

EC-2023-0395

Brett Felber
VS
Ameren Missouri

To whom this may concern with the Public Service Commission and the honorable Judge Clark. As of today Sunday July 30, 2023 have no interest in the commission deciding the outcome of this matter.

Opposing counsel has not only made some more baseless and untrue statement, however, opposing counsel seems to have a problem and is trying to intermix lawsuits and commission cases together. I believe irrelevant matters to things, should stay outside of the matter. Unfortunately opposing counsel doesn't feel that way. Without getting into details, he has submitted bogus claims and assertions into the lawsuit he was serviced. I'll leave it at that.

I don't know whether opposing counsel is wanting sympathy or what on this matter? While I understand he was hired outside by Ameren, the fact of the matter, is Ameren committed a lot of alleged act, despite numerous attempts to direct him to STOP. He didn't, therefore he is just as much responsible for the matter as Ameren is.

I will bring up one thing though. He can see the proof that the AG referred the matter over to the Chief Disciplinary Counsel, I never filed a complaint with them. The AG's office forwarded that over. Counsel apparently doesn't like the fact that are presented on paper.

I have prepared an affidavit that I will be using to file a Replevin Lawsuit Monday in St. Louis County Circuit Civil Courts against Ameren Missouri, as this is a clear illegal disconnection of services.

They failed to retain a copy of the contract and are coming up with excuses to the fact, just as they have. Even in the commission report, itself on page 10 it clearly shows that Ameren failed to send out a default letter, if the payment agreement was really setup for May 18th.

I truly hate to put pressure, but unless the commission is ready to rule on my motion submitted or Judge Clark is ready to rule on my motion submitted on Thursday which details the process and grant restoration, then I'm prepared to file outside of the commission. Especially when opposing counsel keeps up is frivolous and false claims, compared to a long paper trail of evidence or exhibits or documents that contradict him.

Attached is a copy of the affidavit, I am prepared to submit to the County Courts, in addition if opposing counsel's client isn't prepared to reimburse or rectify the property damage. I am prepared to submit a mechanics lien on their property in the amount of \$ [REDACTED] for damages caused. Opposing counsel has until Sunday August 6th, 2023 to rectify the property damage, on Monday August 7th, 2023 I'll file the mechanics lien in The City Courts and 45 days later I will foreclose on Ameren's property to recoup lost expenses.

As for the restoration of services, I see that the court grants a pre-judgment replevin and the services are granted restoration in that manner, due to breach of contract and failure to supply a default letter for disconnection.

Respectfully,
Brett Felber