

STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Jefferson City

April 1, 1987

CASE NO. EO-85-185 and EO-85-224 and AO-87-48

Mark English, Counsel, Kansas City Power & Light Company, P.O. Box 679, Kansas City, MO 64141  
Jeremiah D. Finnegan, Attorney, 4225 Baltimore, Kansas City, MO 64111  
John B. Williams, County Counselor, 415 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64100  
Wm. Clark Kelly, Assistant Attorney General, P.O. Box 899, Jefferson City, MO 65102  
William Barwick, Attorney, 231 Madison St., Jefferson City, MO 65101  
Stuart Conrad and W.H. Bates, Attorneys, 2345 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64108  
Paul W. Phillips, U.S. Department of Energy, Room 6D-033, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.,  
Washington, D.C. 20585  
Richard N. Ward and Carrol C. Kennett, Attorneys, 2800 City Hall, Kansas City, MO 64106  
Willard C. Reine, Attorney, 314 East High Street, Jefferson City, MO 65101  
Robert C. Johnson, Attorney 720 Olive Street, 24th Floor, St. Louis, MO 63101  
Sam Overfelt, Attorney, 200 Madison Street, Box 1336, Jefferson City, MO 65102  
Douglas Brooks and Richard W. French, Office of the Public Counsel, P.O. Box 7800,  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
James Geers, U.S. Department of Energy, FCM Division, P.O. Box 5400, Albuquerque, NM 87115  
Dick H. Woods, General Counsel, Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, 925 Grand Avenue,  
Kansas City, MO 65198  
David M. Claycomb, Assistant General Counsel, Gas Service Company, 2460 Pershing Road  
Kansas City, MO 64108  
John K. Rosenberg, Attorney, Kansas Power & Light Company, 818 Kansas Avenue, Topeka, KS 66601  
Gary W. Duffy, Attorney, P.O. Box 456, Jefferson City, MO 65102

TO ALL PARTIES IN AO-87-48 (see attached list).

Enclosed find certified copy of ORDER in the above-numbered case.

Sincerely,

*Harvey G. Hubbs*  
Harvey G. Hubbs  
Secretary

uncertified copy:

Robert M. Fillmore, Assistant General Counsel, Kansas State Corporation Commission, State  
Office Building, 4th Floor, Topeka, KS 66612  
Richard Brownlee, Attorney, P.O. Box 1069, Jefferson City, MO 65102

STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI  
April 1, 1987

CASE NO. AO-87-48

Robert C. Johnson, Attorney, 720 Olive Street, 24th Floor, St. Louis, MO 63101  
J.B. Schnapp & Robin E. Fulton, Attorneys, 135 E. Main St., Fredericktown, MO 63645  
Robert Lehr, Attorney at Law, 1100 Main, Suite 1405, Kansas City, MO 64105  
Donald Johnstone, Drazen-Brubaker & Assoc., 605 Old Ballas Road., Suite 100, P.O. Box 12710,  
St. Louis, MO 63141  
Paul W. Phillips, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., S.W., Room  
6D-033, Washington, D.C. 20585  
Stuart Conrad, Attorney, 2600 Mutual Benefit Life Bldg., 2345 Grand Ave., Kansas City, MO 64108  
Paul Agathen, Attorney, Union Electric Company, P.O. Box 149, St. Louis, MO 63166  
Francis J. Hruby, Attorney, Anheuser-Busch, Inc., One Busch Place, St. Louis, MO 63118  
Steven L. Kitchen, Vice President-Finance, The Kansas Power & Light Co., 818 Kansas  
Ave., P.O. Box 889, Topeka, KS 66601  
Joseph P. Cowen, Sr. Attorney, United Telephone Company of Missouri, 6666 West 110th  
Street, Overland Park, KS 66211  
H. Edward Skinner, Ivester, Henry, Skinner & Camp, 212 Center Street, Suite 900,  
Little Rock, AR 72201  
Gary W. Duffy, W.R. England, James Swearengen, Attorneys, PO Box 456, Jefferson City, MO 65102  
J.E. Harrison, Asst. Treasurer, Missouri-American Water Co., 2707 Pembroke Lane,  
St. Joseph, MO 64505  
John Eckert, Vice President, Consolidated Water Services, Inc., 1000 N. Madison, P.O.  
Box 329, Greenwood, IN 46142  
L.D. Abbott, Vice President-Revenue Requirements, General Telephone Company of the  
Midwest, 11 Eleventh Ave., Grinnell, IA 50112  
Mark G. English, Attorney, Kansas City Power & Light Co., 1330 Baltimore Ave., Kansas City, MO  
63101  
Michael A. Meyer, Attorney, Southwestern Bell Telephone Co., 100 N. Tucker Blvd.,  
St. Louis, MO 63101  
R.C. Jaudes, Exec. V.P.-Finance, Laclede Gas Co., 720 Olive, St. Louis, MO 63101  
Richard T. Ciottone, Vice President and General Counsel, St. Louis County Water Co.,  
535 N. New Ballas Road, St. Louis, MO 63141  
Bob Perkins, Vice President, Tel Central of Jefferson City, 130 E. High Street,  
Jefferson City, MO 65101  
Richard W. French, First Asst. Public Counsel, P.O. Box 7800, Jefferson City, MO 65102  
Gerald Hill, Dir. Rates, General Waterworks Management & Service Co., 950 Havenford  
Road, Bryn Mawr, PA 19010  
Gerald McNeive Jr., Assoc. Gen. Counsel, Laclede Gas Co., 720 Olive St., St. Louis,  
MO 63101  
E.L. McKenzie, Secretary, Associated Natural Gas Co., P.O. Box 628, Blytheville, AR 72316  
Regulatory Relations, AT&T, 101 Madison Street, Jefferson City, MO 65101  
Leland B. Curtis, 130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200, St. Louis, MO 63105  
Enclosed find certified copy of ORDER in the above-numbered case.

Sincerely,

*Harvey G. Hubbs*

Harvey G. Hubbs  
Secretary

uncertified:

John Low, Manager, West Fork Project, ASARCO, Inc., P.O. Box 116, Bunker, MO 63629  
Richard Wrench, Treasurer, Great River Gas Co., P.O. Box 967, Keokuk, IA 52632  
Timothy M. Rush, Mgr., Rates & Market Research, St. Joseph Light & Power Co., 520  
Francis Street, St. Joseph, MO 64502  
Jack Krokroskia, Vice President of Mining, Doe Run Co., Box 500, Viburnum, MO 65566

STATE OF MISSOURI  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service  
Commission held at its office  
in Jefferson City on the 1st  
day of April, 1987.

In the matter of Kansas City Power & )  
Light Company of Kansas City, Missouri, )  
for authority to file tariffs increasing )  
rates for electric service provided to )  
customers in the Missouri service area )  
of the Company, and the determination of )  
in-service criteria for Kansas City Power )  
& Light Company's Wolf Creek Generating )  
Station and Wolf Creek rate base and )  
related issues. )

Case No. EO-85-185

In the matter of Kansas City Power & )  
Light Company, a Missouri corporation, )  
for determination of certain rates of )  
depreciation. )

Case No. EO-85-224

In the matter of the investigation of )  
the revenue effects upon Missouri utilities )  
of the Tax Reform Act of 1986. )

Case No. AO-87-48

O R D E R

On March 25, 1987, a Stipulation And Agreement was executed by Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL), Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff), Office of Public Counsel (Public Counsel), Armco Inc., Department of Energy, General Motors and Midwest Gas Users Association. The stipulation involves a reduction of KCPL's phase-in increases which were authorized in Case No. EO-85-224.

The stipulation adequately sets forth all procedural and factual matters in this case and is set forth in Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein be reference.

