Correction to Notice of Ex Parte Contact

TO:

Records Department: All Parties in Case No. TT-98-351

FROM:

Regulatory Law Judge Randles

DATE:

May 18, 1998



On May 14, 1998, the Commission filed and served on all parties in Case No. TT-98-351 a Notice of *Ex Parte* Contact concerning comments made at the April 30 local public hearing in Case No. TW-98-356. The final paragraph of the notice suggested that the comments were attached. However, the attachment was inadvertently omitted.

The relevant pages of the transcript of the April 30 local public hearing in Case No. TW-98-356 are attached to this corrected notice.

Attachment: Transcript pages 91 through 93

cc:

Executive Director

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

General Counsel

RECEIVED

MAY 18 1998

COMMISSION COUNSEL PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

91

		ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY THOMAS ROBERTS, RPR, CCR
1		BY COMMISSIONER CRUMPTON:
2	Q	Are you familiar with a service Southwestern
3		Bell is offering called LATA LEC calling or
4		they're attempting to offer, LATA LEC
5		calling?
6		
7		MS. SHEMWELL: Local plus?
8		
9	A	Are you talking about the one
10		
11		COMMISSIONER CRUMPTON: Is that
12		local plus?
13		MR. HINTER (PHONETIC): Yeah.
14		I'm Craig Hinter, Southwestern Bell. Yes,
15		it's called local plus. It's pending before
16		the Commission.
17		COMMISSIONER CRUMPTON: Now, that
18		service, if you look at this map, will that
19		service go all the way into Kansas City from
20		Jamestown not Jamestown, but Boonville,
21		Sedalia since they're in the LATA?
22		MR. HINTER: Yes, that is right.
23		COMMISSIONER CRUMPTON: Okay.
24		The new Ladda still includes these areas?

MR. HINTER: That is correct, the

25

92

	ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY THOMAS ROBERTS, RPR, CCR
1	Ladda has not been changed.
2	COMMISSIONER CRUMPTON: All
3	right. So now what we is a service being
4	offered out of Southwestern Bell exchanges
5	that would, for a flat rate, permit the
6	citizens to call anywhere, and that's a
7	distance of maybe 135 miles or so. And that's
8	going from Boonville all the way back over to
9	Kansas because Leawood Kansas would be in that
10	Ladda, too, would it not?
11	MR. HINTER: That's correct.
12	COMMISSIONER CRUMPTON: Now, that
13	would also include calling from the Sedalia
14	exchange north up to the other boundary which
15	would be somewhere on the other side of the
16	Missouri River; is that right?
17	MR. HINTER: It would be clear to
18	the north part of the state.
19	COMMISSIONER CRUMPTON: Now, that
20	is an alternative that we have not discussed.
21	JUDGE RANDLES: Commissioner, I
22	think that is a pending case and perhaps we
23	should limit our discussion of that.
24	COMMISSIONER CRIMPTON. Well.

25

thank you.

93

		ROBERTS & ASSOCIATES BY THOMAS ROBERTS, RPR, CCR
1		JUDGE RANDLES: It is something
2		that has been proposed by Southwestern Bell
3		and it is under consideration by the
4		Commission, and there are other parties who
5		have intervened and it's a disputed case. So
6		we will have to limit our discussion to that.
7		WITNESS: I would just like to
8		add I would encourage the Commissioner to
9		continue to think that's a good idea.
10		COMMISSIONER CRUMPTON: Have I
11		been ex parted or what.
12		JUDGE RANDLES: Well, it's on the
13		record.
14		COMMISSIONER CRUMPTON: It's on
15		the record and the other parties can
16		communicate with it.
17		
18	Q	(BY COMMISSIONER CRUMPTON CONTINUING:) All
19		right. The next question is you are you
20		satisfied with Infolink?
21	A	I think it is a very satisfactory program,
22		although some of the implementation of it, as
23		I said, with their own customer service reps
24		and some of the issues there maybe needs some
25		ironing out. The program itself. I think is