Exhibit No.: Issues: Witness: Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Date Testimony Prepared: March 4, 2016

District Allocation of Costs, Business Transformation, Service Company Costs Kimberly K. Bolin Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony Case No.: WR-2015-0301

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION

AUDITING

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2015-0301

Jefferson City, Missouri March 2016

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	KIMBERLY K. BOLIN
5	MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
6	CASE NO. WR-2015-0301
7	DISTRICT ALLOCATION OF COSTS 1
8	BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION
9	SERVICE COMPANY COSTS

1		SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		KIMBERLY K. BOLIN
4		MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
5		CASE NO. WR-2015-0301
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7	А.	Kimberly K. Bolin, 200 Madison Street, Suite 440, Jefferson City, MO 65102.
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
9	А.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")
10	as a Utility R	egulatory Auditor V.
11	Q.	Are you the same Kimberly K. Bolin who has filed portions of the
12	Commission	Staff's ("Staff") Cost of Service Report and Rebuttal Testimony in this case?
13	А.	Yes.
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?
15	А.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to the rebuttal testimony
16	of Missouri-	American Water Company's (MAWC) witness Jeanne M. Tinsley concerning
17	MAWC's pr	oposed \$20 per year per customer "cap" on corporate administrative and general
18	("A&G") an	d service company costs allocated to small water and sewer districts. I also
19	address MA	WC's Business Transformation costs and the study provided by MAWC in the
20	rebuttal testin	mony of Mr. Patrick Baryenbruch concerning service company costs.
21	DISTRICT	ALLOCATION OF COSTS

Q. On page 27, lines 17-19, of MAWC witness Jeanne M. Tinsley's rebuttal
testimony she states that the average cost per customer of corporate A&G/service company

expenses for the districts with less than 3,000 customers would be in the range of \$50 per
customer to over \$300 per customer compared to less than \$20 per customer for the larger
districts. Is Ms. Tinsley referring to monthly or annual amounts?

A. The range cited in Ms. Tinsley's rebuttal testimony is a monthly per
customer range. The Company has proposed to allocate only \$20 per year per customer of
corporate and service company costs to its small water and sewer districts with less than
3,000 customers.

8

Q. Is Ms. Tinsley analysis of the allocations per customer correct?

9 A. No, Ms. Tinsley's analysis contains several errors. The Company incorrectly 10 calculated the Net Utility Plant allocation factor and the Hybrid Massachusetts allocation 11 factor. The Company used plant values for the larger districts rounded to omit the last three 12 numerical digits and did not do the same for the smaller district plant values. For example, 13 the Company's calculation shows the St. Louis district having net utility plant of \$1,019,526 14 when the correct net utility plant is approximately \$1,019,526,000. However, MAWC 15 correctly uses net utility plant of \$2,518,975 for Brunswick. This error resulted in MAWC 16 allocating fewer costs to larger water districts, and more costs to smaller districts. This error 17 also caused an incorrect calculation of the Hybrid Massachusetts allocation since it uses an 18 average of the allocation percentages of number of customers, number of employees, and net 19 utility plant. This allocation factor is used for service company costs and a majority of 20 corporate A&G costs.

21

Q.

What results do the correct allocation factors produce?

A. Attached is Schedule KKB-s1 which shows that range referred to in witness
Tinsley's rebuttal testimony, using the correct allocation factors. The small district monthly

range is \$9.11 to \$57.44 per customer and the large district monthly range is \$7.89 to \$15.15
 per customer.

Q. What would the monthly allocated costs per customer be under the Company's
proposal to allocate \$20 annually to the small districts?

A. Under MAWC's proposal, the monthly allocated costs for customers in small
districts would be \$1.67 per customer per month, while the monthly allocated costs for
customers in large districts would range from \$8.05 to \$15.42. MAWC's proposal would
unjustly assign a disproportion share of these costs to the large districts.

