Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): COVID AAO Witness: Kimberly K. Bolin

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony
Case Nos.: ER-2022-0129 and

ER-2022-0130

Date Testimony Prepared: June 8, 2022

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129

Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130

> Jefferson City, Missouri June2022

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	KIMBERLY K. BOLIN
4 5	Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129
6 7	Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130
8	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2
9	COVID AAO3
10	WINTER STORM URI – EVERGY METRO ONLY6

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		KIMBERLY K. BOLIN
4 5		Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129
6 7		Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130
8	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
9	A.	My name is Kimberly K. Bolin. My business address is P. O. Box 360,
10	Suite 440, Je	fferson City, MO 65102.
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12	A.	I am the Financial and Business Analysis Director for the Missouri Public
13	Service Com	mission ("Commission").
14	Q.	Please describe your educational background and work experience.
15	A.	I graduated from Central Missouri State University (now University of Central
16	Missouri) in	Warrensburg, Missouri, with a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration,
17	major empha	sis in Accounting, in May 1993. Before coming to work at the Commission, I was
18	employed by	the Missouri Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") as a Public Utility Accountant
19	from Septem	aber 1994 to April 2005. I commenced employment with the Commission in
20	April 2005.	
21	Q.	What was the nature of your job duties when you were employed by OPC?
22	A.	I was responsible for performing audits and examinations of the books and
23	records of pu	blic utilities operating within the state of Missouri.
24	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before the Commission?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Yes, numerous times. Please refer to Schedule KKB-d1, attached to this
 Direct Testimony, for a list of the major audits in which I have assisted and filed testimony with
 OPC and with the Commission.

 Q. What knowledge, skills, experience, training and education do you have in the
 - A. I have received continuous training at in-house and outside seminars on technical ratemaking matters both when employed by OPC and since I began my employment at the Commission. I have been employed by this Commission or by OPC as a Regulatory Auditor for over 25 years, and have submitted testimony on ratemaking matters numerous times before the Commission. I have also been responsible for the supervision of other Commission employees in rate cases and other regulatory proceedings.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?

areas of which you are testifying as an expert witness?

- A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide Staff's recommendation for the recovery of the COVID-19 AAO that was granted in Case No. EU-2020-0350. I also discuss the allocation issue that was originally proposed by Evergy in Case No. EU-2021-0283, but is now being addressed as part of this case.
- Q. Through this testimony, do you provide any recommendations for recommended expense levels to be reflected in the revenue requirement ordered in this case?
 - A. Yes. I provide the amortization expense level for the COVID-19 AAO.

COVID AAO

- Q. Was Evergy Missouri Metro ("Evergy Metro") and Evergy Missouri West ("Evergy West") granted an AAO for COVID-19 costs?
- A. Yes. In Case No. EU-2020-0350, the Commission granted an AAO to allow Evergy Metro and Evergy West to defer, in a regulatory asset, specific costs associated with the COVID-19 pandemic netted against specific savings also associated with the pandemic.
 - Q. What costs were Evergy Metro and Evergy West allowed to track?
- A. Evergy Metro and Evergy West was allowed to track and defer into a regulatory asset for the following costs beginning March 1, 2020 until March 31, 2021 as follows:
 - 1. New or incremental operating and maintenance expense related to protecting employees and customers eligible costs, as follows:
 - i. Additional cleaning of facilities and vehicles;
 - ii. Personal protective equipment (i.e., masks, gloves, sanitizing sprays, temperature testing, plexiglass shields, etc.);
 - iii. Technology upgrades which include equipment directly related to enabling employees to work from home and associated contract labor. Such costs shall not extend to costs normally incurred by the employee including internet connectivity at the home;
 - iv. Employee sequestration preparation costs (and employee sequestration costs if that becomes necessary).
- 2. Increased bad debt expense due to COVID-19 to the extent total bad debt expense exceeds levels \$5,552,581 for Evergy Metro and \$2,894,841 for Evergy West;

23

("CARES") Act;

