



John B. Coffman
Acting Public Counsel

State of Missouri

Bob Holden
Governor

Office of the Public Counsel
Governor Office Building
200 Madison, Suite 650
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Telephone: 573-751-4857
Facsimile: 573-751-5562
Web: <http://www.mo-opc.org>
Relay Missouri
1-800-735-2966 TDD
1-800-735-2466 Voice

May 14, 2002

Mr. Dale H. Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

FILED

MAY 14 2002

Missouri Public
Service Commission

**RE: Union Electric Company,
Case No. EC-2002-1**

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced case please find the original and eight copies of **NOTICE OF CORRECTION**. Please "file" stamp the extra-enclosed copy and return it to this office.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,


John B. Coffman
Acting Public Counsel

JBC:jb

cc: Counsel of Record

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

STAFF OF THE MISSOURI)
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,)
Complainant,)
)
vs.)
)
UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY,)
d/b/a AmerenUE,)
Respondent.)

Case No. EC-2002-1

FILED
MAY 14 2002
Missouri Public
Service Commission

NOTICE OF CORRECTION

COMES NOW the Office of the Public Counsel (Public Counsel), and respectfully requests that the Commission accept the attached corrected pages replacing page 38 of the Proprietary and Non-Proprietary versions of the prepared rebuttal testimony of Public Counsel witness Ryan Kind, filed on May 10, 2002 in the above-styled case. Public Counsel further requests that the corrected versions of page 38 replace all copies of this page in Mr. Kind's testimony on file with the Commission and that all copies of the replaced page 38 be destroyed.

Public Counsel sincerely regrets this error and took steps to correct it as soon as the error was discovered. All parties have been notified and asked to replace and destroy the originally filed page 38 in Mr. Kind's prepared rebuttal testimony.

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

By: 

John B. Coffman (#36591)
Acting Public Counsel
P. O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-5565
(573) 751-5562 FAX

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to the following this 14th day of January 2002:

GENERAL COUNSEL

Missouri Public Service Commission
P O Box 360
Jefferson City MO 65102

JAMES COOK

Ameren Services Company
1901 Chouteau Avenue
P O Box 66149 (M/C 1310)
St. Louis MO 63166-6149

DIANA M VUYLSTEKE ESQ

Bryan Cave, LLP
211 North Broadway Suite 3600
St Louis MO 63102-2750

ROBIN E FULTON

Schnapp Fulton Fall Silvey & Reid LLC
135 East Main Street
P O Box 151
Fredericktown MO 63645

ROBERT C JOHNSON /

LISA C LANGENECKERT
Blackwell Sanders Peper & Martin
720 Olive Street Suite 2400
St Louis MO 63101

MICHAEL C PENDERGAST

Laclede Gas Company
720 Olive Street
Room 1520
St Louis MO 63101

JAMES M FISCHER

Fischer & Dority PC
101 Madison
Suite 400
Jefferson City MO 65101

JEREMIAH W NIXON

Attorney General
221 West High Street
PO Box 899
Jefferson City MO 65102

RONALD MOLTENI

Office of the Attorney General
P O Box 176
Jefferson City MO 65102

SHELLEY WOODS


Office of the Attorney General
PO Box 176
Jefferson City MO 65102

SAMUEL E OVERFELT

Law Office of Samuel E Overfelt
PO Box 1336
Jefferson City MO 65102

ROBERT J CYNKAR

VICTOR J WOLSKI
Cooper Carvin & Rosenthal
1500 K Street NW
Suite 200
Washington DC 20005

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "J. R. Coffey", is written over a horizontal line.

1 the numbers discussed above and shown in Schedule RK-5 for "UE" are "total company
2 UE" revenues. Accordingly, these revenue amounts should be appropriately allocated to
3 Missouri retail jurisdictional operations utilizing appropriately developed energy
4 allocators.

5 **Q. DOES PUBLIC COUNSEL HAVE AN ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION FOR AN**
6 **ADJUSTMENT TO TEST YEAR SO2 ALLOWANCE TRANSACTIONS?**

7 A. Yes. If the Commission decides that the SO2 allowance revenue data from the
8 test year is so tainted due to UE's efforts to manipulate its earnings associated with SO2
9 allowance transactions during the last year of the EARP and that, even with the
10 adjustments to the test year allowance transaction revenue data that I have proposed, that
11 data from the test year should not be used as an input in the determination of normalized
12 test year revenues, then I have an alternative recommendation. My alternative
13 recommendation is to use only the information available on SO2 sales revenues occurring
14 during the time period from July 1, 2001 through April 30, 2002. This alternative would
15 result in an adjustment of ** _____ ** in "total UE" SO2 allowance revenues
16 based on the data that is available at this time. The ** _____ ** figure should be
17 updated to reflect allowance sales revenues from the months of March and April 2002,
18 when that data becomes available.

19 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?**

20 A. Yes.

MP