

**STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
JEFFERSON CITY
February 15, 2001**

CASE NO: TA-2000-829

Office of the Public Counsel
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102


General Counsel
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Cathleen A. Martin, Esq.
Newman, Comley & Ruth, P.C.
601 Monroe Street, Suite 301
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537

Leo J. Bub
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
One Bell Center, Room 3510
St. Louis, MO 63101

Enclosed find certified copy of an ORDER in the above-numbered case(s).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dale Hardy Roberts". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

**Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Cypress)
Communications Operating Company, Inc. for)
a Certificate of Service Authority to)
Provide Basic Local Telecommunications)
Services and Exchange Access)
Telecommunications Services in the)
State of Missouri)

Case No. TA-2000-829

ORDER GRANTING CERTIFICATE TO PROVIDE BASIC LOCAL
EXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES

Procedural History

Cypress Communications Operating Company, Inc. (Cypress) applied to the Missouri Public Service Commission on June 19, 2000, for a certificate of service authority to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services in Missouri under Sections 392.410 - .450, RSMo 2000.¹ Cypress asked the Commission to classify it as a competitive company and waive certain statutes and rules as authorized by Sections 392.361 and 392.420. Cypress is a Delaware corporation with principal offices located at 15 Piedmont Center, Suite 100, Atlanta, Georgia 30305.

The Commission issued a notice and schedule of applicants on June 27, 2000, directing interested parties wishing to intervene to do so by July 27, 2000. On July 27, 2000, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

¹ All statutory references are to Revised Statutes of Missouri 2000 unless otherwise indicated.

(SWBT) filed an application to intervene which was granted on October 24, 2000.

The parties filed an Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement (Agreement), which is included with this order as Attachment 1, on January 5, 2001. The Staff of the Commission (Staff) filed Suggestions in Support of the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement on January 16, 2001.

In the Agreement, the parties waive their rights to present testimony, cross-examine witnesses, present oral argument or briefs, and to seek rehearing or judicial review. The requirement for a hearing is met when the opportunity for hearing has been provided and no proper party has requested the opportunity to present evidence. *State ex rel. Rex Deffenderfer Enterprises, Inc. v. Public Service Commission*, 776 S.W.2d 494, 496 (Mo. App. 1989). Since no one has requested a hearing in this case, the Commission may grant the relief requested based on the application.

Discussion

Cypress seeks certification to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services in portions of Missouri that are currently served by SWBT, GTE Midwest Incorporated (Verizon), and Sprint Missouri, Inc., d/b/a Sprint (Sprint), in the exchanges listed in its application. Cypress is not asking for certification in any area that is served by a small incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC). Cypress is requesting that its basic local services be classified as competitive and that the application of certain statutes and regulatory rules be waived.

A. Requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)

Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-2.060(6) requires a corporation applying for certification to provide telecommunications services to include in its application a request to be classified as a competitive company, if

applicable, a description of the types of service it intends to provide, a description of the exchanges where it will offer service, and a proposed tariff with a 45-day effective date. Cypress has provided all the required documentation except for the proposed tariff. Cypress requested a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C) until it has entered into an interconnection agreement with the underlying local exchange carrier and that agreement has been approved by the Commission. Cypress agreed to submit to the Commission for approval a proposed tariff with a minimum 45-day effective date once it is party to the appropriate interconnection agreement. The Agreement provides that Cypress will file the tariff in this case and give notice of the tariff filing to all the parties. Along with that filing, Cypress has agreed to provide a written disclosure of all interconnection agreements it has entered into which affect its Missouri service areas. The Commission has found that holding open the certificate case until a tariff is filed may result in the case being left open without activity for an extended period. Therefore, this case will be closed and, when Cypress files the required tariff, it will be assigned a new case number. Cypress will be directed to provide the notice and disclosures required by the Agreement when it files its proposed tariff.

B. Basic Local Service Certification

Section 392.455 sets out the requirements for granting certificates to provide basic local telecommunications service to new entrants. A new entrant must: (1) possess sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service; (2) demonstrate that the services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission; (3) set forth the geographic area in which it proposes to offer service and demonstrate that such area follows exchange boundaries of the ILEC and is

no smaller than an exchange; and (4) offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service. In addition, the Commission must give due consideration to equitable access for all Missourians to affordable telecommunications services, regardless of where they live or their income.

