Exhibit No.:

Issue: Incentive Compensation
Witness: Kelly Murphy
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy

Missouri West

Case No.: ER-2022-0129 / 0130

Date Testimony Prepared: July 13, 2022

## MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: ER-2022-0129 / 0130

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

## **KELLY MURPHY**

### ON BEHALF OF

### **EVERGY MISSOURI METRO and EVERGY MISSOURI WEST**

Kansas City, Missouri July 2022

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

# **OF**

## **KELLY MURPHY**

# Case No. ER-2022-0129 / 0130

1	I. INTRODUCTION				
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.			
3	A:	My name is Kelly Murphy. My business address is 1200 Main, Kansas City, Missouri			
4		64105.			
5	Q:	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?			
6	A:	I am employed by Evergy Metro, Inc. and serve as Director Human Resources Operations			
7		for Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a as Evergy Missouri Metro ("Evergy Missouri Metro"), Evergy			
8		Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West ("Evergy Missouri West"), Evergy Metro,			
9		Inc. d/b/a Evergy Kansas Metro ("Evergy Kansas Metro"), and Evergy Kansas Central,			
10		Inc. and Evergy South, Inc., collectively d/b/a as Evergy Kansas Central ("Evergy Kansas			
11		Central") the operating utilities of Evergy, Inc.			
12	Q:	On whose behalf are you testifying?			
13	A:	I am testifying on behalf of Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West (			
14		collectively referred to as "Company").			
15	Q:	What are your responsibilities as the Director of Human Resources Operations?			
16	A:	As Director of Human Resource ("HR') Operations I manage a team that administers			
17		company-wide HR Programs and services including policies, programs, payroll and HR			
18		Support. The HR Operations Team also leads projects related to benefit administration,			

- 1 response to COVID-19, and escalation and troubleshooting of employee questions with
- 2 benefit providers.
- 3 Q: Please describe your education, experience and employment history.
- 4 A: I graduated from Bucknell University with a B.A. Degree in International Relations. I have
- worked in a variety of HR positions since 1989. I began my career with the Company in
- 6 1999 and have served in a variety of roles in HR and also spent two years in a Six Sigma
- 7 Black Belt role. Prior to joining Evergy (including predecessor companies) I worked in
- 8 HR in two international law firms headquartered in New York, and for a short time for
- 9 First Data Resources in Omaha, Nebraska.
- 10 Q: Have you previously testified in a proceeding at the Missouri Public Service
- 11 Commission ("MPSC" or "Commission") or before any other utility regulatory
- 12 agency?
- 13 A: Yes. I have testified before the MPSC previously in Docket No. ER-2012-0174/0175. I
- also provided testimony in ER-2016-0285.
- 15 Q: What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
- 16 A: The purpose of my testimony is to rebut the Direct Testimony of Angela Schaben, which
- she submitted on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") concerning incentive
- 18 compensation.
- 19 Q: Please describe the purpose of incentive compensation?
- 20 A: Incentive compensation is designed to drive performance in areas of key importance to the
- Company. Incentive plans contain a scorecard that outlines the measures of key focus for
- 22 the year, along with metrics that outline expectations related to threshold, target, max and
- superior performance. Incentive compensation is considered pay-at-risk which means that

it is only paid if the key measures are achieved. A portion of non-union employees at Evergy and a majority of executive officer compensation is "at-risk" and granted in the form of short-term and long-term incentives. This pay at-risk approach ties a portion of non-union employee compensation to the achievement of key financial and operational objectives and creates a strong link between pay and Evergy's performance. The portion of pay at-risk tends to increase from entry level positions through to senior leadership.

#### Q: Why is incentive pay important?

A:

A:

Incentive pay is part of an employee's Total Rewards package of pay and benefits. Having a competitive approach to Total Rewards is essential to attract and retain talented and high performing employees. Evergy's approach has not changed since my last testimony in 2012, which has been to offer a competitive pay package, with total target compensation positioned around the market median and opportunities to earn higher or lower levels of total compensation through performance-based incentives. Evergy offers the ability for all non-union employees to earn incentive pay.

## Q: How is the target compensation established?

Evergy participates in compensation surveys that provide information to benchmark positions across the company. Evergy reviews this information to ensure the total compensation offered by Evergy is competitive in the marketplace. For officer compensation, the Compensation and Leadership Development committee is advised by Meridian Compensation Partners. Meridian Compensation Partners provides this Committee information related to industry and peer benchmarks and total compensation specific to the scope and depth of each position. This information includes target compensation by position.