- Q. In your Schedules KKB-r1 and KKB-r2 attached to your rebuttal, you show
 higher allocated costs to the MAWC districts than what you have calculated here. Why are
 the allocated amounts higher in your rebuttal testimony?
- A. The calculations used in my rebuttal testimony included income tax expense as an allocated cost. For ratemaking purposes, Staff does not allocate income taxes like other expenses, but instead performs an annualization of the income taxes for each district based upon the revenue received during the test year and the amount of increased revenue that the district will receive after new rates set by this rate case go into effect. Attached to this testimony is Schedule KKB-s2, which shows Staff's allocation of costs without income taxes.
- Q. On page 27, lines 30-31, Ms. Tinsley states in her rebuttal testimony that "Staff gave no reason but only stated that it did not assign an annual per customer limit for corporate allocation to small districts." Why does Staff believe an annual per customer limit is not needed for corporate and service company allocated costs?
- A. Staff allocated the test year costs to each district using the appropriate
 allocation factor for each cost to determine whether the smaller districts were receiving more

allocated costs on a per customer basis than the larger districts. Staff found that the
Parkville Water District had the most per customer allocated costs among the larger districts,
with \$181.81 annually per customer, while ten of the smaller water and sewer districts
had less than \$181.81 annually allocated to their district. In general, the Schedules KKB-s1
and KKB-s2 do not show that a disproportionate amount of corporate A&G and service
company costs would be allocated to the small districts under Staff's proposal of allocating
costs to all districts.

8 Q. What percentage of Service Company and corporate costs would the larger9 districts be allocated under Staff's proposed method?

A. The larger districts would be allocated 97.8% of total service company and
corporate costs while the smaller districts would be allocated approximately 2.2%.

Q. What percentage of Service Company and corporate costs would be allocated
to the districts under MAWC's proposal?

A. MAWC would allocate 99.7% of the total service company and corporate costs
to the larger districts, and only 0.3% of those costs to the smaller districts.

16

BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION

Q. In your rebuttal testimony, you mention that Staff is concerned about theallocation of Business Transformation costs to Missouri. Is this still true?

A. Yes. Based upon inconsistent responses by MAWC to two Staff data requests,
Staff cannot determine the actual cost of the Business Transformation program, and
therefore is unable to determine if the amount allocated to Missouri is correct. Attached as
Schedule KKB-s3 is MAWC's response to Staff Data Request No. 401, which states,
"The amount of Business Transformation Project costs allocated between regulated entities,

through Service Company, was \$289.5M of which MAWC received \$41.7M." The response also refers to Staff Data Request No. 182, which is attached as Schedule KKB-s4. MAWC's response to Staff Data Request No. 182, includes an eight page document showing the total costs of the Business Transformation project as \$327,747,028 (page 1 of the document) with \$46,739,196 (page 5 of the document) being allocated to Missouri. Staff has issued another data request concerning this discrepancy.

Q. Does Staff believe the Business Transformation costs have been properly
allocated to American Water's 'non-regulated' or 'market based' affiliates?

A. Staff is unsure at this time. Staff's position is that it is reasonable to allocate a
portion of the Business Transformation costs to American Water's non-regulated operations.
Based on the responses to Staff Data Request Nos. 401 and 182, Staff is not certain what the
total Business Transformation cost is and if the correct total includes the costs allocated to the
non-regulated affiliates. MAWC has not provided Staff with the information necessary to
determine if the costs were properly allocated between regulated and non-regulated entities.

15

SERVICE COMPANY COSTS

Q. Have you reviewed MAWC witness Patrick L. Baryenbruch's rebuttal
testimony and attached Schedule PLB-1?

A. Yes, however to evaluate all of the information contained within
Mr. Baryenbruch's rebuttal testimony at this stage of the rate case is not feasible.
Mr. Baryenbruch's testimony and study should have been introduced at the direct testimony
filing to provide an opportunity for proper review and analysis.