KCPL is a public utility subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission pursuant to Chapters 386 and 393, R.S.Mo. 1986. For ratemaking purposes, the

Commission may accept a stipulation and agreement in settlement of any matters submitted by the parties. The Commission is of the opinion that the matters of agreement between the parties in this case are reasonable and proper and should be accepted.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED: 1. That the Stipulation And Agreement referred to herein is approved and adopted.


ORDERED: 2. That Kansas City Power & Light Company is authorized to withdraw the phase-in tariffs for years 2 through 8, which were filed pursuant to the Report And Order issued in Case No. EO-85-185, and to replace those tariffs with phase-in tariffs which reflect the rate changes and are subject to the conditions set forth in Paragraph No. 1 of the Stipulation And Agreement.

ORDERED: 3. That Kansas City Power & Light Company shall not be subject to any further requirements of Case No. AO-87-48, including any further reduction of the phase-in rates authorized in Case No. EO-85-185, as a result of the effects or provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 or existing interpretive rulings and regulations issued thereunder.

ORDERED: 4. That Kansas City Power & Light Company is hereby dismissed from Case No. AO-87-48.

ORDERED: 5. That this order shall become effective on the date hereof.

BY THE COMMISSION



Harvey G. Hubbs  
Secretary

(S E A L)

Steinmeier, Chm., Musgrave,  
Mueller, Hendren and Fischer,  
CC., Concur.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company of Kansas City, Missouri, for authority to file tariffs increasing rates for electric service provided to customers in the Missouri service area of the Company, and the determination of in-service criteria for Kansas City Power & Light Company's Wolf Creek Generating Station and Wolf Creek rate base and related issues.

In the matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company, a Missouri corporation, for determination of certain rates of depreciation. ) ) ) ) ) Case No. EO-85-224

In the matter of the investigation of )  
the revenue effects upon Missouri )  
utilities of the Tax Reform Act of 1986. ) Case No. AO-87-48

**STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT**

This Stipulation and Agreement (Agreement) is entered into as of this 25th day of March, 1987, by and among Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL), Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff), Office of Public Counsel, Armco Inc., Department of Energy, General Motors and Midwest Gas Users Association.

WHEREAS, the Commission on April 23, 1986, issued a Report and Order in Case Nos. EO-85-185 and EO-85-224, which directed and authorized KCPL to file in Missouri certain automatic phase-in tariffs to be effective over an 8-year phase-in period; and

WHEREAS, the parties hereto have held extensive discussions regarding the effects of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 (TRA) upon KCPL's Missouri jurisdictional operations; and

WHEREAS, the parties hereto have come to an agreement to resolve the issues raised in Case No. AO-87-48 as it pertains to KCPL.

It is stipulated and agreed by the parties as follows:

1. In order to resolve Case No. AO-87-48 as it pertains to KCPL, the Commission should allow and authorize KCPL to withdraw its automatic phase-in tariffs for years 2 through 8, previously filed pursuant to the Report and Order issued in Case No. EO-85-185, and to replace those tariffs with certain other automatic phase-in tariffs which will be subsequently filed as Exhibit 1 to this Agreement and made a part hereof. A comparison of those phase-in tariffs authorized by the Commission in said Report and Order with those which will be filed as Exhibit 1 is contained in the following table (numbers in parentheses indicate rate decreases). Also contained in the following table is the cost deferral and recovery schedule (stated in 000's) underlying the automatic phase-in tariffs agreed to herein.

