Exhibit No.: Issues: Cost of Capital; Capital Structure Witness: Lee R. Nickloy Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: ER-2007-0002 Date Testimony Prepared: July 3, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2007-0002

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

LEE R. NICKLOY

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

St. Louis, Missouri July, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY	2
III.	OVERALL FAIR RATE OF RETURN	3

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		LEE R. NICKLOY
4		CASE NO. ER-2007-0002
5		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7	А.	My name is Lee R. Nickloy. My business address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue,
8	St. Louis, Mi	issouri 63103.
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
10	А.	I am employed by Ameren Services Company, which provides various
11	corporate, ad	ministrative, and technical support for Ameren Corporation and its affiliates. I
12	hold the posi	tions of Director – Corporate Finance and Assistant Treasurer for Ameren
13	Corporation	and its subsidiaries, including Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE
14	("AmerenUE	2" or the "Company").
15	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and professional
16	experience.	
17	А.	I graduated Magna Cum Laude with a Bachelor of Science degree from
18	Christian Bro	others University in Memphis, Tennessee in 1989. I earned a triple
19	concentration	n in the Economics/Finance, Management, and Marketing programs of study.
20	Upon gradua	tion I was employed by Shell Oil Company in the Chicago, Illinois refined
21	products divi	sion. In 1992, I was promoted to Financial Analyst and transferred to the
22	company's U	J.S. headquarters in Houston, Texas. In 1994 I accepted the position of Assistant
23	Treasurer wi	th Enjet, Inc., a privately held crude oil refining and products trading company

1 based in Houston with operations in the U.S. Gulf Coast region and internationally. I was 2 promoted to Treasurer later that same year and was responsible for financing the company's 3 operational and trading activities. I negotiated all financing facilities, issued debt, was 4 responsible for banking relationships and cash management, and directed the company's 5 trading activities to maximize profitability given certain capital constraints. In late 1995, I 6 became Manager of Counterparty Risk for TransCanada Energy USA Inc. In this position I 7 managed the company's counterparty risk exposure for a broad range of energy trading and 8 marketing businesses and natural gas processing assets. This responsibility entailed 9 assessment of the financial condition and capitalization of the company's counterparties and 10 trading partners. I conducted financial due diligence for the company's new business and 11 asset acquisition group. In this position I also negotiated and managed the company's 12 domestic bank financing facilities and parental guarantees. I left that company in 1998 to 13 accept a position with Ameren.

14

15

Q. What are your responsibilities in your current position with Ameren Services?

A. In my current position, I am responsible for capital raising and financing
activities, banking, short-term liquidity and borrowing facilities, liaison/communication with
the rating agencies, monitoring and quantifying cost of capital, various capital budgeting
activities and credit risk management.

20

II. <u>PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY</u>

21

Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to recommend an overall fair rate of return for
 AmerenUE's electric utility business. I determine AmerenUE's capital structure, embedded

1	cost of long-t	term debt and embedded cost of preferred stock. I also calculate the overall fair
2	rate of return	applied to rate base which is utilized in AmerenUE's filing in this case. I do so
3	by using the	fair rate of return applicable to the common equity component of AmerenUE's
4	capital struct	ure as developed by AmerenUE witnesses Kathleen C. McShane and Dr. James
5	H. Vander W	reide in their direct testimonies submitted in this case. A summary of my
6	testimony is i	included as Attachment A.
7		III. OVERALL FAIR RATE OF RETURN
8	Q.	Have you prepared or has there been prepared under your direction and
9	supervision	any schedules relating to overall fair rate of return in this proceeding?
10	А.	Yes, I am sponsoring Schedules LRN-E1 through LRN-E4 for that purpose.
11	These Schedu	ules are based upon the test year twelve months ended March 31, 2006, and are
12	designated as	s follows:
13		Schedule LRN-E1 Capital Structure / Weighted Average Cost of Capital
14		Schedule LRN-E2 Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt
15		Schedule LRN-E3 Cost of Short-Term Debt
16		Schedule LRN-E4 Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock
17	Q.	How did you calculate the overall fair rate of return or weighted average
18	cost of capita	al for AmerenUE?
19	А.	In order to derive AmerenUE's overall fair rate of return, I multiplied the
20	relative weig	hting or proportion of each component of AmerenUE's capital structure by the
21	cost develope	ed for that component. I then summed these weighted costs by component to
22	arrive at Ame	erenUE's overall fair rate of return or weighted average cost of capital.