Costs related to any assistance programs implemented to aid customers with 3. 1 2 payment of electric bills during the pandemic, except for the contributions by the Company 3 addressed in paragraph 17 of the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement and the program 4 designated as confidential in the Company's filing in Case No. EO-2020-0383; 5 4. Waived late payment fees up to \$1,909,451 for Evergy Metro and \$725,422 for Evergy West and waived reconnection fees up to \$362,605 for Evergy Metro and \$271,385 for 6 7 Evergy West. 8 Q. What cost savings were Evergy Metro and Evergy West required to track? 9 A. Evergy Metro and Evergy West also agreed to track and net against the deferred 10 costs recorded as a regulatory asset the following operating costs; 11 1. Travel expense (hotels, airfare, meals, entertainment); 2. 12 Training expense; 13 3. Office supplies; 14 4. Utility service provided to facilities leased or owned by the Company; 5. 15 Staffing reductions due to the COVID-19 pandemic, but excluding staffing 16 reductions instituted in furtherance of merger savings and integration plans or in furtherance of 17 the Sustainability Transformation Plan; 18 6. Reduced employee compensation and benefits due to the COVID-19 pandemic, 19 but excluding reductions in furtherance of merger savings and integration plans or in 20 furtherance of the Sustainability Transformation Plan; 7. 21 Any income tax benefits from taxable net operating losses that are carried back

to previous tax years per the 2020 Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security

Direct Testimony of Kimberly K. Bolin

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 8. Any direct federal or state assistance the Company receives or any federal or state assistance received by Evergy, Inc., properly allocable to Evergy Metro and/or Evergy West, related to COVID-19 relief.
 - Q. What amounts does Staff recommend for inclusion in the AAO?
- A. Staff recommends the following waived revenues, expenses, and savings for the period of March 1, 2020 through March 31, 2021 be included in the AAO:

Description	Evergy Metro	Evergy West
Bad Debt Expense	\$1,925,199	\$2,847,408
Waived Late Fees	\$1,909,451	\$725,422
Waived Reconnect Revenues	\$310,442	\$241,600
Customer Assistance Programs	\$45,911	\$40,812
Communications Expense for Customer Assistance	\$13,725	\$26,802
Programs		
Additional Cleaning Costs	\$124,374	\$135,322
PPE	\$84,924	\$60,651
IT costs	\$139,524	\$78,559
Sequestration Preparation Costs	\$98,973	\$71
Reduced Travel & Training Expense	\$(1,171,186)	\$(576,460)
Reduced Utility Costs	\$(15,713)	\$(679,065)
Total	\$3,465,625	\$2,901,121
		1

Q. How did Staff determine the appropriate amounts to include in the AAO?

7

8

adjustment tariff?

1 A. Staff reviewed Evergy Metro's and Evergy West's workpapers and the report 2 filed by Evergy in Case No. EU-2020-0350 on May 13, 2021. 3 What amortization period does Staff recommend for the COVID-19 AAO? Q. 4 A. Staff recommends amortization period of 4 years. The annual amortization 5 would accordingly be \$866,406 for Evergy Metro and \$725,280 for Evergy West. WINTER STORM URI – EVERGY METRO ONLY 6 7 Q. During Winter Storm Uri was Evergy Metro able to sell more energy than it spent on fuel? 8 9 A. Yes, during Winter Storm Uri, Evergy Metro was able to sell more energy than 10 it spent on fuel. This resulted in a net revenue situation, which was refunded to the customers 11 in Case NO. ER-2022-0025 through the fuel adjustment clause rate adjustment tariff. 12 Q. Did Evergy Metro file for an AAO to defer the net revenue to a regulatory 13 liability to later be returned to the customers? 14 A. Yes. In Case No. EU-2021-0283, Evergy Metro requested the net revenue not 15 be included in the Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") but that Evergy Metro be granted an AAO 16 permitting it to identify, track, document, accumulate, and defer in a regulatory liability its 17 extraordinarily incurred revenues and costs related to Winter Storm Uri. Evergy Metro also 18 requested that the deferral be allocated differently to the Missouri jurisdiction than how costs 19 and revenues of this nature are normally allocated to Missouri. 20 Q. In Case No. ER-2022-0025, did the Commission deny Evergy Metro's request 21 to defer the net revenue and order the net revenue pass through Evergy Metro's FAC rate

