Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

Insurance Expense, Rate Case Expense, and Employee Awards Caroline Newkirk MoPSC Staff Rebuttal Testimony WR-2017-0285 January 17, 2018

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

# **COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION**

# AUDITING DEPARTMENT

## **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

OF

## **CAROLINE NEWKIRK**

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2017-0285

Jefferson City, Missouri January, 2018

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	CAROLINE NEWKIRK
5	MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
6	CASE NO. WR-2017-0285
7	INSURANCE EXPENSE 1
8	RATE CASE EXPENSE
9	EMPLOYEE AWARDS
10	

1		<b>REBUTTAL TESTIMONY</b>	
2		OF	
3		CAROLINE NEWKIRK	
4		MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY	
5	CASE NO. WR-2017-0285		
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
7	А.	My name is Caroline Newkirk. My business address is 200 Madison Street,	
8	Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.		
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
10	А.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")	
11	as a Utility Regulatory Auditor II in the Auditing Department, Commission Staff Division.		
12	Q.	Are you the same Caroline Newkirk that previously sponsored sections of	
13	Staff's Revenue Requirement - Cost of Service Report ("Report") in this rate case that was		
14	filed on November 30, 2017?		
15	А.	Yes, I am.	
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this proceeding?	
17	А.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to describe changes made to Staff's	
18	proposed insurance other than group expense and rate case expense. I will also discuss Staff's		
19	proposed adjustment to remove employee awards from the cost of service.		
20	<u>INSURANC</u>	<u>'E EXPENSE</u>	
21	Q.	Have there been any modifications to Staff's position on insurance other than	
22	group?		

## Rebuttal Testimony of Caroline Newkirk

- A. Yes. After discussions with the Company, and review of treatment in prior
   rate cases, Staff has changed the capitalization rate for all categories other than
   Workers' Compensation to 10% from the 42.1% proposed in its direct filing.
  - Q. What is the reasoning behind the change in the capitalization rate?

A. The insurance policies in this category (other than Workers' Compensation)
cannot necessarily be tied to the cost of placing utility assets into service. According to the
Company, it is estimated that over 90% of the claims for liability relate to either main breaks
or trip and fall accidents, both of which are events that are non-capital in nature.

9

4

## **RATE CASE EXPENSE**

10 11

12

13

14

15

# Q. Have there been any modifications to Staff's position on rate case expense? A. Yes, there have been two modifications. The first modification is an update of the total amount to be included for the depreciation study from the estimated amount of \$51,435 to the actual invoiced amount of \$63,614. This amount continues to be normalized over five years. Secondly, the "Percentage proposed vs percentage requested" has risen from 8.05% to 23.68% due to Staff's most currently revised revenue requirement calculation.

16

# EMPLOYEE AWARDS

Q.

17

Has there been any change to Staff's position on employee awards?

A. Yes. On November 17, 2017, Staff submitted Data Request No. 0218 to the
Company requesting additional information on charges associated with the employee awards
account, specifically those paid to Engage2Excel. MAWC responded to the data request on
November 30, 2017 (the date of filing of the Staff Report) stating "Engage2Excel is the
vendor from which MAWC purchases awards for recognition of employee service." A CD

## Rebuttal Testimony of Caroline Newkirk

containing copies of the Engage2Excel invoices was subsequently received and reviewed by
 Staff.

Q. What is Staff's position on the charges for employee awards after reviewing
the response to Data Request No. 0218?

A. In reviewing the invoices from Engage2Excel, Staff found the vendor's prices
for the awards to be above market. Staff agrees that acknowledging employees' service is
important; however, Staff does not agree with the inclusion of these expenses because they
are excessive in amount and are not strictly necessary for providing safe and reliable service
to customers. Staff's adjustment is to disallow all \$36,245 of Engage2Excel employee award
expense.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

12

11

Yes, it does.

A.

### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

## **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas

> ) )

SS.

Case No. WR-2017-0285

## **AFFIDAVIT OF CAROLINE NEWKIRK**

STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF COLE )

COMES NOW CAROLINE NEWKIRK and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

HANTIN M

VE NEWKIRK

## JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of January, 2018.



lotary Public