Source: Trigen's highly confidential response to Staff Data Request No. 0019.

It should also be noted that the Electric Self-Production costs are conservatively generous to KCPL in that the amounts are exclusive of the capital costs necessary to purchase and install electric boilers. Even using conservative estimates, Trigen estimates that district steam heat is about 40% less costly to TMC than purchasing electricity to self-generate steam. While this analysis shows Natural Gas Self-Production costs falling between Electric Self-Production and Trigen Steam Service, the Natural Gas analysis was based

on a conservative annual commodity average unit price of \$8.19/mmbtu. [As of April 25, 2006, the commodity-only gas cost is currently \$9.6851/mmbtu.⁴]

For further information on the assumptions used to develop the above approximations, refer to Trigen's Highly Confidential response to Staff Data Request No. 0019 (attached as HC Schedule BPK-1-S).

A.

STAFF REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

Q. Please identify and briefly reply to the impact of Mr. Harris' recommendations that is the subject of your surrebuttal testimony.

Mr. Harris qualifies Staff's recommendation that the Commission conditionally approve Trigen's Application by imposing two "hold harmless" conditions, one of which would require TMC to finance the entire construction cost of the extension project. Obviously, Trigen is unable to address TMC's willingness or resources to finance the entire construction cost of the extension project. Assuming TMC were willing to provide a construction advance in an amount equal to the construction cost estimate included in Trigen's original feasibility study, I have edited a portion of the highly confidential feasibility attached to my direct testimony, specifically page 3 of HC Schedule BPK-2, to show the impact of this Staff condition. The handwritten notations set forth on HC Schedule BPK-2-S (attached hereto) shows that the increase in the construction advance would reduce Trigen's net construction costs to "zero," while gross margin would remain unchanged.

NYMEX Natural Gas Futures; 18-month strip price; 4/25/06.

Harris rebuttal, p. 3, line 5, and p. 7, line 12, through p. 8, line 7.

- 1
- 2 Q. Does this conclude your prefiled surrebuttal testimony?
- 3 A. Yes.

THIS SCHEDULE HAS BEEN DEEMED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL IN ITS ENTIRETY

THIS SCHEDULE HAS BEEN DEEMED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL IN ITS ENTIRETY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of the applicat Kansas City Energy Corpor Certificate of Public Conve Necessity authorizing it to own, operate, control, mana a steam heat distribution sy- steam heat service in Kansa	ration for a mience and construct, install, age and maintain stem to provide))
as an expansion of its existi	ng certified area.	}
	AFFIDAVIT OF	BRIAN P. KIRK
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss	
COUNTY OF JACKSON)	

Brian P. Kirk, being of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony in question and answer form to be presented in the above case; that the answers in said Surrebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of April, 2006.

BENJAMIN A. LABUS Notary Public-Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Commissioned in Jackson County # 05688359 My Commission Expires March 29, 2009