Q.

1

Q. What is the primary standard for determining a fair rate of return?

A. The primary standard used in the determination of a fair rate of return is the cost of capital. This cost, the overall rate of return or weighted average cost of capital, must produce sufficient earnings/cash flow when applied to AmerenUE's rate base at book value to enable the Company to accomplish the following: maintain the financial integrity of its existing invested capital; maintain its creditworthiness; and attract sufficient capital on competitive terms to continue to provide a source of funds for continued investment and enable the Company to meet the needs of its customers.

9

Why must AmerenUE meet these requirements?

10 Beyond the fact that these three standards are mandated by the landmark A. Bluefield and Hope decisions of the United States Supreme Court,¹ the Commission has 11 12 recognized that meeting these requirements is necessary in order for AmerenUE to 13 effectively meet the electric utility services requirements of its customers and provide an adequate and reasonable return to its investors, debtholder and equity holder alike.² The 14 15 assets owned by AmerenUE which are employed in meeting its customers' electricity needs 16 exist and are available for this purpose only because investors have entrusted their funds with 17 AmerenUE. These investors have deemed an investment in the securities issued by the 18 Company to be sound and capable of providing a competitive return.

19

20

As the Commission has also underscored, AmerenUE must maintain its creditworthiness in order to continue to attract capital on a competitive basis.³ This is

¹ Bluefield Water Works & Improvement Co. v. Public Service Commission of West Virginia, 262 U.S. 679 (1923) and Federal Power Commission v. Hope Natural Gas Company, 320 U.S. 391 (1944).

² See In the Matter of the Tariff Filing of the Empire District Company to Implement a General Rate Increase for Retail Electric Service Provided to Customers in its Missouri Service Area, Case No. ER-2004-0570, at 33-34 (March 10, 2005) ("Empire District").

³ See Empire District, at 45.

1	important to assure future opportunities for AmerenUE to replace capital and various
2	securities which must be refinanced in the future at reasonable cost. Also, the ability of
3	AmerenUE to attract new capital on competitive terms is critical in order for the Company to
4	continue to replace and upgrade facilities used to meet the electricity needs of its customers,
5	to pay for the fuel and other similarly volatile costs incurred in the Company's operations, to
6	comply with environmental standards, and to continue to efficiently and successfully perform
7	in an increasingly competitive and risky industry.
8	Q. Please describe the capital structure of AmerenUE.
9	A. As outlined on Schedule LRN-E1, the capital structure of AmerenUE on
10	March 31, 2006 consisted of 45.420% long-term debt, 0.099% short-term debt, 2.040%
11	preferred stock and 52.441% common equity.
12	Q. How were the balances of the components of AmerenUE's capital
12 13	Q. How were the balances of the components of AmerenUE's capital structure determined?
13	structure determined?
13 14	structure determined?A. The balance of long-term debt, \$2,549,853,256, is the total carrying value of
13 14 15	structure determined?A. The balance of long-term debt, \$2,549,853,256, is the total carrying value of the Company's long-term debt using the net proceeds method. The net proceeds method
13 14 15 16	structure determined? A. The balance of long-term debt, \$2,549,853,256, is the total carrying value of the Company's long-term debt using the net proceeds method. The net proceeds method calculates the carrying value by taking the indebtedness principal amount outstanding and
13 14 15 16 17	structure determined? A. The balance of long-term debt, \$2,549,853,256, is the total carrying value of the Company's long-term debt using the net proceeds method. The net proceeds method calculates the carrying value by taking the indebtedness principal amount outstanding and subtracting the unamortized discount, issuance expenses and any loss on reacquired debt.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 	structure determined? A. The balance of long-term debt, \$2,549,853,256, is the total carrying value of the Company's long-term debt using the net proceeds method. The net proceeds method calculates the carrying value by taking the indebtedness principal amount outstanding and subtracting the unamortized discount, issuance expenses and any loss on reacquired debt. The balance of short-term debt, \$5,575,653, is the last twelve-month average
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	structure determined? A. The balance of long-term debt, \$2,549,853,256, is the total carrying value of the Company's long-term debt using the net proceeds method. The net proceeds method calculates the carrying value by taking the indebtedness principal amount outstanding and subtracting the unamortized discount, issuance expenses and any loss on reacquired debt. The balance of short-term debt, \$5,575,653, is the last twelve-month average of net short-term debt. This approach measures the average monthly short-term debt balance,
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	structure determined? A. The balance of long-term debt, \$2,549,853,256, is the total carrying value of the Company's long-term debt using the net proceeds method. The net proceeds method calculates the carrying value by taking the indebtedness principal amount outstanding and subtracting the unamortized discount, issuance expenses and any loss on reacquired debt. The balance of short-term debt, \$5,575,653, is the last twelve-month average of net short-term debt. This approach measures the average monthly short-term debt balance, less Construction Work in Progress ("CWIP"), over the 12 months in the test year. It