Q. Has Staff reviewed the workpapers supporting Mr. Baryenbruch's study?
 A. No. MAWC has not provided the workpapers supporting Mr. Baryenbruch's
 study. Staff tried to analyze several FERC Form 60s that Mr. Baryenburch said he used in
 developing comparison costs for the service company, but was unable to produce the same
 results as Mr. Baryenburch. Staff has not been able to verify that the information used in this
 study was correct.

Q. Is Staff concerned that the information Mr. Baryenburch uses to
compare Service Company costs may not be accurate comparisons to the service company
costs for MAWC?

A. Yes. Mr. Baryenburch included electric and natural gas service company costs
in his comparison. Staff is not convinced that this is an appropriate "apples to apples"
comparison since Staff has not been able to analyze the data.

Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

14 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas

Case No. WR-2015-0301

AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW KIMBERLY K. BOLIN and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 3rd day of March, 2016.

D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Dublia Alatan, Cast
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Olaie (1 MI22001)
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: December 12, 2016
I will commission cohilds, necellinet 15, 2010
Commission Number: 10410020
Commission Number: 12412070

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2015-0301

Monthly Customer Costs Based upon Test Year Corporate and Service Company Costs

LARGE WATER DISTRICTS

	St	t. Louis	St. Joseph		Joplin		Je	efferson City	W	arrensburg	I	Parkville		Mexico	Tri	-States
Staff Proposal Annual Per Customer Cost Monthly Per Customer Cost	\$ \$	126.98 10.58	\$ \$	134.97 11.25	- C	165.62 13.80		165.62 13.80		115.05 9.59		181.81 15.15		153.05 12.75	\$ \$	94.69 7.89
Company Proposal Annual Per Customer Cost Monthly Per Customer Cost	\$ \$	129.48 10.79	\$ \$	137.57 11.46	- C	168.82 14.07		146.27 12.19	\$ \$	117.20 9.77		185.03 15.42	- 1	155.92 12.99	\$ \$	96.64 8.05

SMALL WATER DISTRICTS

		ole/River/ nebridge	Ozark I	Mtn/LTA	Bri	unswick	Emerald	Pointe	Wh	itebranch		Spring ley/LWM	Sado	dlebrooke		Rankin Acres	Ann	a Meadows
Staff Proposal																		
Annual Per Customer Cost	\$	111.25	\$	114.11	\$	273.00	\$	109.30	\$	183.70	\$	179.21	\$	225.32	\$	224.01	\$	261.27
Monthly Per Customer Cost	\$	9.27	\$	9.51	\$	22.75	\$	9.11	\$	15.31	\$	14.93	\$	18.78	\$	18.67	\$	21.77
Company Proposal Annual Per Customer Cost Monthly Per Customer Cost	\$ \$	20.00 1.67	'	20.00 1.67		20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67	- 1	20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67

SEWER DISTRICTS

Staff Proposal	Jeffe	erson City WW	Cedar Hill WW	St	tonebridge WW		Meramec WW		Warren County WW	Em	erald Pointe WW	N	/laplewood WW	Ρ	arkville WW	Sa	ddlebrooke WW	N	Anna Ieadows WW	Μ	Ozark eadows WW
Annual Per Customer Cost	ć	208.63	\$ 153.9	1 \$	128.34	ć	122.67	ć	204.64	¢	127.88	ć	123.78	¢	331.63	ć	526.34	ć	245.94	¢	114.11
	ې د															- 1				ې خ	
Monthly Per Customer Cost	Ş	17.39	\$ 12.8	3 Ş	10.70	Ş	10.22	Ş	17.05	Ş	10.66	Ş	10.32	Ş	27.64	Ş	43.86	Ş	20.50	Ş	9.51
Company Proposal Annual Per Customer Cost Monthly Per Customer Cost	\$ \$		\$ 20.0 \$ 1.6	D\$ 7\$	20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67		20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67	\$ \$	20.00 1.67

Highest per customer charge for large districts Under highest per customer charge for large districts