Cypress submitted as Exhibit 2 to its application certain financial documentation. Exhibit 3 to the application lists the names and qualifications of Cypress' management team. The parties agree that Cypress possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service.

Cypress has agreed to provide services that will meet the minimum basic local service standards required by the Commission, including quality of service and billing standards. The parties agree that Cypress proposes to offer basic local services that satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission.

Cypress wishes to be certificated to offer services in all the exchanges presently served by SWBT, Verizon and Sprint as described in their basic local tariffs. The parties agree that Cypress has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service and that the area follows ILEC exchange boundaries and is no smaller than an exchange.

Cypress has agreed to offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service and to provide equitable access, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it will offer basic local services in compliance with Section 392.455(5).

C. Competitive Classification

The Commission may classify a telecommunications provider as a competitive company if the Commission determines it is subject to sufficient competition to justify a lesser degree of regulation. Section 392.361.2. In making that determination, the Commission may consider such factors as market share, financial resources and name recognition, among others. *In the Matter of the Investigation for the Purpose of Determining the Classification of the Services Provided by Interexchange Telecommunications Companies within the State of Missouri*, 30 Mo. P.S.C. (N.S.) 16 (1989); *In the Matter of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's Application for Classification of Certain Services as Transitionally Competitive*, 1 Mo. P.S.C. 3d 479, 484 (1992). In addition, the Commission may classify a telecommunications company as a competitive telecommunications company only upon a finding that all telecommunications services offered by such company are competitive telecommunications services pursuant to Section 392.361.3. The Commission has found that whether a service is competitive is a subject for case-by-case examination and that different criteria may be given greater weight depending upon the service being considered. *Id.* at 487.

The parties have agreed that Cypress should be classified as a competitive telecommunications company. The parties agree that Cypress' switched exchange access services may be classified as a competitive service, conditioned upon certain limitations on Cypress' ability to charge for its access services. Cypress has agreed that, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, its originating and terminating access rates will be capped at the levels authorized by the Commission in *In the Matter of Access Rates to be Charged by Competitive Local Exchange Telecommunications*

Companies in the State of Missouri, Case No. TO-99-596. The parties agree that the grant of service authority and competitive classification to Cypress should be expressly conditioned on the continued applicability of Section 392.200, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access services rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in the agreement must be cost-justified pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.230, rather than Sections 392.500 and 392.510.

The parties agree that waiver of the following statutes is appropriate: Sections 392.210.2, 392.240.1, 392.270, 392.280, 392.290, 392.300.2, 392.310, 392.320, 392.330, and 392.340. The parties also agree that application of these Commission rules could be waived: 4 CSR 240-10.020, 4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C), 4 CSR 240-30.040, 4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C), 4 CSR 240-33.030, and 4 CSR 240-35.

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact:

- A. The Commission finds that competition in the basic local exchange telecommunications market is in the public interest.
- B. The Commission finds that Cypress has met the requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6) for applicants for certificates of service authority to provide telecommunications services with the exception of the filing of a tariff with a 45-day effective date.
- C. The Commission finds that Cypress has demonstrated good cause to support a temporary waiver of the tariff filing requirement and the waiver shall be granted.

- D. The Commission finds that the local exchange services market is competitive and that granting Cypress a certificate of service authority to provide local exchange telecommunications services is in the public interest. Cypress' certificate shall become effective when its tariff becomes effective.
- E. The Commission finds that Cypress meets the statutory requirements for provision of basic local telecommunications services and has agreed to abide by those requirements in the future. The Commission determines that granting Cypress a certificate of service authority to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services is in the public interest. Cypress' certificate shall become effective when its tariff becomes effective.
- F. The Commission finds that Cypress is a competitive company and should be granted waiver of the statutes and rules set out in the ordered paragraph below.
- G. The Commission finds that Cypress' certification and competitive status should be expressly conditioned upon the continued applicability of Section 392.200, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access services rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in the agreement must be cost-justified pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.230, rather than Sections 392.500 and 392.510.