## Q: What happens if the Total Rewards package is not competitive?

Q:

A:

A:

Top performing employees who drive company performance are highly sought after given their level of contribution to a company's success. If a company doesn't adequately and equitably compensate employees who are key to its success, the employee is more likely to be approached by another company for potential hire. If Evergy's total rewards package is not competitive, Evergy risks losing key contributors given other companies are competing for the same top talent. Losing high performing employees would make it more difficult for Evergy to achieve its business objectives and serve its customers.

## Do the Company's incentive compensation scorecards change every year?

Yes. Evergy has several incentive plans that correspond to different populations of employees. The scorecards for each vary based on the relevance of the scorecard metrics to those eligible under each plan. Evergy offers the Annual Incentive Plan ("AIP") to officers, and the AIP scorecard is established annually by the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of the Board of Directors. This Committee, under the guidance of an executive compensation consultant from Meridian Compensation Partners, discusses the measures of importance from year to year, reviews benchmarking and adopts changes accordingly. Evergy offers the Variable Compensation Plan ("VCP") to all non-executive, non-union employees, and the scorecard for the VCP is reviewed and approved by the Plan Administrative Committee. Evergy offers the Evergy Energy Partners Plan ("EEPP") in the power marketing group, and the scorecards for the EEPP are established by an oversight group of senior executives who establish the parameters for the plan. Evergy offers Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation union employees the

1		Performance Achievement Result (PAR) Incentive plan, and the scorecard for this plan is		
2		established with review, approval and oversight by the Wolf Creek Board of Directors.		
3	Q:	Has the Company's approach to regulatory recovery of AIP changed since 2019?		
4	A:	No. It has been an established practice to establish a three-year incentive compensation		
5		average. See the Rebuttal testimony of witness Klote for more information.		
6	Q:	Do incentive plans generally operate the same within a regulated or non-regulated		
7		environment?		
8	A:	Yes. Organizations need to attract and retain key talent to drive company performance		
9		regardless of whether they are a regulated or non-regulated entity.		
10	Q:	Is there any tie between the Uplight SOW and the metrics established for the AIP?		
11	A:	No, the scorecard and metrics for all Evergy compensation plans were reviewed and		
12		approved irrespective of the Uplight SOW. See additional discussion of Uplight in the		
13		rebuttal testimony of Company witness Charles A. Caisley.		
14	Q:	Do you agree with OPC witness Schaben that the Commission should order the		
15		disallowances of incentive compensation costs she recommends?		
16	A:	No. I do not. As I discussed, Evergy's incentive compensation programs are well-designed		
17		with effective oversight and measurement of results. Evergy's Total Rewards are		
18		benchmarked and designed to median market compensation and benefits with included pay		
19		at-risk consistent with market and with the objective of obtaining and retained talent		
20		necessary for the provision of service to customers. Finally, Evergy has had its Total		
21		Rewards philosophy in place for a number of years and the Commission has provided for		
22		appropriate levels of incentive compensation expense in rates over the last several Evergy		
23		rate proceedings.		

In addition to my rebuttal testimony I would also refer the Commission to the rebuttal testimony of Company witness Ronald Klote as he addresses incentive compensation adjustment proposed by the Company in its direct filing to address measures that have historically been considered by the Commission to benefit shareholders and non-regulated operations. I would also refer the Commission to the rebuttal testimony of Company witness Darrin Ives as he addresses the inappropriateness of utilizing positive regulatory lag from efficiency savings to fund incentive compensation and his concerns that such an approach runs afoul of the plan coming out of the Commission approval of the 2018 merger between Great Plains Energy and Westar.

- 10 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?
- 11 A: Yes, it does.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service	) ) )	Case No. ER-2022-0129
In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service	) ) )	Case No. ER-2022-0130
AFFIDAVIT OF KE	ELLY	MURPHY
STATE OF MISSOURI )		

Kelly Murphy, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

- 1. My name is Kelly Murphy. I work in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am employed by Evergy Metro, Inc. as Director Human Resources Operations.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West consisting of six (6) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.
- 3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Kelly Murphy

Subscribed and sworn before me this 13th day of July 2022.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

**COUNTY OF JACKSON** 

ANTHONY R. WESTENKIRCHNER NOTARY PUBLIC - NOTARY SEAL STATE OF MISSOURI MY COMMISSION EXPIRES APRIL 26, 2025 PLATTE COUNTY COMMISSION #17279952