<u>Phase- in Year</u>	<u>% Rate Change Authorized in Report and Order</u>	<u>% Rate Change Recommended Herein</u>	<u>Deferred Cost of Equity Net of Certain Savings</u>	<u>Deferred Carrying Cost</u>	<u>Amortization of the Deferral</u>
1	7%	7%	\$23,730	\$1,394	--
2	5	2	9,590	3,515	--
3	3.5	2.21	752	4,536	--
4	3.5	2.21	(1,804)	4,776	\$ 1,972
5	3.5	2.21	(1,079)	4,068	9,360
6	3.5	2.21	--	2,528	16,634
7	3.5	2.21	--	--	24,039
8	(12.43)	(9.12)	--	--	--
9	--	(0.54)	--	--	--

The tariffs to be contained in Exhibit 1 will be reviewed by all parties, and all parties will certify at the time of the filing of Exhibit 1 that said tariffs are designed to implement the above rate changes. Those tariff sheets will automatically take effect in succeeding years unless suspended by the Commission for "good cause shown" within the meaning of its Order in Case No. EO-85-185.

2. As soon as practicable after this Agreement is accepted and approved by the Commission, KCPL shall withdraw its currently filed automatic phase-in tariffs for years 2 through 8 of its phase-in and will file with the Commission the automatic phase-in tariffs for years 2 through 9 to be contained in Exhibit 1, which tariffs shall bear an effective date of May 5 for each year of the phase-in period, starting with an effective date of May 5, 1987, for year 2.

3. All of the parties hereto agree not to seek the suspension of the tariffs to be effective on May 5, 1987, applicable to the second year of KCPL's phase-in (contained in Exhibit 1).

4.1 KCPL will not be required in Case No. AO-87-48 to further reduce its phase-in rates authorized in Case No. EO-85-185 as a result of the effects or provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 or existing interpretive rulings or regulations issued thereunder, and shall not be subject to any further requirements in Case No. AO-87-48, and should be dismissed therefrom.

4.2 The parties hereto remain and shall be free in any forum and in any future proceeding to raise any issue concerning the

impact of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 (and regulations or rulings promulgated thereunder) or the manner in which such impact should be reflected in KCPL's electric rates. However, during a period commencing with the acceptance of this Stipulation and Agreement and ending with the earliest of: (1) the filing of a complaint which alleges that KCPL's rates are excessive or inadequate based on a consideration of KCPL's total Missouri jurisdictional cost of service, (2) the filing by KCPL of proposed tariffs to replace or supercede any tariff schedules accepted herein, or (3) the effective date of the final year phase-in rate schedules accepted herein, the parties agree not to raise such issue before the Missouri Public Service Commission outside the context of a consideration of KCPL's total Missouri jurisdictional cost of service. Nothing herein shall preclude any party from intervening in any proceeding for the purpose of protecting or asserting their interests therein with respect to class cost of service responsibility and rate design issues.

5. The automatic phase-in tariffs to be included in Exhibit 1 are deemed by the parties to this Agreement who entered into the February 4, 1987, Stipulation and Agreement, filed with the Cole County Circuit Court in Case No. CV186-644cc to reflect the terms and conditions of that February 4, 1987, Stipulation and Agreement. That Stipulation and Agreement is attached hereto, as Exhibit 2, and incorporated herein by reference by the parties to this Agreement who entered into that February 4, 1987, Stipulation and Agreement.



6. The parties to this Agreement shall not be deemed to have approved or acquiesced to any ratemaking principle, valuation method, cost of service method, or rate design proposal.

7. In the event the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Agreement, the parties waive their respective rights to present oral arguments or written briefs, pursuant to Section 536.080(1), RSMo 1978, and their respective rights to judicial review as regarding the disposition of Case No. AO-87-48 as it pertains to KCPL pursuant to Section 386.510, RSMo 1978, as amended.

8. The agreements contained in this Agreement have resulted from extensive negotiations among the signatory parties and are interdependent. In the event that the Commission does not approve and adopt all of the terms of this Agreement, this Agreement shall be void and no party shall be bound by any of the agreements or provisions hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have signed this Agreement as of the date first above written.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

By Mark C. Holander

By Paul Phillips (mcs)

STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC  
SERVICE COMMISSION

OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

By William C. Hansen  
by C. W.

By David M. Baker

MIDWEST GAS USERS ASSOCIATION  
AND ARMCO INC.