1 represent permanent capital – i.e. the extent to which short-term debt plays a continual role

2 on the financing of long-term assets.

The balance of preferred stock, \$114,502,040, is also the carrying value or net proceeds amount of AmerenUE's preferred stock as found in the embedded cost calculation for this component of capitalization.

6 The balance of common equity, \$2,944,050,741, represents AmerenUE's 7 book value of common equity at March 31, 2006 adjusted to remove the effects of its 8 investment in its wholly-owned subsidiary, Union Electric Development Corporation. The 9 assets of this subsidiary are not utilized by the Company in providing utility services to its 10 customers. I further adjusted the book value by removing AmerenUE's total other 11 comprehensive income as well as the acquisition costs related to the Company's investment 12 in Electric Energy, Inc. ("EEInc.") stock.

13

Q. What is the embedded cost of AmerenUE's long-term debt?

A. AmerenUE's embedded cost of long-term debt was 5.427% as of March 31, 2006. Schedule LRN-E2 provides the calculation of the embedded cost of long-term debt. AmerenUE has about \$437 million principal amount of variable rate environmental improvement indebtedness (in various series) outstanding under which the interest rates are reset by a Dutch auction process every 7 or 35 days. The effective cost used for this indebtedness for purposes of this proceeding was derived using current rates for these securities including related auction broker/dealer fees.

21

Q.

Did you make any adjustments to AmerenUE's long-term debt balance?

A. I did not include the Company's obligations under capital leases related to the
 Chapter 100 "financing" of its Peno Creek (City of Bowling Green) and recently acquired