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2015-0301

Allocation of Staff's Adjusted Corporate and Service Company Costs (without income taxes)

LARGE WATER DISTRICTS

	St. Louis	:	St. Joseph	Joplin	Je	efferson City	w	arrensburg	Parkville	Mexico	Tri-States	Total Large Districts
Company Proposal												
Allocated with \$20 cap (Company	\$ 37,537,331	\$	3,561,021	\$ 3,251,002	\$	1,245,948	\$	710,234	\$ 906,932	\$ 635,063	\$ 297,934	\$ 48,145,466
Annual Per Customer Cost	\$ 102.59	\$	111.27	\$ 136.75	\$	114.82	\$	94.01	\$ 152.45	\$ 128.92	\$ 89.69	
Percentage of Total Allocated Costs	77.48%		7.35%	6.71%		2.57%		1.47%	1.87%	1.31%	0.61%	99.38%
Staff Proposal												
Allocated without \$20 cap (Staff)	\$ 36,578,360	\$	3,444,790	\$ 3,122,697	\$	1,249,771	\$	689,690	\$ 860,507	\$ 599,934	\$ 247,947	\$ 46,793,695
Annual Per Customer Cost	\$ 99.97	\$	107.64	\$ 131.35	\$	115.18	\$	91.29	\$ 144.65	\$ 121.79	\$ 74.64	
Percentage of Total Allocated Costs	75.51%		7.11%	6.45%		2.58%		1.42%	1.78%	1.24%	0.51%	96.59%

	SMALL WATER DISTRICTS																		
	Ma	ple/River/		Ozark				Emerald				Spring				Rankin	Anna	Т	otal Small
	Sto	onebridge	Mtn/LTA		Brunswick		Pointe		W	Whitebranch		Valley/LWM		ddlebrooke	Acres		Meadows		Districts
Company Proposal																			
Allocated with \$20 cap (Company	\$	27,440	\$	9,860	\$	8,200	\$	6,520	\$	2,720	\$	2,680	\$	1,780	\$	1,720	\$ 1,600	\$	62,520
Annual per Customer Cost	\$	20.00	\$	20.00	\$	20.00	\$	20.00	\$	20.00	\$	20.00	\$	20.00	\$	20.00	\$ 20.00		
Percentage of Total Allocated Costs		0.06%		0.02%		0.02%		0.01%		0.006%		0.006%		0.004%		0.004%	0.003%		0.13%
Staff Proposal																			
Allocated without \$20 cap (Staff)	\$	119,703	\$	45,715	\$	87,576	\$	28,262	\$	19,713	\$	19,021	\$	15,827	\$	15,043	\$ 15,866	\$	366,727
Annual Per Customer Cost	\$	87.25	\$	92.73	\$	213.60	\$	86.69	\$	144.95	\$	141.95	\$	177.84	\$	174.92	\$ 198.32		
Percentage of Total Allocated Costs		0.25%		0.09%		0.18%		0.06%		0.04%		0.04%		0.03%		0.03%	0.03%		0.76%

							SEWER I	DISTRICTS						
						Warren	Emerald				Anna	Ozark		
	Je	efferson City	Cedar Hill	Stonebridge	Meramec	County	Pointe	Maplewood	Parkville	Saddlebrooke	Meadows	Meadows	Arnold	Total Sewer
		ww	WW	WW	WW	WW	WW	ww	WW	WW	WW	WW	WW	Districts
Company Proposal														
Allocated with \$20 cap (Company		27,160	14,600	13,760	12,200	8,280	7,520	7,320	2,020	1,780	1,600	460	140,000	236,700
Annual per Customer Cost	\$	20.00 \$	20.00	\$ 20.00 \$	\$ 20.00 \$	\$ 20.00 \$	20.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 21.91	
Percentage of Total Allocated Costs		0.06%	0.03%	0.03%	0.03%	0.02%	0.02%	0.02%	0.004%	0.004%	0.003%	0.001%	0.29%	0.49%
Staff Proposal														
Allocated without \$20 cap (Staff)	\$	221,781 \$	90,516	\$ 69,773 \$	58,629 \$	\$ 66,509 \$	38,072	\$ 35,743	\$ 25,892	\$ 35,424	\$ 14,955	\$ 12,141	\$ 614,498	\$ 1,283,934
Annual per Customer Cost	\$	163.31 \$	124.00	\$ 101.41 \$	\$	\$ 160.65 \$	101.26	\$ 97.66	\$ 256.36	\$ 402.54	\$ 186.94	\$ 527.87	\$ 96.17	
Percentage of Total Allocated Costs		0.46%	0.19%	0.14%	0.12%	0.14%	0.08%	0.07%	0.05%	0.07%	0.03%	0.03%	1.27%	2.65%