- A. Yes. The Commission found that the plain language of its rule did not permit Evergy Metro to defer extraordinary revenues from its FAC adjustment tariff. Evergy Metro was ordered to file an FAC adjustment tariff inclusive of off-system sales revenues from Winter Storm Uri, with any applicable interest. However, the issue of allocations is still a remaining issue in Case No. EU-2021-0283. The parties to Case No. EU-2021-0283 agree that the jurisdictional allocation issue should be addressed in this rate case.
 - Q. Please describe the allocation issue.
- A. In Case No. EU-2021-0283, Evergy Metro claims that the difference in the jurisdictional allocations between Missouri and Kansas results in Evergy refunding 107% of its actual off-system sales across Missouri and Kansas. The allocation approach proposed by Evergy Metro would purportedly result in 100% of the net benefit flowing to its customers if both the Commission and the Kansas Corporation Commission ("KCC") approve this request.
 - Q. What are the differences in the allocation methodologies?
- A. Missouri uses the Energy Allocator for fuel, purchased power and off-system sales, while Kansas uses the Unused Energy Allocator. Staff continues to recommend that use of the Energy Allocator is appropriate to allocate costs and revenues of this nature and should be used for the net revenues that were a result of Winter Storm Uri. Please see the direct testimony of Staff witness Alan Bax for further discussion on the calculation of the energy allocator.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
 - A. Yes it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service) Case No. ER-2022-0129)
In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service) Case No. ER-2022-0130
AFFIDAVIT OF KIM	BERLY K. BOLIN
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)	
COMES NOW KIMBERLY K. BOLIN and	d on her oath declares that she is of sound mind
and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoi	ng Direct Testimony of Kimberly K. Bolin; and

that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for of June 2022.

D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025
Commission Number: 12412070

Company Name	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested or Settled
The Empire District Electric Company	EO-2022- 0040/EO-2022- 0193	Rebuttal – ADIT and EADIT, Sharing of Costs, Decommissioning Costs, Winter Storm Uri AAO, Interest Earned on Capital Subaccount Surrebuttal – Asbury Environmental Asset/ARO, ADIT and EADIT	Pending
Ozarks Medical Center vs. Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc.	GC-2022-0158	Rebuttal – Accounting Authority Order	Pending
The Empire District Gas Company	GR-2021-0320	<u>Direct</u> – Excess ADIT and Tax Tracker	Settled
The Empire District Electric Company	EU-2021-0274	Rebuttal – Winter Storm Uri AAO	Pending
The Empire District Electric Company	ER-2021-0312	Cost of Service Report – ARO, Amortization of Excess ADIT, Regulatory Lag and Risk Mitigation Rebuttal – Business Risk, Paygo, AROs, Transmission Tracker Surrebuttal - Non-FAC Wind Revenues, ADIT and Excess ADIT, Iatan/PCB Environmental Costs, Market Price Protection Mechanism, Winter Storm Uri	Settled
Ameren Missouri	ER-2021-0240	Cost of Service Report – COVID-19 AAO Cost Recovery, Rate Switching Tracker, Allocation Factors, Company Owned Life Insurance, Equity Issuance Costs, Tracker Mechanisms Proposals Policy Surrebuttal – Normalization of COVID-19 Costs, Allocations, AMI Software	Settled
Ameren Missouri	GR-2021-0241	Cost of Service Report - COVID-19 AAO Cost Recovery, AMI-Software, Allocation Factors Surrebuttal – Normalization of COVID-19 Costs, AMI Software	Settled
Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West	ET-2021-0151	Rebuttal Report – Accounting	Contested

Company Name	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested or Settled
Spire Missouri	GR-2021-0108	Cost of Service Report – COVID-19 AAO Recovery Surrebuttal – Trackers	Settled
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2020-0344	Cost of Service Report – Future Test Year, Credit Card Fee Expense, Amortization of Excess ADIT, COVID-19 AAO Recovery Rebuttal – Future Test Year, COVID-19 AAO Recovery, Amortization of Excess ADIT, Affiliate Transactions, AFUDC Rate Surrebuttal – Future Test Year, COVID-19 AAO, Tax Cut and Jobs Act of 2017, Outside Services, COVID Impacts on Revenue	Settled
Spire Missouri, Inc.	GU-2020-0376	Rebuttal – Accounting Authority Order, Lost Revenues	Settled
Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West	EU-2020-0350	Rebuttal – Accounting Authority Order, Lost Revenue, Carrying Costs	Contested
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2020-0311	Rebuttal – Coal Inventory Adjustment Surrebuttal – Coal Inventory Adjustment	Settled