1	Audrain Cou	inty gas-fired generating facilities. These transactions and the related capital
2	leases did no	t generate any proceeds nor were they a source of capital for the Company.
3	Q.	What is the cost of AmerenUE's short-term debt?
4	А.	AmerenUE's cost of short-term debt was 5.11% based on the Company's
5	borrowing ra	te on outstanding commercial paper as of June 7, 2006.
6	Q.	What is the embedded cost of AmerenUE's preferred stock?
7	А.	AmerenUE's embedded cost of preferred stock was 5.189% as of March 31,
8	2006. Sched	lule LRN-E4 provides the calculation of the embedded cost of preferred stock.
9	Using the ne	t proceeds method of calculating the balance of preferred stock, the balance
10	outstanding	as of March 31, 2006 was \$114,502,040.
11	Q.	Did you consider expenses associated with AmerenUE's issuance of
12	preferred st	ock in developing the embedded cost of this component of the Company's
13	capital strue	cture?
13 14	capital stru A.	cture? Yes, I did. I included expenses associated with the issuance of preferred
	А.	
14	A. stock, includ	Yes, I did. I included expenses associated with the issuance of preferred
14 15	A. stock, includ series, in the	Yes, I did. I included expenses associated with the issuance of preferred ing discount and premium, plus any loss incurred in acquiring/redeeming prior
14 15 16	A. stock, includ series, in the shown on Sc	Yes, I did. I included expenses associated with the issuance of preferred ing discount and premium, plus any loss incurred in acquiring/redeeming prior embedded cost calculation. These costs are illustrated in the cost calculations
14 15 16 17	A. stock, includ series, in the shown on Sc issuance of 1	Yes, I did. I included expenses associated with the issuance of preferred ing discount and premium, plus any loss incurred in acquiring/redeeming prior embedded cost calculation. These costs are illustrated in the cost calculations hedule LRN-E4. Unlike similar expenses incurred in connection with the
14 15 16 17 18	A. stock, includ series, in the shown on Sc issuance of 1 the life of the	Yes, I did. I included expenses associated with the issuance of preferred ing discount and premium, plus any loss incurred in acquiring/redeeming prior embedded cost calculation. These costs are illustrated in the cost calculations hedule LRN-E4. Unlike similar expenses incurred in connection with the ong-term debt, for accounting purposes these expenses are not amortized over

1 Q. In what manner will AmerenUE obtain debt and preferred stock capital in the future? 2 3 A. AmerenUE expects to continue to issue its own long-term debt and preferred 4 stock securities in the external capital markets. Short-term borrowings can be obtained from 5 the capital or bank markets, Ameren Corporation, or through Ameren Corporation's Utility 6 Money Pool, depending on the best borrowing rates available. 7 Q. Please describe your calculation of AmerenUE's balance of common 8 equity. 9 I derived AmerenUE's balance of common equity, \$2,944,005,741, by A. 10 adjusting the Company's book value of common equity at March 31, 2006 of \$2,908,062,618 11 by the amount representing the common stockholder's equity associated with AmerenUE's 12 investment in its wholly-owned subsidiary, Union Electric Development Corporation – 13 (\$6,524,572). I further adjusted the stated book value by removing AmerenUE's March 31, 14 2006 total other comprehensive income -(\$32,979,551) -- as well as the acquisition costs 15 related to the Company's investment in EEInc. of (\$3,516,000). 16 Q. What is the cost of common equity for AmerenUE? 17 A. In her direct testimony in this case, Ms. McShane develops and supports a fair 18 return on common equity for AmerenUE's electric utility operations in the range of 11.60% – 19 12.30% with a recommended cost of equity for AmerenUE of 12.00%. Dr. Vander Weide's 20 analysis indicates that AmerenUE would require a fair rate of return on equity equal to 12.2% 21 to have the same weighted average cost of capital as comparable companies. For purposes of 22 determining the overall fair rate of return for AmerenUE in this proceeding, I have 23 conservatively used 12.00% as the Company's cost of common equity.

1 Q. What is the overall fair rate of return for AmerenUE for this proceeding? As shown on Schedule LRN-E1, as of March 31, 2006, the overall fair rate of 2 A. 3 return for AmerenUE is 8.869%. I derived this result by using the capital structure and 4 embedded costs of long-term debt, short-term debt and preferred stock discussed above, and 5 shown on the various Schedules attached, along with the cost of common equity for 6 AmerenUE developed by Ms. McShane and Dr. Vander Weide in their direct testimony. 7 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

8 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Case No. ER-2007-0002

AFFIDAVIT OF LEE R. NICKLOY

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

Lee R. Nickloy, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is Lee R. Nickloy. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, and I am employed by Ameren Corporation as Assistant Treasurer.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct

Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of 9 pages,

Attachment A and Schedules LRN-E1 through LRN-E4, all of which have been prepared in

written form for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony

to the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

Lee R. Nickloy

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3rd day of July, 2006.