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has reached the following conclusions of law:

The Commission has the authority to grant certificates of service authority to provide telecommunications service within the state of Missouri. Cypress has requested certification under Sections 392.410 - .450, which permit the Commission to grant a certificate of service authority where it is in the public interest. Sections 392.361 and .420 authorize the Commission to modify or suspend the application of its rules and certain statutory provisions for companies classified as competitive or transitionally competitive.

The federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Section 392.455 were designed to institute competition in the basic local exchange telecommunications market in order to benefit all telecommunications consumers. See Section 392.185.

The Commission has the legal authority to accept a Stipulation and Agreement as offered by the parties as a resolution of the issues raised in this case, pursuant to Section 536.060. Based upon the Commission's review of the applicable law and Agreement of the parties, and upon its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the Agreement should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement of the parties, filed on January 5, 2001, is approved.

2. That Cypress Communications Operating Company, Inc. is granted a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications services in the state of Missouri, subject to the conditions of certification set out above and to all applicable statutes and Commission rules except as specified in this order. The certificate of service authority shall become effective when the company's tariff becomes effective.

3. That Cypress Communications Operating Company, Inc. is classified as a competitive telecommunications company. Application of the following statutes and regulatory rules shall be waived:

Statutes

- 392.210.2 - uniform system of accounts
- 392.240(1) - rates-rentals-service & physical connections
- 392.270 - valuation of property (ratemaking)
- 392.280 - depreciation accounts
- 392.290 - issuance of securities
- 392.300.2 - acquisition of stock
- 392.310 - stock and debt issuance
- 392.320 - stock dividend payment
- 392.340 - reorganization(s)
- 392.330 - issuance of securities, debts and notes

Commission Rules

- 4 CSR 240-10.020 - depreciation fund income
- 4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C) - posting of tariffs
- 4 CSR 240-30.040 - uniform system of accounts
- 4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C) - exchange boundary maps
- 4 CSR 240-33.030 - minimum charges
- 4 CSR 240-35 - reporting of bypass and customer-specific arrangements

4. That the certification and competitive status herein granted to Cypress Communications Operating Company, Inc. are expressly conditioned upon the continued applicability of Section 392.200, and on the requirement that any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth in the agreement must be cost-justified pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.230, rather than Sections 392.500 and 392.510.

5. That the request for waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C), which requires the filing of a 45-day tariff, is granted.

6. That Cypress Communications Operating Company, Inc. shall file tariff sheets with a minimum 45-day effective date reflecting the rates, rules, regulations and the services it will offer within 30 days after the effective date of a Commission order approving an interconnection agreement


that will allow Cypress to provide services. The tariff shall include a listing of the statutes and Commission rules waived above.

7. That Cypress Communications Operating Company, Inc. shall give notice of the filing of the tariffs described above to all parties or participants in this case. In addition, Cypress Communications Operating Company, Inc. shall file a written disclosure of all interconnection agreements which affect its Missouri service areas, all portions of Missouri service areas for which it does not have an interconnection agreement, and an explanation of why no interconnection agreement is necessary for those areas.

8. That this order shall become effective on February 25, 2001.

9. That this case may be closed on February 26, 2001.

BY THE COMMISSION



Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

(S E A L)

Shelly A. Register, Regulatory Law Judge,
by delegation of authority pursuant to
Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 15th day of February, 2001.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

FILED

JAN 5 2001

In the Matter of the Application of)

Cypress Communications Operating Company, Inc.)

for a Certificate of Service Authority to Provide)
Basic Local Telecommunications Services)
in the State of Missouri and to Classify)
Such Services and the Company as Competitive.)

Missouri Public
Service Commission

Case No. TA-2000-829

UNANIMOUS STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

CYPRESS COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING COMPANY, INC. (hereinafter "Cypress" or "Applicant") initiated this proceeding on June 19, 2000 by filing an Application requesting certificate of service authority to provide facilities based and resold basic local telecommunication services in the State of Missouri in the exchanges currently served by Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWB) and GTE of the Midwest, Inc. (GTE) and Sprint/United Telephone Company ("Sprint").

SWB applied to intervene in this matter and its application was granted.

A. STANDARDS AND CRITERIA

1. The parties employed the following standards and criteria, which are intended to meet the requirements of existing law, particularly Sections 392.450 and 392.455, RSMo. Cum Supp. 1999 regarding applications for certificates of local exchange service authority to provide or resell basic local telecommunications service, in negotiating the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement (hereinafter referred to as the "Stipulation").