Company Name	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested or Settled
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2019-0374	Direct – Overview of Staff's Filing Cost of Service Report – Executive Overview, Test year/True-Up Period, Vegetation Management Tracker Regulatory Asset, Iatan and Plum Point Carrying Costs, Stub Period Tax Cut/Removal of Tax Impact, Tornado AAO, Rate Case Expense Sharing, Credit Card Fees, Clearing Accounts Rebuttal – Asset Retirement Obligations, AAO and Tracker Policy, Affiliate Transactions Surrebuttal/True-Up – Unamortized Balance of Joplin AAO, Credit Card Fees, Payroll Test year, Rate Case Expense Sharing, LED Lighting, Low-Income Pilot Program Amortization, Affiliate Transactions Supplemental – Jurisdictional Allocations, Rate Case Expense, Management Expense, Pension and OPEBs, Affiliate Transactions, Software Maintenance	Contested
Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Co., Inc.	WA-2019-0299	Surrebuttal – Quality of Service Direct – Net Book Value of Plant	Contested
Osage Utility Operating Co., Inc.	WA-2019-0185	<u>Surrebuttal</u> – Rate Base, Acquisition Incentive	Contested
Spire Inc.	GO-2019-0115 and GO-2019- 116	Staff Direct Report – Blanket Work Orders and Current Income Taxes	Contested
Empire District Electric Company and Liberty Utilities	AO-2018-0179	<u>Direct</u> – Moneypool <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Moneypool	Contested
Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc.	WM-2018-0116 and SM-2018- 0117	<u>Direct</u> – Rate Base, Roy L Utilities	Settled
Spire Missouri Inc.	GO-2016-0332, GO-2016-0333, GO-2017-0201, GO-2017-0202 GO-2018-0309 and GO-2018- 0310	<u>Direct</u> – Removal of Plastic Main and Service Line Replacement Costs	Contested

Company Name	Case Number	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested or Settled
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2017-0285	Cost of Service Report – Pension/OPEB Tracker, FAS 87 Pension Costs, FAS 106 OPEBs Costs, Franchise Taxes Rebuttal – Defined Contribution Plan, Cloud Computing, Affiliate Transaction Rule (Water Utility) Surrebuttal – Rate Case Expense	Settled
Missouri-American Water Company	WO-2018-0059	<u>Direct</u> – ISRS Overview, Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes, Reconciliation	
Missouri Gas Energy and Laclede Gas Company	GO-2016-0332 and GO-2016- 0333	Rebuttal – Inclusion of Plastic Main and Service Line Replacements	Contested
Empire District Electric Company/Liberty Utilities	EM-2016-0213	Rebuttal – Overview of Transaction, Ratemaking /Accounting Conditions, Access to Records Surrebuttal – OPC Recommended Conditions, SERP	Settled
Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc.	WR-2016-0064	<u>Direct</u> – Partial Disposition Agreement	Contested
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2016-0023	Requirement Report – Riverton Conversion Project and Asbury Air Quality Control System Direct – Overview of Staff's Revenue Requirement Report and Overview of Staff's Rate Design Filing	Settled
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2015-0301	Report on Cost of Service – Corporate Allocation, District Allocations Rebuttal – District Allocations, Business Transformation Surrebuttal – District Allocations, Business Transformation, Service Company Costs	Settled
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2014-0351	Direct – Overview of Staff's Filing Rebuttal - ITC Over-Collection, Cost of Removal Deferred Tax Amortization, State Flow-Through Surrebuttal – Unamortized Balance of Joplin Tornado, ITC Over-Collections, Cost of Removal Deferred Tax Amortization, State Flow-Through, Transmission Revenues and Expenses	Settled

Company Name	Case Number	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested or Settled
Brandco Investments/ Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc.	WO-2014-0340	Rebuttal – Rate Base and Future Rates	Settled
Lake Region Water & Sewer	WR-2013-0461	Direct – Overview of Staff's Filing Report on Cost of Service – True-Up, Availability Fees, Sewer Operating Expense, Sewer Equipment Maintenance Expense Surrebuttal – Availability Fees True-Up Direct – Overview of True-Up Audit True-Up Rebuttal – Corrections to True-Up	Contested
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2012-0345	Direct- Overview of Staff's Filing Report on Cost of Service— SWPA Hydro Reimbursement, Joplin Tornado AAO Asset, SPP Revenues, SPP Expenses, Regulatory Plan Amortization Impacts, SWPA Amortization, Tornado AAO Amortization Rebuttal— Unamortized Balance of Joplin Tornado AAO, Rate Case Expense, True- Up and Uncontested Issues Surrebuttal— Unamortized Balance of Joplin Tornado AAO, SPP Transmission Expense, True-Up, Advanced Coal Investment Tax Credit	Settled
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2011-0337	Direct—Overview of Staff's Filing Report on Cost of Service- True-Up Recommendation, Tank Painting Tracker, Tank Painting Expense Rebuttal—Tank Painting Expense, Business Transformation Surrebuttal—Tank Painting Tracker, Acquisition Adjustment	Settled