Total Costs

48,444,686

Missouri Public Service Commission

Respond Data Request

Data Request No. Company Name Case/Tracking No. Date Requested Issue Requested From Requested By	0401 Missouri-American Water Company-(Water) WR-2015-0301 1/29/2016 Expense - A&G - Information Technology Jeanne Tinsley Kevin Thompson
Brief Description	Allocation of Business Transformation Costs
Description	Please provide the following: 1) The total cost of the Business Transformation Project as of December 31, 2015 and updated to the most current date. 2) The amount of Business Transformation costs allocated to American Water's regulated affiliates. 3) The amount of Business Transformation costs allocated to American Water's non-regulated affiliates 4) If none of the Business Transformation Project costs were allocated to non-regulated affiliates, please provide the reasoning as to why the non-regulated affiliates should not be allocated a portion of the Business Transformation Project costs. DR Requested by: Kim Bolin - Kim.Bolin@psc.mo.gov
Response	1) Please refer to data request MoPSC W0182. No additional project costs for Business Transformation have been incurred since the September 2015 update of the referenced data request. 2) The amount of Business Transformation Project costs allocated between regulated entities, through Service Company, was \$289.5M, of which MAWC received \$41.7M. 3) Please refer to the response to OPC 5012 for further detail. 4) Please refer to the response to OPC 5012 for further detail.
Objections	NA

The attached information provided to Missouri Public Service Commission Staff in response to the above data information request is accurate and complete, and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform the Missouri Public Service Commission if, during the pendency of Case No. WR-2015-0301 before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information. If these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection in the Missouri-American Water Company-(Water) office, or other location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g. book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this data request the term "document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data, recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control or within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to Missouri-American Water Company-(Water) and its employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting in its behalf.

Security :	Public
Rationale :	NA

Missouri Public Service Commission

Respond Data Request

Data Request No. Company Name Case/Tracking No. Date Requested Issue Requested From Requested By Brief Description	0182 Missouri-American Water Company-(Water) WR-2015-0301 9/1/2015 General Information & Miscellaneous - Other General Info & Misc. Jeanne Tinsley Kevin Thompson Business Transformation Program related amounts
Description	For each of the following, please provide the information on an American Water and Missouri American basis separately: 1) provide, by month, by FERC account all amounts expended on the Business Transformation Program from the beginning of the project through current. Update by month through January 31, 2016 as information becomes available. Summarize all capital and expense items separately. Also identify amounts for hardware costs, software costs, training costs, and all other categories of cost that exist in regards to this project; 2) provide a categorization of the costs expended to date on the Business Transformation Program by type, such as consulting fees, upfront licensing, internal labor, overhead, taxes and interest that was capitalized and for all other categorizations that exist. Provide a copy of all supporting summary work order authorizations that summarize all of these costs; 3) for all cost categories identified in item 2 above, provide a detailed description of what these costs represent; 4) provide a categorization of all costs incurred to date, broken down between capital and expense, by vendor, by month; 5) for each vendor identified in item 4 above, describe what goods or services were provided in regards to the program. Requested by: Lisa Hanneken (lisa.hanneken@psc.mo.gov)
Response Objections	Please refer to MoPSC W0182_Attachment_201509 for a summarization of costs through 9/2015. Due to the project's closure at the end of 2014, only minimal adjustments should be expected going forward.
Objections	

