1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	Drobosning Conforma
5	Prehearing Conference
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	
8	April 19, 2004 Jefferson City, Missouri
9	Volume 1
10	
11	In the Matter of the Tariff ) Filing of McLeodUSA )
12	Telecommunications, Inc. to )Case No. CT-2004-049' Implement an Account )
13	Handling Charge )
14	
15	
16	LEWIS MILLS, Presiding
17	DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY: Monnie S. VanZant, CCR, CSR, RPR Midwest Litigation Services
22	714 W. High Street  Jefferson City, MO 65102
23	(573) 636-7551
24	
2 5	

1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	For McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.	:
4	Ms. Mary Ann Young William D. Steinmeier, PC	
5	812 Swifts Highway P.O. Box 104595	
6	Jefferson City, MO 65110-4595 (573) 634-8109	
7		
8	For Office of Public Counsel:	
9	Mr. Michael Dandino P.O. Box 7800	
10	200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65192 (573) 751-5559	
11	For Staff of the Public Service Commission:	
12		
13	Mr. William K. Haas Missouri Public Service Commissic	n
14	P.O. Box 360 200 Madison Street	
15	Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-7510	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	Phonetic spelling: (ph.)	
24	Exactly as Stated: (sic)	
25		

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE MILLS: We're on the record
3	this morning for a prehearing conference in
4	Case No. CT-2004-0497, and that's been
5	consolidated with Case No. XT-2004-0498, both
6	of which have to do with the tariff filing by
7	McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services to
8	implement an account handling charge. I'll
9	begin by taking entries of appearance with the
10	Staff. MR. HAAS: William K. Haas
11	appearing for the staff of the Public Service
12	Commission.
13	MS. YOUNG: Mary Ann Young with
14	William D. Steinmeier, PC, appearing on behalf
15	of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.
16	JUDGE MILLS: Thank you. And I'll
17	note for the record that Michael Dandino,
18	Office of Public Counsel filed a written entry
19	of appearance but had to leave to attend a
20	hearing apparently going on in another hearing
21	room. Really, the only issue in this case is
22	the account handling charge. The
23	Commissioners had questions about that and
24	about whether it was an appropriate charge. I
25	understand that in at least one of the tariff

filings, there were a number of other changes made, which have sort of been hung up as a result of the account handling charge. Has the company had any discussions with the staff about how -- where this case is going? Are we going to the hearing? Or is this going to resolve itself?

MS. YOUNG: Yes, Judge. We have initiated some discussions along those lines. With the questions from coming from the Commission rather than the staff, we understand we can't, quote, resolve it just among the parties.

JUDGE MILLS: Right.

MS. YOUNG: And the company is -- is
-- because we weren't present at the agenda
discussion where the questions were raised by
the Commissioners is curious as to whether -what we understand the concern or one of the
concerns of the Commission was whether the
account handling charge could ultimately
accrue as an additional charge against the
customer. And I can clarify that very simply
that the company did not intend to do anything
but recover the charges up to the amount of

the credit that was owing to the customer from the company.

JUDGE MILLS: Okay.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. YOUNG: And we'd certainly be willing to revise the text of the tariff to reflect that if that would resolve the Commission's concerns and then enable the entire -- both tariffs to go into effect.

JUDGE MILLS: You know, and I wasn't in agenda that day either, but I have talked to one of the Commissioners since then. And that certainly -- it's my impression that was at least one of the main concerns, if not the only concern was that the -- that the way that the tariff was worded was that the account handling charge could accrue up to and beyond the amount of credit. And so that, you know, the consumer could ultimately, you know, a few years down the line find themselves with a credit report saying they owe some thousand dollars as to McLeod Telephone Company and then think to themselves, My gosh, I canceled service with them two years ago and I thought I had a credit. And I think if that was corrected, that may resolve at least some of

1	the problems, if not all of them.
2	MS. YOUNG: And the company's
3	intention was that rather than carrying on the
4	accounting entries ad infinitum and in
5	addition sending out periodic attempts to
6	locate the customer
7	JUDGE MILLS: Yeah.
8	MS. YOUNG: that they would
9	reduce the credit each time after the first
10	attempt until the credit balance was gone or
11	until they found the customer and could refund
12	the remainder. And I don't know if you can
13	confirm that, you know, if we clarified that
14	language that the entire tariff would go
15	through.
16	JUDGE MILLS: I can't I can't
17	confirm that. But I suspect that that's the
18	case.
19	MS. YOUNG: I guess the company will
20	have to decide, you know, whether to proceed
21	that way. I do know that they are most
22	interested in having the remainder of the
23	changes go through. And so one of the options
24	that we have and are considering is the
25	withdrawal of the charge in question. And

1	we're not able to get a clearance to date as
2	to whether mechanically it is permissible to
3	withdraw a portion of a suspended tariff.
4	JUDGE MILLS: I don't think it is.
5	MS. YOUNG: Okay.
6	JUDGE MILLS: I think if you want to
7	proceed that way, you would have to withdraw
8	the entire tariff filing and refile the
9	tariffs without the account handling charge
10	language.
11	MS. YOUNG: Okay. Okay.
12	JUDGE MILLS: But, in essence, I
13	think the way the Commission looks at it is
14	once the tariffs have been suspended, they're
15	sort of in our hands.
16	MS. YOUNG: Sure.
17	JUDGE MILLS: We've suspended them
18	and you can't simply make substitutions and
19	you couldn't file substitute sheets, for
20	example, once a tariff has been suspended.
21	Really, your only option is to withdraw it and
22	start over.
23	MS. YOUNG: Okay.
24	JUDGE MILLS: Or proceed, you know,
25	to hearing.

1	MS. YOUNG: Sure. Then,
2	mechanically, I guess that produces another
3	question for me, whether it makes sense for us
4	to talk to staff today and try to figure out
5	something that they would be comfortable with
6	us proposing to attempt to address the
7	Commission's concerns. And then,
8	mechanically, would that be presented as a
9	stipulation or would it be, you know
10	JUDGE MILLS: Certainly yeah.
11	Certainly with having this case docketed, you
12	could file a a pleading, whether it be with
13	the staff or separate pleadings. You can file
14	it as a stipulation saying, you know, we've
15	talked about this and you know, the staff
16	and the company have talked about this. We
17	agree that tariffs such as the certain tariffs
18	attached would address the Commission's
19	concerns and present it that way. And if the
20	Commission were to approve a stipulation like
21	that, then you'd be instructed to file tariffs
22	in compliance with the illustrative tariffs.
23	That would be one way you could approach it.
24	MS. YOUNG: And then the Commission
25	would lift the suspension or issue an order?

1	JUDGE MILLS: The Commission would
2	most likely, if they approve the
3	stipulation, they would reject the initial
4	tariff filing or authorize you to file new
5	tariffs, you know, and you could ask for
6	expedited treatment that were in conformance
7	with the stipulation agreement.
8	MS. YOUNG: Okay. I appreciate the
9	guidance. Thanks.
10	JUDGE MILLS: Yeah. Sounds like a
11	plan. Anything else while we're on the
12	record? Okay. Well, I'll leave you all to
13	talk, then. We'll conclude the on-the-record
14	portion. If you all need me, I'll be around
15	all day and you can track me down. If there's
16	nothing further, we're off the record.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	