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, FORD MOTOR  
COMPANY, MISSOURI PORTLAND CEMENT  
COMPANY, REYNOLD METALS CORPORATION AND  
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION

By George P. ...

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COLE COUNTY, MISSOURI  
DIVISION II

STATE ex rel.  
KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY,  
a corporation,

Relator,

v.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE  
STATE OF MISSOURI,

Respondent.

FILED


Case No. CV186-644cc

CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT  
COLE COUNTY, MISSOURI

ORDER

Upon the filing of the Joint Motion for Dismissal by all parties to this cause, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Case No. CV186-644cc is hereby dismissed.

  
Circuit Judge, Division II  
Circuit Court of Cole County, Missouri

Dated: 2/4/87

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COLE COUNTY, MISSOURI  
DIVISION II

STATE ex rel.  
KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY,  
a corporation,

Relator,

v.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE  
STATE OF MISSOURI,

Respondent.

FILED

Case No. CV186-644cc

*Linda A. Roark*

CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT  
COLE COUNTY, MISSOURI

JOINT MOTION FOR DISMISSAL

Comes now all parties to this action, by and through their  
respective counsel, and move that, based upon the Stipulation  
attached hereto and made a part hereof, this cause be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

*Mark G. English*

Mark G. English  
Attorney for Kansas City Power  
& Light Company  
1330 Baltimore Avenue  
Kansas City, Missouri 64105

*William Harrelson*

William Harrelson  
Attorney for the Public Service  
Commission of the State of  
Missouri  
P. O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

*Douglas M. Brooks*

Douglas M. Brooks  
Public Counsel for the State  
of Missouri  
P. O. Box 7800  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

*Stuart W. Conrad*

Stuart W. Conrad  
Attorney for Armco, et al.  
2600 Mutual Benefit Life Building  
2345 Grand Avenue  
Kansas City, Missouri 64108

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COLE COUNTY  
STATE OF MISSOURI

STATE ex rel. KANSAS CITY POWER	)	
& LIGHT COMPANY, a corporation,	)	
	)	
Relator,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Case No. CV186-644cc
	)	
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE	)	
STATE OF MISSOURI,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) issued its Report and Order in Case Nos. EO-85-185 and EO-85-224 on April 23, 1986, and

WHEREAS, Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL) duly appealed from said Report and Order to the Circuit Court of Cole County, Missouri, and

WHEREAS, the parties to this appeal wish to compromise and settle various issues arising from or associated with said Report and Order which would induce the parties to seek compromise and dismissal of this appeal,

It is agreed and represented by the parties as follows:

1. Rate base shall be adjusted as follows (000's):
  - a. Increased by the undepreciated cost of Wolf Creek additions that occurred between March 1985 and September 1985 (approximately \$74,214).

- b. Increased by the amount of deferred Wolf Creek costs related to the allowed costs of Wolf Creek construction authorized by the Commission in its February 21, 1985 Order in Case Nos. ER-85-128 and EO-85-185 (approximately \$88,837).

2. Allowed operating expenses shall be adjusted as follows (000's):

- a. Depreciation expense shall be increased by the amount of depreciation that would be recorded in one year on the Wolf Creek additions that occurred between March 1985 and September 1985 (approximately \$1,930).
- b. Operating expenses allowed shall be increased by the amortization that would be required each year to fully amortize in ten years the deferred Wolf Creek costs allowed in rate base (approximately \$8,884).

3. The adjustments referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 above will be made effective as of January 1, 1987. There will be no change in rates charged to customers coincident with the adjustments in rate base and operating expenses referred to above. Further, the adjustments referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 above shall not be binding in any respect upon the parties hereto or the Commission in any future proceeding and such adjustments are subject to full audit, hearing, and final determination by the parties hereto and the Commission in any future proceeding.