2. For purposes of this Stipulation, the parties agree that applications for local exchange and basic local exchange service authority in exchanges served by "large" local

exchange companies (LECs)¹ should be processed in a manner similar to that in which applications for interexchange authority are currently handled.

3. In determining whether Cypress' application for certificate of service authority should be granted, the Commission should consider Cypress' technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service. Cypress must demonstrate that the basic local services it proposes to offer satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission, including but not limited to the Applicant agreeing to file and maintain basic local service tariff(s) with the Commission in the same manner and form as the Commission requires of incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies with which applicant seeks to compete. Further, Cypress must agree to meet the minimum basic local service standards, including quality of service and billing standards, as the Commission requires of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies with which the Applicant seeks to compete. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 392.500 RSMo (1994), as a condition of certification and competitive classification, Cypress agrees that, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, its originating and terminating access rates will be capped at the levels authorized by the Commission in *In the Matter of the Access Rates to be Charged by Competitive Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies in the State of Missouri*, Case No. TO-99-596. Accordingly, the parties acknowledge and agree that Cypress may submit tariffs providing for originating and terminating exchange access rates equal to or less than those of the directly competing incumbent local exchange carrier in each exchange in which Cypress is authorized to provide basic local telecommunications services. Additionally, Cypress agrees that if the directly

¹Large LECs are defined as LECs who serve 100,000 or more access lines. Section 386.020 RSMo. Supp. 1999. In Missouri, the current large LECs are SWBT, GTE and Sprint.

competing ILEC, in whose service area Cypress is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, Cypress shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's service area within thirty (30) days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap.² Further, Cypress agrees to offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service and must sufficiently identify the geographic service area in which it proposes to offer basic local service. Such area must follow exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies in the same area and must be no smaller than an exchange. Finally, Cypress agrees to provide equitable access, as determined by the Commission, for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of where they live or their income, to affordable telecommunications services. *See* § 392.455, RSMo Cum. Supp. 1999.

4. Cypress submitted its application without tariffs and seeks a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C). Cypress has agreed to file its initial tariff(s) in the certification docket and serve all parties thereto with written notice at the time the initial tariff(s) are submitted to afford them an opportunity to participate in the tariff approval process. Copies of the tariff(s) will be provided by Cypress to such parties immediately upon request. Any service authority shall be regarded as conditional and shall not be exercised until such time as tariff(s) for services have become effective.

5. Cypress has, pursuant to §392.420, RSMo 1994, requested that the Commission

²This provision shall not be construed to require Cypress to file a tariff amendment if: 1) Cypress has concurred in the directly competing ILEC's access tariff, or 2) if Cypress' existing corresponding originating and/or terminating access rates are not higher than the ILEC's originating and/or terminating access rates following the ILEC's reduction of rates.

waive the application of any or all of the following statutory provisions and rules to basic local telecommunications services, and the parties agree that the Commission should grant such request provided that Section 392.200, RSMo, should continue to apply to all of Cypress' services:

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

§392.210.2
§392.240(1)
§392.270
§392.280
§392.290.1
§392.300.2
§392.310
§392.320
§392.330
§392.340

COMMISSION RULES

4 CSR 240-10.020
4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C)
4 CSR 240-30.040
4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C)
4 CSR 240-33.030
4 CSR 240-35

B. CYPRESS CERTIFICATION

6. Based upon its verified application, as amended by any Order of the Commission, Cypress asserts, and no party makes a contrary assertion, that there is sufficient evidence from which the Commission can find and conclude that Cypress:

- a. possesses sufficient technical, financial and managerial resources and abilities to provide basic local telecommunications service and local exchange telecommunications service, including exchange access service;
- b. proposes and agrees to offer basic local services that satisfy the minimum standards established by the Commission;

- c. has sufficiently identified the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service and such area follows exchange boundaries of the incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies in the same areas, and such area is no smaller than an exchange;³
- d. will offer basic local telecommunications service as a separate and distinct service;
- e. has agreed to provide equitable access for all Missourians as determined by the Commission for all Missourians within the geographic area in which it proposes to offer basic local service, regardless of where they live or their income, to affordable telecommunications services;⁴
- f. has sought authority which will serve the public interest.