The attached information provided to **Missouri Public Service Commission** Staff in response to the above data information request is accurate and complete, and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform the **Missouri Public Service Commission** if, during the pendency of Case No. **WR-2015-0301** before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information. If these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection in the **Missouri-American Water Company-(Water)** office, or other location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g. book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this data request the term

Schedule KKB-s4, Page 1 of 10

"document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data, recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control or within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to **Missouri-American Water Company-(Water)** and its employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting in its behalf.

Security :PublicRationale :NA

Consolidated Totals (ERP, EAM, and CIS in Total)

					Year				
Line	-		Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
Number	Description	Total	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1									
2	<u>Labor</u>								
3	Internal - Business	\$72,576,966	\$0	\$3,759,263	\$16,764,163	\$26,608,303	\$20,896,461	\$4,547,380	\$1,396
4	External - Other	149,526,366	0	9,118,324	57,483,972	54,148,156	26,123,614	2,652,812	(511)
5	Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.):	222,103,333	0	12,877,587	74,248,135	80,756,459	47,020,075	7,200,192	885
6									
7	Employee Expenses	7,912,030	0	901,902	1,772,878	1,887,205	3,219,999	130,045	0
8	Hardware	13,228,102	0	0	6,615,361	5,430,598	1,182,143	0	0
9	Software	25,721,977	0	12,087,247	8,263,718	3,667,286	1,448,258	255,468	0
10	Program Operations	7,974,216	0	711,166	946,883	2,089,145	3,276,207	948,198	2,617
11	Comprehensive Planning Study	6,361,764	5,719,850	641,914	0	0	0	0	0
12	BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.):	283,301,421	5,719,850	27,219,817	91,846,974	93,830,693	56,146,682	8,533,904	3,502
13	· · · ·		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
14	Other								
15	AFUDC - BT	18,333,281	111,091	995,150	4,050,839	7,236,895	4,388,017	133,174	1,418,115
16	Total BT (Line 11. + Line 13.):	301,634,702	5,830,941	28,214,967	95,897,813	101,067,588	60,534,700	8,667,078	1,421,616
17			- / / -	-, ,		- / /		-,	, , ,
18	BT Controls/Organizational Integration	25,146,325	0	0	7,964,697	13,599,314	3,580,804	1,446	65
19	BT Controls/Organizational Integration - AFUDC	966,000	0	0	30,042	618,940	317,019	0	0
20	Total BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line 16.):	26,112,325	0	0	7,994,738	14,218,253	3,897,823	1,446	65
21		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
22	BT Grand Total - American Water (Line 14. + Line 17.):	\$327,747,028	\$5,830,941	\$28,214,967	\$103,892,551	\$115,285,841	\$64,432,522	\$8,668,524	\$1,421,682
23									

24

Enterprise Resource Planning ("ERP")