Company Name	Case Number	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested
			or Settled
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2010-0131	Report on Cost of Service- Pension/OPEB Tracker, Tank Painting Tracker, Deferred Income Taxes, FAS 87 Pension Costs, FAS 106 – Other Post-Employment Benefits, Incentive Compensation, Group Insurance and 401(k) Employer Costs, Tank Painting Expense, Dues and Donations, Advertising Expense, Promotional Items, Current and Deferred Income Tax Expense	Settled
Empire District Gas Company	GR-2009-0434	Report on Cost of Service—Prepaid Pension Asset, Pension Tracker Asset/Liability, Unamortized Accounting Authority Order Balances, Pension Expense, OPEBs, Amortization of Stock Issuance Costs, Amortization of Accounting Authority Orders Direct—Overview of Staff's Filing	Settled
Laclede Gas Company	GT-2009-0056	Surrebuttal Testimony— Tariff	Contested
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2008-0311 & SR-2008-0312	Report on Cost of Service— Tank Painting Tracker, Lobbying Costs, PSC Assessment Direct— Overview of Staff's Filing Rebuttal— True-Up Items, Unamortized Balance of Security AAO, Tank Painting Expense, Fire Hydrant Painting Expense Surrebuttal— Unamortized Balance of Security AAO, Cedar Hill Waste Water Plant, Tank Painting Expense, Fire Hydrant Painting Expense	Settled
Missouri Gas Utility, Inc.	GR-2008-0060	Report on Cost of Service—Plant-in Service/Capitalization Policy, Plant-in Service/Purchase Price Valuation, Depreciation Reserve, Revenues, Uncollectible Expense	Settled
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2007-0208	<u>Direct</u> - Test Year and True-Up, Environmental costs, AAOs, Revenue, Miscellaneous Revenue, Gross receipts Tax, Gas Costs, Uncollectibles, EWCR, AMR, Acquisition Adjustment	Settled

Company Name	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested or Settled
Kansas City Power and Light Company	ER-2006-0314	<u>Direct</u> - Gross Receipts Tax, Revenues, Weather Normalization, Customer Growth/Loss Annualization, Large Customer Annualization, Other Revenue, Uncollectible (Bad Debt) Expense, Payroll, A&G Salaries Capitalization Ratio, Payroll Taxes, Employer 401 (k) Match, Other Employee Benefits <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Uncollectible (Bad Debt) Expense, Payroll, A&G Salaries Capitalization Ratio, Other Employee Benefits	Contested
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-2006-0204	<u>Direct</u> - Payroll, Incentive Compensation, Payroll Taxes, Employee Benefits, Lobbying, Customer & Governmental Relations Department, Collections Contract	Settled

Company Name	Case Number	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested or Settled
Missouri Gas Energy	GU-2005-0095	Rebuttal - Accounting Authority Order Surrebuttal - Accounting Authority Order	Contested
The Empire District Electric Company	ER-2004-0570	<u>Direct</u> - Payroll	Settled
Missouri American Water Company & Cedar Hill Utility Company	SM-2004-0275	<u>Direct</u> - Acquisition Premium	Settled
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-2004-0209	Direct- Safety Line Replacement Program; Environmental Response Fund; Dues & Donations; Payroll; Customer & Governmental Relations Department Disallowance; Outside Lobbyist Costs Rebuttal- Customer Service; Incentive Compensation; Environmental Response Fund; Lobbying/Legislative Costs True-Up- Rate Case Expense	Contested
Osage Water Company	ST-2003-0562 / WT-2003-0563	<u>Direct</u> - Payroll <u>Rebuttal</u> - Payroll; Lease Payments to Affiliated Company; alleged Legal Requirement of a Reserve	Case Dismissed
Missouri American Water Company	WR-2003-0500	<u>Direct</u> - Acquisition Adjustment; Water Treatment Plant Excess Capacity; Retired Treatment Plan; Affiliated Transactions; Security AAO; Advertising Expense; Customer Correspondence	Settled
Empire District Electric	ER-2002-424	<u>Direct</u> - Dues & Donations; Memberships; Payroll; Security Costs <u>Rebuttal</u> - Energy Traders' Commission <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Energy Traders' Commission	Settled