7. Cypress asserts, and no party opposes, that Cypress' application and request for authority to provide basic local telecommunications services and local exchange telecommunications services (including exchange access service) should be granted. All services authorized herein should be classified as competitive telecommunications services provided that the requirements of Section 392.200 continue to apply, and Cypress shall remain classified as a competitive telecommunications company. Cypress asserts, and no party opposes, that in such services it will be subject to sufficient competition by the services of the incumbent LECs to justify a lesser degree of regulation of Cypress' services consistent with the protection of ratepayers and the promotion of the public interest. Such classification should become effective

³ The identification of specific geographic areas within which Cypress proposes to offer service as referred to in this paragraph shall not be construed to foreclose Cypress from 1) petitioning the Commission pursuant to Section 392.200.4, RSMo, for authority to serve an area smaller than an exchange; and 2) offer customer specific pricing pursuant to Section 392.200.8, RSMo.

⁴ The agreement in Paragraph 6.e. of this Stipulation is without prejudice to Cypress' right to appear, after proper application and in accord with Commission rules and regulations, in any rulemaking proceeding or other proceeding regarding the Commission's considerations of equitable access under Section 392.455.(5), RSMo. Furthermore, such agreement should not be construed as an admission or conclusion by Cypress that Section 392.455.(5), RSMo, creates new or specific duties or obligations on telecommunications companies to provide equitable access.

upon the tariffs for the services becoming effective. Such authority should be conditional, not to be exercised until such time as tariffs for those services have become effective. The Commission's Order should state the foregoing conditions as follows:

The service authority and service classification herein granted are subject to the requirements of § 392.200 and are conditional and shall not be exercised until such time as tariffs for services have become effective.

The parties agree that the Applicant's switched exchange access services may be classified as competitive services. The parties further agree that the Applicant's switched exchange access services are subject to Section 392.200. Any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates as set forth in paragraph 3 herein shall be made pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.230 and not 392.500 and 392.510. Cypress agrees that if the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area Cypress is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, Cypress shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's service area within thirty (30) days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap.⁵ The Commission's order should state the foregoing conditions as follows:

"The service authority and service classification for switched exchange access granted herein is expressly conditioned on the continued applicability of Section 392.200 and the requirement that any increases in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth herein shall be made pursuant to Sections 392.220 and 392.230 and not Sections 392.500 and 392.510. Cypress agrees that if the directly competing ILEC, in whose service area Cypress is operating, decreases its originating and/or terminating access service rates, Cypress shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating and/or terminating access rates in the directly competing ILEC's service area within thirty (30) days of the directly competing ILEC's reduction of its originating

⁵See footnote 2.

and/or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap. Cypress will not be required to file a tariff amendment if: 1) Cypress has concurred in the directly competing ILEC's access tariff, or 2) if Cypress' existing corresponding originating and/or terminating access rates are not higher than the directly competing ILEC's originating and/or terminating access rates following the ILEC's reduction of rates."

8. Cypress' request for a temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C), which requires applications to include a proposed tariff with a 45-day effective date, is not opposed by the parties and should be granted because at the time of its application, it did not yet have approved interconnection agreements with any underlying carrier. Cypress agrees that within thirty (30) days of an order approving an interconnection agreement with any underlying carrier, Cypress will submit tariffs in this docket, with a minimum 45-day proposed effective date, to the Commission for its approval. Cypress shall serve notice to all parties and participants in this docket of the filing of its tariffs at the time they are filed with the Commission and shall upon request immediately provide any party with a copy of those tariffs. The Commission's order should state these obligations as conditions to the waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C), substantially as follows:

"Applicant's request for temporary waiver of 4 CSR 240-2.060(6)(C) is hereby granted. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of an order approving its interconnection agreement with and underlying carrier, Applicant shall submit its tariffs for Commission approval. Such tariffs shall have a minimum of a 45-day effective date and the Applicant shall serve written notice upon the parties hereto of such submittal, and shall provide copies of such tariffs to such parties immediately upon request."

