Jason Kander

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp
RECEIVED

NOV 2 8 2016

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 240-4.030

COPY

Use a "SEPAR	ATE" rule transmitt	al sheet fo	or EACH	individu	nal rulemaking.	
Name of person Content Morris	n to call with questio Woodruff		this rule: 573-751-2	849	FAX <u>573-526-6010</u>	
Email address	norris.woodruff@psc	c.mo.gov				
	ris Koenigsfeld christine.koenigsfeld(-	573-751-4 gov	1256	FAX 573-526-6010	
Interagency ma	iling address Public	Service C	ommissio	n, 9th Fl	., Gov. Ofc. Bldg., JC, MO	
Emergency Proposed R Withdrawal Request for Statement o Order of Ru Effective Date Statutory 30 Does the Order	Rule Action No Non-Substantive Character Chara	effective otice nange ate ain chang	In Additi	ion	Rule Under Consideration ? NO ng any deleted rule text:	
	all Business Regulatoness Board (DED) Sta				JCAR Stamp	
RE	SMALL BUSINESS GULATORY FAIRNESS BOX NOV 2, 8 2016 RECEIVED	ARD			NOV 2 8 2016 ADMINISTRATIVE RULES	



Commissioners

DANIEL Y. HALL Chairman

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP

MAIDA J. COLEMAN

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN
General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

WESS A. HENDERSON Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

November 28, 2016

Jason Kander Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-4.030 Extra-Record Communications

Dear Secretary Kander,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rule lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed rule does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rule conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rule complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Mr. Jason Kander November 28, 2016 Page 2

Statutory Authority: section 386.410, RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rule, please contact:

Michael Bushmann, Senior Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-4393 Michael.Bushmann@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff
Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Enclosures

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI) COUNTY OF COLE)	
sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opin	ent of Economic Development, first being duly ion that the cost of proposed rule, 4 CSR 240 he aggregate to this agency, any other agency sion thereof. Mike Downing
	Director/ Department of Economic Development
Subscribed and sworn to before me this commissioned as a notary public within Missouri, and my commission expires on	
DAWN ELLEN OVERBEY My Commission Expires December 13, 2019 Moniteau County Commission #15456865	Notary Public

RECEIVED
NOV 2 8 2016

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 4—Standards of Conduct

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

PROPOSED RULE

4 CSR 240-4.030 Extra-Record Communications

PURPOSE: To set forth the standards to promote the public trust in the commission with regard to pending filings and cases. This rule regulates communication between members of the office of the commission and persons not parties to a case regarding substantive issues.

- (1) If any person initiates an extra-record communication, that person shall, within one (1) business day following such communication give notice of that communication as follows:
- (A) If the communication is written, file a copy of the written communication in the official case file for the discussed case; or
- (B) If the communication is not written, file a memorandum summarizing the communication in the official case file for each discussed case. Alternatively, a recording or transcription of the communication may be filed, as long as that recording or transcription indicates the date, time, location, approximate duration, and means of communication.
- (2) If an extra-record communication occurs and the initiating party fails to file a notice in the manner set forth in subsection (1), any other party or member of the office of the commission involved in the communication, upon learning of the person's failure to file such notice, shall give notice of the extra-record communication in the manner set forth in subsection (1) as soon as practicable.

AUTHORITY: section 386.410, RSMo 2000.* Original rule filed November 28, 2016.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

NOV 28 2016

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: 10/27/2016

Rule Number:

4 CSR 240-4.030

Name of Agency Preparing Statement:

Public Service Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement:

Michael Bushmann, Reg. Law Judge

Phone Number:

751-4393

Email: Michael.Bushmann@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement:

Morris Woodruff, Secretary

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

None. There is little impact on small businesses other than a requirement to comply with this ethics rule.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

Involvement of small businesses or entities regulated by the Commission was not necessary for the development of this proposed rule.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

Small businesses such as water and sewer companies, manufactured housing dealers and installers, and law firms will have to comply with this ethics rule. No adverse effect is anticipated.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

None.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Small businesses such as water and sewer companies, manufactured housing dealers and installers, and law firms will have to comply with this ethics rule. No monetary cost or benefit is anticipated by the rule.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes No XX

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.