Company Name	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested or Settled
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2002-356	<u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Safety Replacement Program and the Copper Service Replacement Program; Dues & Donations; Rate Case Expense <u>Rebuttal</u> - Gas Safety Replacement Program / Deferred Income Taxes for AAOs	Settled
Missouri-American Water Company	WO-2002-273	Rebuttal - Accounting Authority Order Cross-Surrebuttal - Accounting Authority Order	Contested
Environmental Utilities	WA-2002-65	<u>Direct</u> - Water Supply Agreement <u>Rebuttal</u> - Certificate of Convenience & Necessity	Contested
Warren County Water & Sewer	WC-2002-160 / SC-2002-155	Direct- Clean Water Act Violations; DNR Violations; Customer Service; Water Storage Tank; Financial Ability; Management Issues Surrebuttal- Customer Complaints; Poor Management Decisions; Commingling of Regulated & Non-Related Business	Contested
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2001-629	<u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Safety Replacement Program; Dues & Donations; Customer Correspondence	Settled
Gateway Pipeline Company	GM-2001-585	Rebuttal - Acquisition Adjustment; Affiliated Transactions; Company's Strategic Plan	Contested
Empire District Electric	ER-2001-299	<u>Direct</u> - Payroll; Merger Expense <u>Rebuttal</u> - Payroll <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Payroll	Settled
Osage Water Company	SR-2000-556/ WR-2000-557	<u>Direct</u> - Customer Service	Contested

Company Name	Case Number	Testimony/Issues	Contested or Settled
St. Louis County Water Company	WR-2000-844	<u>Direct</u> - Main Incident Expense	Settled
Missouri American Water Company	WR-2000-281/ SR-2000-282	<u>Direct</u> - Water Plant Premature Retirement; Rate Case Expense <u>Rebuttal</u> - Water Plant Premature Retirement <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Water Plant Premature Retirement	Contested
Laclede Gas Company	GR-99-315	<u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Dues & Donations; Miscellaneous Expense; Items to be Trued-up	Contested
St. Joseph Light & Power	HR-99-245	<u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Dues & Donations; Miscellaneous Expense; Items to be Trued-up <u>Rebuttal</u> - Advertising Expense <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Advertising Expense	Settled
St. Joseph Light & Power	ER-99-247	Direct- Merger Expense; Rate Case Expense; Deferral of the Automatic Mapping/Facility Management Costs Rebuttal- Merger Expense; Rate Case Expense; Deferral of the Automatic Mapping/Facility Management Costs Surrebuttal- Merger Expense; Rate Case Expense; Deferral of the Automatic Mapping/Facility Management Costs	Settled
Laclede Gas Company	GR-98-374	<u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Gas Safety Replacement AAO; Computer System Replacement Costs	Settled
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-98-140	<u>Direct</u> - Payroll; Advertising; Dues & Donations; Regulatory Commission Expense; Rate Case Expense	Contested

Company Name	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested or Settled
Gascony Water Company, Inc.	WA-97-510	Rebuttal- Rate Base; Rate Case Expense; Cash Working Capital	Settled
Union Electric Company	GR-97-393	<u>Direct</u> - Interest Rates for Customer Deposits	Settled
St. Louis County Water Company	WR-97-382	<u>Direct</u> - Interest Rates for Customer Deposits, Main Incident Expense	Settled
Associated Natural Gas Company	GR-97-272	<u>Direct</u> - Acquisition Adjustment; Interest Rates for Customer Deposits <u>Rebuttal</u> - Acquisition Adjustment; Interest Rates for Customer Deposits <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Interest Rates for Customer Deposits	Contested
Missouri-American Water Company	WA-97-45	Rebuttal - Waiver of Service Connection Charges	Contested
Imperial Utility Corporation	SC-96-427	<u>Direct</u> - Revenues, CIAC <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Payroll; Uncollectible Accounts Expense; Rate Case Expense, Revenues	Settled
St. Louis Water Company	WR-96-263	Direct-Main Incident Repairs Rebuttal- Main Incident Repairs Surrebuttal- Main Incident Repairs	Contested
Steelville Telephone Company	TR-96-123	<u>Direct</u> - Depreciation Reserve Deficiency	Settled

Company Name	Case Number	<u>Testimony/Issues</u>	Contested or Settled
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-95-205/ SR-95-206	<u>Direct</u> - Property Held for Future Use; Premature Retirement of Sewer Plant;	Contested
		Depreciation Study Expense; Deferred Maintenance	
		Rebuttal- Property Held for Future Use; Premature Retirement of Sewer Plant; Deferred Maintenance	
		Surrebuttal- Property Held for Future Use; Premature Retirement of Sewer Plant	
St. Louis County Water Company	WR-95-145	Rebuttal- Tank Painting Reserve Account; Main Repair Reserve Account Surrebuttal- Main Repair Reserve Account	Contested