Jason Kander Secretary of State	Administrative Rules Stamp
Administrative Rules Division	RECEIVED
RULE TRANSMITTAL	NOV 2 8 2016
	SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Rule Number 4 CSR 240-4.050	COPY
Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet f	or EACH individual rulemaking.
Name of person to call with questions about Content <u>Morris Woodruff</u> Phone Email address <u>morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov</u>	
Data Entry Chris Koenigsfeld Phone Email address christine.koenigsfeld@psc.mo.	573-751-4256 FAX 573-526-6010 gov
Interagency mailing address Public Service C	Commission, 9th Fl., Gov. Ofc. Bldg., JC, MO
 Request for Non-Substantive Change Statement of Actual Cost Order of Rulemaking Effective Date for the Order Statutory 30 days OR Specific date Does the Order of Rulemaking contain change 	e date
Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp	JCAR Stamp
SMALL BUSINESS REGULATORY FAIRNESS BOARD	JOINT COMMITTEE ON NOV 2 8 2016
NOV 2 8 2016 RECEIVED	ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

 i_i

 $\gamma_{\mu}^{(l)} = 0$



Commissioners DANIEL Y. HALL Chairman

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

SCOTT T. RUPP

MAIDA J. COLEMAN

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN General Counsel

> MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

WESS A. HENDERSON Director of Administration

NATELLE DIETRICH Staff Director

November 28, 2016

Jason Kander Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-4.050 Limitation on Appearance before Commission

Dear Secretary Kander,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rule lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed rule does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rule conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rule complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Mr. Jason Kander November 28, 2016 Page 2

Statutory Authority: section 386.410, RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rule, please contact:

Michael Bushmann, Senior Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-4393 Michael.Bushmann@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Enclosures

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)) COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Mike Downing, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rule, 4 CSR 240-4.050, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Mike Downing Director, Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3^{H} day of 10^{H} , 2016, I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of 3^{H} , State of Missouri, and my commission expires on 10^{H} , 3^{H} , State of 3^{H} , 3^{\text



DAWN ELLEN OVERBEY My Commission Expires December 13, 2019 Moniteau County Commission #15456865

Notary Public

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 4—Standards of Conduct

PROPOSED RULE



RECEIVED

NOV 2 8 2016

SECRETARY OF STATE

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

4 CSR 240-4.050 Limitation on Appearance before Commission

PURPOSE: To set forth the standards of conduct to promote the public trust and maintain public confidence in the commission's integrity and impartiality with regard to pending filings and cases.

No person who has served as a member of the office of the commission shall, after termination of service with the office of the commission, appear before the commission in relation to any contested case that existed while that person served with the office of the commission.

AUTHORITY: section 386.410, RSMo 2000.* Original rule filed November 28, 2016.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Morris L. Woodruff, Secretary of the Commission, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the commission's offices on or before February 2, 2017, and should include reference to Commission Case No. AX-2017-0128. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for February 16, 2017, at 1:00 p.m., in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

> JOINT COMMITTEE ON NOV 28 2016 ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: 10/27/2016

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-4.050	
Name of Agency Preparing Statement:	Public Service Commission
Name of Person Preparing Statement:	Michael Bushmann, Reg. Law Judge
Phone Number: 751-4393	Email: Michael.Bushmann@psc.mo.gov
Name of Person Approving Statement:	Morris Woodruff, Secretary

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

None. There is little impact on small businesses other than a requirement to comply with this ethics rule.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

Involvement of small businesses or entities regulated by the Commission was not necessary for the development of this proposed rule.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

Small businesses such as water and sewer companies, manufactured housing dealers and installers, and law firms will have to comply with this ethics rule. No adverse effect is anticipated.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

None.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Small businesses such as water and sewer companies, manufactured housing dealers and installers, and law firms will have to comply with this ethics rule. No monetary cost or benefit is anticipated by the rule.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes ____ No _XX___

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.