John R. Ashcroft

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED
DEC 1 9 2017

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES



Rule Number 4 CSR 240-124.040

	questions about this rule:
	Phone <u>573-751-8518</u> FAX <u>573-526-6010</u>
Email address <u>nancy.dippe</u>	ll@psc.mo.gov
	ld Phone 573-751-4256 FAX 573-526-6010
Email address <u>christine.ko</u>	enigsfeld(a)psc.mo.gov
Interagency mailing address	GOB 9 th Floor
TYPE OF RULEMAKING	
	RuleAmendmentRescissionTermination nergency
Proposed Rulemaking	RuleAmendmentRescission
Rule Action Notice	In Addition Rule Under Consideration
Request for Non-Substan	tive Change
Statement of Actual Cost	
	Withdrawal Adopt _x_ Amendment Rescission der
	ecific date
Endowed II	come date
Statutory 30 days OR Spe	ng contain changes to the rule text? 🗵 NO

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp JCAR Stamp

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES



ERIC R. GREJTENS
GOVERNOR

GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 720 (573) 751-3222

November 9, 2017

Daniel Hall
Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Chairman Hall:

This office has received your rulemaking for new manufactured homes; 4 CSR 240-120.011; 4 CSR 240-120.031; 4 CSR 240-120.060; 4 CSR 240-120.065; 4 CSR 240-120.070; 4 CSR 240-120.080; 4 CSR 240-120.085; 4 CSR 240-120.090; 4 CSR 240-120.100; 4 CSR 240-120.110; 4 CSR 240-120.120; 4 CSR 240-120.130; and 4 CSR 240-120.140.

This office also has received your rulemaking for pre-owned manufactured homes: 4 CSR 240-121.010; 4 CSR 240-121.020; 4 CSR 240-121.030; 4 CSR 240-121.040; 4 CSR 240-121.050; 4 CSR 240-121.060; and 4 CSR 240-121.180.

This office also has received your rulemaking for modular units: 4 CSR 240-123.010; 4 CSR 240-123.020; 4 CSR 240-123.030; 4 CSR 240-123.040; 4 CSR 240-123.050; 4 CSR 240-123.060; 4 CSR 240-123.065; 4 CSR 240-123.070; 4 CSR 240-123.080; 4 CSR 240-123.090; and 4 CSR 240-123.095.

This office also has received your rulemaking for manufactured home tie-down systems: 4 CSR 240-124.010; 4 CSR 240-124.020; 4 CSR 240-124.030; 4 CSR 240-124.040; 4 CSR 240-124.045; 4 CSR 240-124.050; and 4 CSR 240-124.060.

This office also has received your rulemaking for manufactured home installers: 4 CSR 240-125.010; 4 CSR 240-125.020; 4 CSR 240-125.040; 4 CSR 240-125.050; 4 CSR 240-125.060; 4 CSR 240-125.070; and 4 CSR 240-125.090.

This office also has received your rulemaking for the manufactured housing consumer recovery fund: 4 CSR 240-126.010 and 4 CSR 240-126.020.

Finally, this office has received your rulemaking for manufactured homes and modular units, 4 CSR 240-127.010.

Executive Order 17-03 requires this office's approval before state agencies release proposed regulations for notice and comment, amend existing regulations, or adopt new regulations. After our review of this rulemaking, we approve the rules' submission to JCAR and the Secretary of State.

Sincerely,

Justin D. Smith Deputy Counsel



Commissioners

DANIEL Y. HALL Chairman

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP
MAIDA J. COLEMAN

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN General Counsel

> MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

LOYD WILSON
Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

John Ashcroft Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-124.040 Commission Approval of Manufactured Home Tie-Down Systems

Dear Secretary Ashcroft,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the order of rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

Statutory Authority: section 700.076, RSMo 2016.

If there are any questions regarding the content of this order of rulemaking, please contact:

Nancy Dippell, Senior Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-8518 Nancy.dippell@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Morris & Wood

Enclosures



Title 4 – DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ECRETARY OF STATE Division 240 – Public Service Commission ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Chapter 124 – Manufactured Home Tie-Down Systems

ORDER OF RULEMAKING

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under section 700.040, RSMo 2016, the commission amends a rule as follows:

4 CSR 240-124.040 Commission Approval of Manufactured Home Tie-Down Systems is amended.

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on August 15, 2017 (42 MoReg 1181). No changes have been made to the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended September 15, 2017, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed amendment on September 22, 2017. The commission received timely written comments regarding this rule from three manufactured housing industry representatives including: Thomas Hagar, Executive Director, Missouri Manufactured Housing Association (MMHA); Timothy L. DeVine, Your Home Center L.L.C.; and Jamie Smith, Managing Partner/General Manager, Clayton Homes of Lebanon, and Vice-President-Board of Directors of MMHA. The commission also received timely written comments about this rule from the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (staff). At the public hearing, comments about this rule were received from four commenters: Mark Johnson, Staff Counsel representing staff; Rich AuBuchon, an attorney representing MMHA; Bryan Crump, Cedar Creek Homes; and Jamie Smith. The industry representatives opposed many of the proposed amendments to rules filed simultaneously with this rule on the grounds that they would be burdensome on the manufactured housing industry. Staff explained the reason for the amendments and supported the amendments.

COMMENT #1: Mr. Hagar made a general written comment regarding the amendments proposed to the entire package of manufactured housing rules. He expressed concern that the date set for the hearing did not allow the MMHA members sufficient time to review and prepare comments on the rule amendments. Mr. Hagar requested the hearing be delayed.

RESPONSE: The date for the hearing had already been published in the *Missouri Register* when the comment was received, and could not be postponed. Members of the MMHA participated in the hearing and filed written comments.

NOV 1 6 2017

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

COMMENT #2: Mr. Smith and Mr. DeVine filed written comments opposing the complete package of rule changes in general, though not specifically the changes in this rule. The commenters stated that the changes to manufactured housing rules would add excessive regulations on the manufactured housing industry, deter business growth, and add costs to consumers.

RESPONSE: Numerous changes have been made to other manufactured housing rules in response to industry and staff comments. However, no changes have been made to this particular rule as a result of these comments.

COMMENT #3: Mr. AuBuchon commented at the hearing on behalf of the MMHA. Mr. Crump and Mr. Smith commented at the hearing that they agreed with Mr. AuBuchon's comments. Mr. AuBuchon gave general comments about and a history of the rulemaking process for all the manufactured housing rules that are being simultaneously promulgated with this rule. Mr. AuBuchon also made suggestions about how the commission could have communicated better with the industry.

RESPONSE: The comments of the manufactured housing industry representatives are appreciated by the commission. However, because the process was completed in accordance with the statutory requirements and the comments were general in nature, no changes to the rules were made as a result of these general comments. The comments specific to other manufactured housing rules are addressed in the context of those rules.

COMMENT #4: Staff supported the proposed amendments to this rule and explained that the amendments were being proposed in order to reorganize the rule, add clarification, and extend the time frame for inspection of newly submitted anchoring systems.

RESPONSE: The commission agrees with staff that this proposed amendment is appropriate and adopts it.