

Commissioners

SHEILA LUMPE Chair

M. DIANNE DRAINER Vice Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

ROBERT G. SCHEMENAUER

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.state.mo.us December 15, 2000 BRIAN D. KINKADE Executive Director

GORDON L. PERSINGER Director, Research and Public Affairs

> WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. KOLILIS Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

FILED

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Missouri Public Service Commission

DEC 1 5 2000

RE: Case No. TA-2000-691 – In the Matter of the Application of OnSite Access Local, LLC for a Certificate of Service Authority to Provide Basic Local Telecommunications Services in Portions of the State of Missouri and for Competitive Classification.

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are an original and eight (8) conformed copies of SUGGESTIONS IN SUPPORT OF THE UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT.

This filing has been mailed or hand-delivered this date to all counsel of record.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

18:11stant

Assistant General Counsel

(573) 751-7489

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

nwilliams@mail.state.mo.us

Enclosure

cc: Counsel of Record

BEFORE THE STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

्तात्व -			מ	
DEC	1	5	200	0

In the Matter of the Application of OnSite Access Local, LLC for a Certificate of Service Authority to Provide Basic Local Telecommunications Services in Portions of the State of Missouri and for Competitive))))	Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. TA-2000-691
Classification.)	

SUGGESTIONS IN SUPPORT OF THE UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Comes now the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Staff") and in support of the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement filed in this matter states as follows:

- 1. OnSite Access Local, LLC (Applicant) agreed in the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, paragraph 4, that any service authority it receives shall be conditional and not exercised until tariff(s) for services have become effective.
- 2. The application process envisioned in the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement requires that the Applicant: a) file a complete application, including such undertakings as the Parties have deemed essential; b) enter into interconnection and/or resale agreements and file them for approval; and c) file tariffs for approval. The Staff believes this three-step process provides the necessary protections without unduly burdening or delaying certification.
- 3. The Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement provides, in paragraph 3, that the Applicant will adhere to the same quality of service and billing standards as those to which the incumbent LECs must adhere.
- 4. The Applicant agrees, in paragraph 3 of the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, that it will provide equitable access, as determined by the Commission, to all Missourians. The Staff believes that such an affirmative statement is not necessarily required, as



the statutory section in question is couched in terms of a Commission finding rather than an affirmative undertaking. ¹ However, the Staff can see a potential benefit in such an undertaking, so it does not object to including equitable access as an affirmative statement in the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement. ²

5. The Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement was specifically designed to address the five (5) criteria set out in § 392.455, RSMo. Supp. 1999, which the Commission must address in the process of certificating new basic local telecommunications service providers.

The Applicant possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service. In Exhibit 4 to its Application, the Applicant has demonstrated its technical and managerial resources and abilities. In Exhibit 3 to its Application, as supplemented, the Applicant has demonstrated its financial resources and abilities.

The Applicant has demonstrated that the services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission. The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's services and has concluded that the Applicant satisfies the minimum standards established by the Commission.

The Applicant has set forth the geographic area in which it proposes to offer service and has demonstrated that such area follows exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications company and is no smaller than an exchange. The Staff has concluded that the geographic area in which the Applicant proposes to offer service follows exchange boundaries and is not smaller than an exchange.

The Applicant has agreed to offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service. The Staff has concluded that the Applicant will offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service.

The Applicant has agreed to provide equitable access to affordable telecommunications services for all Missourians, regardless of where they live or their income. The Staff has concluded that the Applicant will provide equitable access to affordable telecommunications services for all Missourians, regardless of where they live or their income.

¹§ 392.455, RSMo, Supp. 1999.

²As equitable access is a concern the Commission must address in the certification process, the Parties wanted to bring it to the Commission's attention and assert their belief that this application is in no way inconsistent with equitable access.

The Commission may classify a telecommunications provider or its services as 6. competitive if the Commission determines it is subject to sufficient competition to justify a lesser degree of regulation. (See § 392.361.2, RSMo. 1994). All the services a competitive company provides must be classified as competitive. (See § 392.361.3, RSMo. 1994). As indicated in paragraph 8 of the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, no Party disputes that the Applicant should be classified as a competitive telecommunications company, and all of the telecommunications services it offers should be classified as competitive. However, the Staff and other parties expressed concern about classifying exchange access service as competitive. The end user, not the access customer (presently the interexchange carrier [IXC]), determines whose services will be used. Accordingly, an IXC does not have the option to avoid a certain LEC because its access charges are too high; if the IXC's customer is served by that LEC, the ICX will have to buy access from that LEC. The Commission has recently issued an order addressing this issue: In the Matter of Access Rates to be Charged by Competitive Local Exchange Telephone Companies in the State of Missouri, Case No. TO-99-596. To address this concern, the parties agreed that Applicant's originating and terminating access rates will be capped at the levels of the directly competing ILEC, and that Applicant will file appropriate tariff amendments to maintain the cap if the directly competing ILEC reduces its originating or terminating access rates. The access rate caps are discussed and stipulated to in paragraph 3. Although access services would technically be classified as competitive, the Applicant may not avail itself of the near automatic rate changes normally afforded to competitive services in §§ 392.500 and .510, RSMo. Instead, any increases in access rates above the cap shall be made pursuant to §§ 392.220 and 230, RSMo. Such a mechanism is permissible because §§ 392.361.5

- and .6, RSMo., authorize the Commission to impose conditions and competitive classification rate changes that are reasonably necessary to protect the public interest.
- 7. The Commission may waive the application of its rules and certain statutes if the Commission determines that waiver is consistent with the purposes of Chapter 392 RSMo. (See §§ 392.361.3 and 392.420 RSMo. 1994 and § 392.185 RSMo. Supp. 1999). The waivers requested the Application are waivers that have previously been granted to competitive local exchange carriers.

For all of the foregoing reasons, the Staff believes the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement has adequately addressed the relevant issues and should be approved by the Commission. Staff thereby prays the Commission approve the Application of OnSite Access Local, LLC for 1) a certificate of authority to provide basic local telecommunications services in those exchanges listed in its application; 2) to classify all the foregoing services and the company as competitive; and 3) to grant the waivers from statutes and Commission Rules requested in the Application.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Nathan Williams

Assistant General Counsel Missouri Bar No. 35512

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-8702 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
nwilliam@mail.state.mo.us (E-mail)

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record as shown on the attached service list this 15th day of December, 2000.

Service List for Case No. TA-2000-691 Revised: November 27, 2000

Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Russell M. Blau/Tony S. Lee Swidler, Berlin, Shereff, Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Leo J. Bub Southwestern Bell Telephone Company One Bell Center, Room 3518 St. Louis, MO 63101 Mark W. Comley
Newman, Comley & Ruth, P.C.
601 Monroe Street, Suite 201
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Paul G. Lane Southwestern Bell Telephone Company One Bell Center, Room 3520 St. Louis, MO 63101-1976

Brian M. Benz Senior Vice President, Finance OnSite Access Local, LLC 1372 Broadway New York, NY 10018