My commission expires:

CAROLYN J. WOODSTOCK Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Franklin County My Commission Expires: May 19, 2008

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Lee R. Nickloy

Director – Corporate Finance and Assistant Treasurer

* * * * * * * * * *

The purpose of my testimony is to recommend an overall fair rate of return for AmerenUE's electric utility business. I determine AmerenUE's capital structure, embedded cost of long-term debt and embedded cost of preferred stock. I also calculate the overall fair rate of return applied to rate base which is utilized in AmerenUE's filing in this case. I do so by using the fair rate of return applicable to the common equity component of AmerenUE's capital structure as developed by AmerenUE witnesses Kathleen C. McShane and Dr. James H. Vander Weide in their direct testimonies submitted in this case.

Ms. McShane develops and supports a fair return on common equity for AmerenUE's electric utility operations in the range of 11.60% – 12.30% with a recommended cost of equity for AmerenUE of 12.00%. Dr. Vander Weide's analysis indicates that AmerenUE would require a fair rate of return on equity equal to 12.2% to have the same weighted average cost of capital as comparable companies. For purposes of determining the overall fair rate of return for AmerenUE in this proceeding, I have conservatively used 12.00% as the Company's cost of common equity.

Using the capital structure (45.420% long-term debt, 0.099% short-term debt, 2.040% preferred stock and 52.441% common equity) and embedded costs of long-term debt (5.427%), short-term debt (5.11%) and preferred stock (5.189%), as shown on the

various schedules attached to my testimony, along with this conservative estimate of the cost of common equity of 12.0% for AmerenUE supported by the analyses of Ms. McShane and Dr. Vander Weide, I derive an overall fair rate of return for AmerenUE of 8.869%.

Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE Weighted Average Cost of Capital - Electric

at 3/31/2006:

		PERCENT		WEIGHTED
CAPITAL COMPONENT	AMOUNT	OF TOTAL	COST	COST
Long-Term Debt	\$2,549,853,256	45.420%	5.427%	2.465%
Short-Term Debt	\$5,575,653	0.099%	5.110%	0.005%
Preferred Stock	\$114,502,040	2.040%	5.189%	0.106%
Common Equity	\$2,944,050,741	52.441%	12.000%	6.293%
TOTAL	\$5,613,981,690	100.000%		8.869%

Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt

At March 31, 2006

					FACE AMOUNT	UNAN	UNAMORTIZED BALANCES	VCES	CARRYING	ANNUALIZED	ANNUAL	ANNUALIZED AMORTIZATION	ATION	ANNUALIZED	EMBEDDED
SERIES	COUPON (a)	ISSUED	MATURITY	PRINCIPAL	OUTSTANDING	DISC/(PREM) ISSUE EXP.	ISSUE EXP.	ross	VALUE	COUPON INT.	DISC/(PREM) ISSUE EXP	ISSUE EXP	ross	INT. EXP.	COST
5	5	ប	5	ŝ	5	C7	80	S	C10	<u>c</u> 1	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16
First Mortgage Bonds	6.750%	01-May-93	01-May-93 01-May-08	\$148,000,000	\$148,000,000	\$87,250	\$169,050			\$9,990,000	\$41,880	\$81,144			
Senior Secured Notes	5.250%	5.250% 22-Aug-02 01-Sep-12	01-Sep-12	\$173,000,000	\$173,000,000	\$129,899	\$785,939			\$9,082,500	\$20,244	\$122,484			
Senior Secured Notes	4.650%	07-Oct-03 01-Oct-13	01-Oct-13	\$200,000,000	\$200,000,000	\$297,990	\$1,190,250			\$9,300,000	\$39,732	\$158,700			
Senior Secured Notes	5.500%	18-May-04 15-May-14	15-May-14	\$104,000,000	\$104,000,000	\$289,590	\$609,756			\$5,720,000	\$35,460	\$74,664			
Senior Secured Notes	4.750%	09-Apr-03 01-Apr-15	01-Apr-15	\$114,000,000	\$114,000,000	\$139,428	\$680,616			\$5,415,000	\$15,492	\$75,624			
Senior Secured Notes	5.400%	5.400% 08-Dec-05 01-Feb-16	01-Feb-16	\$260,000,000	\$260,000,000	\$720,188	\$1,870,323			\$14,040,000	\$72,624	\$188,604			
Senior Secured Notes	5.100%	28-Jul-03	28-Jul-03 01-Aug-18	\$200,000,000	\$200,000,000	\$87,172	\$1,415,620			\$10,200,000	\$7,068	\$114,780			
Senior Secured Notes	5.100%	5.100% 23-Sep-04 01-Oct-19	01-Oct-19	\$300,000,000	\$300,000,000	\$115,992	\$2,169,342			\$15,300,000	\$8,592	\$160,692			
Senior Secured Notes	5.000%	5.000% 27-Jan-05 01-Feb-20	01-Feb-20	\$85,000,000	\$85,000,000	\$659,186	\$620,840			\$4,250,000	\$44,880	\$47,652			
First Mortgage Bonds	5.450%	15-Oct-93 01-Oct-28	01-Oct-28	\$44,000,000	\$44,000,000	\$219,510	\$403,110			\$2,398,000	\$9,756	\$17,916			
Senior Secured Notes	5.500%	10-Mar-03 15-Mar-34	15-Mar-34	\$184,000,000	\$184,000,000 \$1,851,360	\$1,851,360	\$1,624,896			\$10,120,000	\$66,120	\$58,032			
Senior Secured Notes	5.300%	5.300% 21-Jul-05 01-Aug-37	01-Aug-37	\$300,000,000	\$300,000,000	\$995,648	\$2,919,640			\$15,900,000	\$31,776	\$93,180			
Subordinated Debentures	7.690%	16-Dec-96 15-Dec-36	15-Dec-36	\$65,500,000	\$65,500,000	\$440,586	\$101,844			\$5,036,950	\$14,328	\$3,312			
Environmental Improvement, Series 1991	3.749%	3.749% 01-Dec-91 01-Dec-20	01-Dec-20	\$42,585,000	\$42,585,000		\$860,112			\$1,596,512		\$58,644			
Environmental Improvement, Series 1992	3.800%	3.800% 01-Dec-92 01-Dec-22	01-Dec-22	\$47,500,000	\$47,500,000		\$737,047			\$1,805,000		\$97,800			
Environmental Improvement, Series 1998 ABC	3.714%	3.714% 04-Sep-98 01-Sep-33	01-Sep-33	\$160,000,000	\$160,000,000		\$3,144,309			\$5,943,000		\$391,452			
Environmental Improvement, Series 2000 ABC	3.283%	09-Mar-00	09-Mar-00 01-Mar-35	\$186,500,000	\$186,500,000		\$5,047,115	•		\$6,122,713		\$174,540			
TOTAL LONG-TERM DEBT				\$2,614,085,000 \$2		\$6,033,799	\$24,349,809	\$33,848,136	,614,085,000 \$6,033,799 \$24,349,809 \$33,848,136 \$2,549,853,256 \$132,219,675	\$132,219,675		\$1,919,220 \$	3,837,456	\$407,952 \$1,919,220 \$3,837,456 \$138,384,303 5.427%	5.427%

Carrying Value = Face Amount Outstanding less Unamorized Discount, Issuance Expenses, and Loss on Reacquired Debi C10 = C6 - C7 - C8 - C9 Amualized Interest Expense = Amual Coupon Interest plus Amual Amortization of Discount, Issuance Expenses, and Loss on Reacquired Debt C15 = C11 + C12 + C13 + C14 Embedded Cost = Amualized Interest Expense divided by Carrying Value C16 = C15 / C10

(a) Coupon rate for variable rate auction securities includes ongoing broker dealer fees.

Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE Cost of Short-Term Debt

April 1, 2005 - March 31, 2006

	BALANCE OF	BALANCE	BALANCE OF		
	SHORT-TERM	OF TOTAL	CWIP ACCRUING	NET AMOUNT	INTEREST
MONTH	DEBT (a)	CWIP	AFUDC	OUTSTANDING	RATE
G	C2	ប៊	Ç	C5	99 C
April 2005	0	455,976,217	463,511,721	0	2.9678%
May	250,000,000	447,469,871	454,105,029	0	3.0000%
June	440,950,000	310,338,088	480,125,781	0	3.2400%
July	275,100,000	329,769,798	364,567,062	0	3.3700%
August	139,350,000	346,087,817	386,031,063	0	3.5600%
September	96,475,000	359,959,751	394,797,938	0	3.7300%
October	89,725,000	331,115,750	278,490,627	0	4.0200%
November	000'026'16	169,585,882	298,735,535	0	4.1100%
December	83,500,000	172,961,290	260,982,348	0	4.5500%
January 2006	136,500,000	256,411,192	211,271,430	0	4.5984%
February	175,150,000	246,797,587	220,577,457	0	4.6116%
March	301,650,000	269,957,693	234,742,158	66,907,842	4.9133%
AVERAGE	173,362,500	308,035,911	337,328,179	5,575,653	

⁽a) Monthly averages are calculated by adding the beginning and ending balances each month and diving by two. Negative amounts are excluded.

Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock

at March 31, 2006

5.189%	\$5,941,378	\$1,712,460 \$114,502,040 \$5,941,378	\$1,712,460	(\$2,455,000)	\$113,759,500 (\$2,455,000)					TOTAL PREFERRED STOCK
	\$2,521,200	\$32,674,531 \$2,521,200	\$325,469		330,000 \$33,000,000	330,000	ı	\$7.640 01-Jan-93	\$7.640	\$7.64 Series, Perpetual, \$100 par
	\$912,000	\$19,968,367	\$297,633	(\$266,000)	200,000 \$20,000,000	200,000	•	\$4.560 01-Nov-63	\$4.560	\$4.56 Series, Perpetual, \$100 par
	\$600,000	\$15,057,104	\$326,896	(\$384,000)	\$15,000,000	150,000	1	\$4.000 01-Nov-49	\$4.000	\$4.00 Series, Perpetual, \$100 par
	\$95,000	\$2,000,000			\$2,000,000	20,000		\$4.750 01-Oct-49	\$4.750	\$4.75 Series, Perpetual, \$100 par
	\$172,000	\$4,000,000			\$4,000,000	40,000	+	\$4.300 01-Jul-46	\$4.300	\$4.30 Series, Perpetual, \$100 par
	\$455,000	\$13,657,228	\$252,772	(\$910,000)	\$13,000,000	130,000	•	\$3.500 01-May-46	\$3.500	\$3.50 Series, Perpetual, \$100 par
	\$148,000	\$4,000,604	\$69,396	(\$70,000)	\$4,000,000	40,000	•	\$3.700 01-Oct-45	\$3.700	\$3.70 Series, Perpetual, \$100 par
	\$77,000	\$1,400,000			\$1,400,000	14,000		\$5.500 01-Oct-41	\$5.500	\$5.50 Series, Perpetual, \$100 par
	\$961,178	\$21,744,206	\$440,294	(\$825,000)	\$21,359,500	213,595	•	\$4.500 01-May-41	\$4.500	\$4.50 Series, Perpetual, \$100 par
C11	C10	63	C8	C7	C6	C5	C4	C3	C2	C1
COST	DIVIDEND	NET PROCEEDS	EXPENSE/DISCOUNT NET PROCEEDS	PREMIUM	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	MATURITY	ISSUED	DIVIDEND	SERIES, TYPE, PAR
EMBEDDED	ANNUAL		ISSUANCE		PAR ISSUED/	SHARES				

issuance expenses, discount/premium, and any loss incurred in acquiring/redeeming prior series are not amortized due to the perpetual nature of the company's preferred stock

Net Proceeds = Par Value Outstanding plus Premium less Issuance Expense and Discount

C9 = C6 + C7 - C8

Embedded Cost = Annual Dividend divided by Net Proceeds C11 = C10 / C9