9. Cypress' request for waiver of the application of the following rules and statutory provisions as they relate to the regulation of Cypress' services should be granted:

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

§392.210.2
§392.240(1)
§392.270
§392.280
§392.290.1
§392.300.2
§392.310
§392.320
§392.330
§392.340

COMMISSION RULES

4 CSR 240-10.020
4 CSR 240-30.010(2)(C)
4 CSR 240-30.040
4 CSR 240-32.030(4)(C)
4 CSR 240-33.030
4 CSR 240-35

10. Finally, Cypress will comply with all applicable Commission rules and regulations except those which specifically are waived by the Commission.

11. This Stipulation has resulted from extensive negotiations among the signatories and the terms hereof are interdependent. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Stipulation in total, then this Stipulation shall be void and no signatory shall be bound by any of the agreements or provisions hereof. The Stipulations herein are specific to the resolution of this proceeding, and all stipulations are made without prejudice to the rights of the signatories to take other positions in other proceedings.

12. In the event the Commission accepts the specific terms of this Stipulation, the parties and participants waive, with respect to the issues resolved herein the following rights: their respective rights pursuant to §536.080.1, RSMo, 1994, to present testimony, to cross

examine witnesses, and to present oral argument or written briefs; their respective rights to the reading of the transcript by the Commission pursuant to §536.080.2, RSMo, 1994; and their respective rights to seek rehearing pursuant to §386.500, RSMo, 1994, and to seek judicial review pursuant to §386.510, RSMo, 1994. The parties agree to cooperate with the Applicant and with each other in presenting this Stipulation for approval to the Commission and shall take no action, direct or indirect, in opposition to the request for approval of the Cypress application made herein.

13. The Staff shall file suggestions or a memorandum and shall have the right to file prepared testimony in support of this Stipulation and the other Parties shall have the right to file responsive suggestions or prepared testimony. All responsive suggestions, prepared testimony or memorandum shall be subject to the terms of any Protective Order that may be entered in this case.

14. The Staff shall also have the right to provide, at any agenda meeting at which this Stipulation is noticed to be considered by the Commission, whatever oral explanation the Commission requests, provided that the Staff shall, to the extent reasonably practicable, provide the other parties and participants with advance notice of when the Staff shall respond to the Commission's request for such explanation. Staff's oral explanation shall be subject to public disclosure, except to the extent it refers to matters that are privileged or protected from disclosure pursuant to any protective order that may be issued in this case.

15. The Office of Public Counsel is a signatory to this Stipulation for the sole purpose of stating that it has no objection to this Stipulation.

WHEREFORE, the signatories respectfully request the Commission to issue its Order approving the terms of this Stipulation and issue its Order granting authority and classification as requested by Cypress, subject to the conditions described above.

Respectfully submitted,

Cathleen A. Martin
Cathleen A. Martin #45682
Newman, Comley & Ruth P.C.
601 Monroe Street, Suite 301
P.O. Box 537
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537
Telephone: (573) 634-2266
Fax: (573) 636-3306
Email: cmartin@ncrpc.com

FOR: CYPRESS COMMUNICATIONS
OPERATING COMPANY, INC.

Marc Poston
Marc Poston #45722 *by CAM*
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360
Telephone: (573) 751-8701
Fax: (573) 751-9285
Email: mposton@mail.state.mo.us

FOR: Staff

Leo J. Bub
Paul G. Lane #27011
Leo J. Bub #34326
Anthony K. Conroy #35199
Mimi B. MacDonald #37606
One Bell Center, Room 3520
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
Telephone: (314) 235-2508
Fax: (314) 247-0014
Email: lb7809@momail.sbc.com

FOR: Southwestern Bell Telephone
Company

Michael F. Dandino
Michael F. Dandino #24590 *by CAM*
Missouri Public Service Commission
Office of Public Counsel
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Telephone: (573) 751-5559

FBI: To Be Issued By Delegation

ALJ/Sec'y:

Register/Boye

2-9
Date Circulated

2-15
Return by 3 p.m.
10 am

TA-2000-829
CASE NO.

SL p5
Lumpe, Chair

me
Drainer, Vice Chair

mm
Murray, Commissioner

KS
Schemenauer, Commissioner

KS
Simmons, Commissioner

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and
I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City,
Missouri, this 15th day of February 2001.

Dale Hardy Roberts

Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

