

7

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

Alma Communications Company, d/b/a)
Alma Telephone Company; Chariton Valley)
Telephone Corporation; Chariton Valley)
Telecom Corporation; Choctaw Telephone)
Company; Mid-Missouri Telephone Company,)
a Corporate Division of Otelco, Inc.; and)
MoKan Dial, Inc.,)
Complainants,)
v.)
Halo Wireless, Inc.,)
Respondent.)

File No. IC-2011-0385

ORDER ESTABLISHING DEADLINE FOR RESPONSES

Issue Date: July 26, 2011

Effective Date: July 26, 2011

On July 25, 2011, Respondent filed a 114 paragraph motion to dismiss alleging the Commission has no personal or subject matter jurisdiction over it or over its business and property. Consequently, Respondent claims it cannot be required to answer the complaint or assert defenses or counterclaims. Respondent further claims that no hearing may be held on the merits of the complaint until the Commission has expressly found that it does have jurisdiction, and because the Commission does not have jurisdiction it can take only one action and must dismiss the complaint. The Commission will set a deadline for responses.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

1. The Complainants shall respond, with particularity, to the Respondent's motion to dismiss no later than August 26, 2011.
2. The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission shall respond, with particularity, to the Respondent's motion to dismiss no later than August 26, 2011.
3. Any other party wishing to file a response to Respondent's motion to dismiss shall respond, with particularity, no later than August 26, 2011.
4. This order shall become effective upon issuance.

BY THE COMMISSION



Steven C. Reed
Secretary

(S E A L)

Harold Stearley, Senior Regulatory Law Judge,
by delegation of authority pursuant to
Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 26th day of July, 2011.