4. The parties shall join in recommending that the Court accept this Stipulation and Agreement as presented. Upon such

acceptance, KCPL shall forthwith move that its appeal be dismissed. Should the Court not accept this Stipulation and Agreement, or should the Court accept it only upon terms and conditions not contained herein, then this Stipulation and Agreement shall become null and void, and no party thereto shall be further bound by any of its provisions.

All parties recognize that the adjustments agreed to above would result in a decreased rate of return on investment/equity if all other factors considered by the Commission in its Report and Order in Case Nos. EO-85-185 and EO-85-224 were unchanged. It is not the intent of any party that this Stipulation and Agreement result in an unreasonably low return on investment/equity. Nor is it the intent of this Stipulation and Agreement to indirectly disallow recovery of the costs referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 above by reducing the return. On the contrary, it is the express purpose of this Stipulation and Agreement to permit the earnings on and recovery of these assets to begin immediately, rather than to further delay their recovery to future ratepayers.

In reaching the decision to enter this Stipulation and Agreement, each of the parties has reviewed and considered the impact of certain other economic events and conditions affecting KCPL's Missouri operations that have occurred subsequent to the Report and Order referred to above. All parties are satisfied that considering all such factors, the Company will earn a reasonable rate of return on investment/equity after the adjustments in paragraphs 1 and 2 are made.

5. If the Court grants the Motion to Dismiss, KCPL agrees not to seek inclusion in rate base in future proceedings before the Commission or the Courts of approximately \$91,884 of the \$125,966 investment excluded from rate base plant-in-service by the Commission in its Order in Case Nos. EO-85-185 and EO-85-224. The remaining \$34,082 of the \$125,966 investment excluded by the Commission was comprised of approximately \$21,538 of construction costs (including AFUDC) incurred after the March 31, 1985 audit cutoff date; approximately \$11,045 (including AFUDC) in Wolf Creek Generating Station Unit 2 costs; and approximately \$1,499 of Wolf Creek investment that was reclassified to Materials and Supplies. KCPL may seek recovery in rate base in future proceedings of this \$34,082 of Wolf Creek investment, but hereby agrees not to seek recovery of the \$11,045 in Unit 2 cost until such time as Unit 2 is fully operational and used for service, and hereby agrees not to seek inclusion of the \$1,499 of Wolf Creek investment reclassified to Materials and Supplies in rate base as plant-in-service (all numbers in 000's).

6. If the Court grants the motion to dismiss, Staff, the Office of Public Counsel, and Wolf Creek Industrial Intervenors agree that they will not seek in future proceedings before the Commission or the Courts to remove or disallow any costs of Wolf Creek which presently are included in rate base, except to the extent otherwise provided in paragraph 3 above, nor will any party to this Stipulation and Agreement in any manner

advise, encourage, suggest to or assist any other person or entity in attempting to remove or disallow such costs. This agreement is not intended to preclude or limit any party from any contention or argument regarding the design of rates or the assignment or allocation of any portion of KCPL's rate base to any customer or class of customers.

7. The agreements in the preceding paragraph do not apply to arguments for the removal or disallowance of costs on the basis of events occurring after this date such as, by way of example, an extended failure of the Wolf Creek plant.

8. That with respect to paragraphs 1 and 2 above the parties to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not be deemed to have approved or acquiesced in any ratemaking principle, value methodology or cost of service method and any number used in this Stipulation and Agreement shall not prejudice, bind or affect any party hereto, except to the extent necessary to give effect to the intent and terms of this Stipulation and Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have signed this Stipulation and Agreement as of this 4<sup>th</sup> day of February, 1987.

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT  
COMPANY

By L. Anne Jennings

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF  
THE STATE OF MISSOURI

By William C. Hurrelson



STAFF OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF  
MISSOURI

By William C. Hansen

OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

By Richard M. Ford

WOLF CREEK INDUSTRIAL  
INTERVENORS


By Richard M. Ford

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, this 1st day of April 1987.



Harvey G. Hubbs  
Secretary