

RICHARD S. BROWNLEE III  
MICHAEL A. DALLMEYER  
DUANE E. SCHREIMANN  
DOUGLAS L. VAN CAMP  
MICHAEL G. BERRY  
JOHN W. KUEBLER  
SUSAN M. TURNER  
CHRISTOPHER P. RACKERS  
SARA C. MICHAEL  
BRIAN K. FRANCKA  
SHANE L. FARROW  
THOMAS G. FIRMANTGEN  
KEITH A. WENZEL  
ANDREW B. BLUNT  
HEATHER D. RICHENBERGER

HENDREN AND ANDRAE, L.L.C.  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RIVERVIEW OFFICE CENTER  
221 BOLIVAR STREET, SUITE 300  
P.O. BOX 1069  
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102

www.hendrenandrae.com

(573) 636-8135  
(573) 636-5226 (FAX)

RODNEY D. GRAY  
OF COUNSEL

HENRY ANDRAE (Retired)

JOHN H. HENDREN (1907-1988)  
CHARLES H. HOWARD (1925-1970)  
JOHN E. BURRUS, JR. (1933-1985)  
GERALD E. ROARK (1936-1995)

E-MAIL

richardb@hendrenandrae.com

September 11, 2002

**FILED<sup>3</sup>**

**SEP 12 2002**

**Missouri Public  
Service Commission**

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts  
Executive Secretary  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
200 Madison Street, PO Box 360  
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Re: In the Matter of the Tariff Filing Of Missouri Gas  
Energy, a Division of Southern Union Company  
Case No. GT-2003-0033 - Tariff No. JG-2003-0049

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed please find an original plus eight (8) copies of replacement page number 15 of the Ervin testimony for filing in the above-captioned matter. The previously filed testimony had a mistaken reference to "Atmos" on line 14. The correction sheet properly identifies MGE instead of Atmos.

Very truly yours,

HENDREN AND ANDRAE, L.L.C.

  
Richard S. Brownlee, III

RSB\s  
Enclosures  
All Counsel of Record  
Louie R. Ervin

1 for supplies, which are zero. The previously proposed capacity release guidelines effectively  
2 provide that the utility will release the capacity for resale to the schools at its cost.

3 **Q. Have you reviewed the tariff proposed by MGE in this docket?**

4 A. Yes.

5 **R. Q. Did you find the proposed MGE tariff in compliance with CCS for SCS for**  
6 **HB 1402, legislation requiring filing of experimental aggregation transportation tariffs for**  
7 **schools?**

8 A. Yes.

9 **Q. Did you find the other requirements of the MGE tariff to be reasonably workable**  
10 **for an experimental school aggregation program?**

11 A. Yes, I think the latest tariff is acceptable with the understanding that it is likely that all  
12 parties will learn something during implementation that may need to be addressed with a future  
13 tariff change. Unless something unexpected arises to my attention, my recommendation is for  
14 the Commission to approve the MGE tariff and let's see how it works during year-1.

15 **Q. Do you have any further matters to bring to the attention of the Commission?**

16 A. Yes, I have a request. Schools need to have the Commission approve tariffs as soon as  
17 possible to enable the program to start-up and supplies to be delivered this heating season. The  
18 law recognizes its emergency nature. For the past three years, larger schools facilities on the  
19 MGE system have been successfully transporting under contracts negotiated by the MSBA and  
20 CSD natural gas consortium. Consideration is requested to approve the MGE tariff as requested  
21 effective September 24, 2002, or as early as practical prior to a November 1, 2002.

22 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

23 A. Yes, it does. Thank you.