					Year				
Line			Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
Number	Description	Total	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1									
2	<u>Labor</u>								
3	Internal - Business	\$28,616,388	\$0	\$2,127,866	\$9,948,295	\$15,001,111	\$1,522,326	\$16,791	\$3,155
4	External - Other	69,429,417	0	3,636,740	31,350,026	30,676,199	2,726,006	1,040,446	32
5	Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.):	98,045,805	0	5,764,606	41,298,321	45,677,310	4,248,331	1,057,237	3,188
6									
7	Employee Expenses	2,320,268	0	448,491	782,737	620,958	467,615	467	0
8	Hardware	11,092,306	0	0	6,487,873	4,318,172	286,260	0	0
9	Software	10,156,459	0	3,796,425	4,139,233	1,505,689	459,642	255,468	0
10	Program Operations	2,910,209	0	403,215	528,086	1,419,674	490,073	69,160	352
11	Comprehensive Planning Study	3,178,893	2,905,721	273,173	0	0	0	0	0
12	BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.):	127,703,941	2,905,721	10,685,910	53,236,250	53,541,804	5,951,922	1,382,333	3,539
13									
14	<u>Other</u>								
15	AFUDC - BT	5,669,815	55,634	387,985	1,918,569	2,761,227	413,417	132,983	646,397
16	Total BT (Line 11. + Line 13.):	133,373,756	2,961,355	11,073,895	55,154,819	56,303,031	6,365,339	1,515,316	649,936
17									
18	BT Controls/Organizational Integration	15,102,519	0	0	4,612,514	9,268,900	1,232,117	(11,012)	43
19	BT Controls/Organizational Integration - AFUDC	305,967	0	0	20,132	280,964	4,871	0	0
20	Total BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line 16.):	15,408,486	0	0	4,632,647	9,549,865	1,236,987	(11,012)	43
21									
22	BT Grand Total - American Water (Line 14. + Line 17.):	\$148,782,242	\$2,961,355	\$11,073,895	\$59,787,466	\$65,852,896	\$7,602,327	\$1,504,304	\$649,979
23		, - · · · · · · · - · - · - · - · - · -	+=,=======	,,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,	,,	+ · / • • = / • = /	+=,== :,== :	+ , 9
23									

24

Customer Information Systems ("CIS")

					Year				
Line			Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
Number	Description	Total	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1									
2	<u>Labor</u>								
3	Internal	\$27,281,848	\$0	\$1,120,864	\$3,779,215	\$6,424,265	\$11,969,601	\$3,987,903	\$650
4	External	47,790,059	0	3,438,558	13,643,264	12,978,799	16,110,610	1,618,828	(543)
5	Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.):	75,071,907	0	4,559,422	17,422,479	19,403,064	28,080,211	5,606,730	107
6									
7	Employee Expenses	3,316,501	0	261,074	634,634	894,529	1,465,363	60,901	0
8	Hardware	161,248	0	0	0	160,876	372	0	0
9	Software	9,934,874	0	6,064,822	2,281,016	1,179,115	409,921	0	0
10	Program Operations	3,441,755	0	211,249	222,901	494,596	1,854,421	658,588	1,089
11	Comprehensive Planning Study	1,081,022	841,598	239,424	0	0	0	0	0
12	BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.):	93,007,308	841,598	11,335,991	20,561,031	22,132,181	31,810,288	6,326,219	1,196
13									
14	<u>Other</u>								
15	AFUDC - BT	6,594,892	17,881	397,298	1,347,590	2,560,021	2,272,053	49	447,162
16	Total BT (Line 11. + Line 13.):	99,602,200	859,480	11,733,289	21,908,621	24,692,201	34,082,341	6,326,268	448,358
17									
18	BT Controls/Organizational Integration	5,332,886	0	0	1,731,895	2,206,713	1,389,211	5,067	22
19	BT Controls/Organizational Integration - AFUDC	340,381	0	0	5,309	172,281	162,792	0	0
20	Total BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line 16.):	5,673,267	0	0	1,737,204	2,378,994	1,552,002	5,067	22
21									
22	BT Grand Total - American Water (Line 14. + Line 17.):	\$105,275,467	\$859,480	\$11,733,289	\$23,645,825	\$27,071,195	\$35,634,344	\$6,331,335	\$448,380
23			<i>+,</i>	,,,,,	,==,=:,=:,===		,,	+ = ,== = 5	+ , 0
23									

- 24
- 25

Enterprise Asset Management ("EAM")

				Year				
-		Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
Description	Total	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Labor								
Internal - Business	\$16,677,335	\$0	\$510,533	\$3,036,653	\$5,182,928	\$7,404,535	\$542,686	(\$2,410)
External - Other	32,307,400	0	2,043,025	12,490,682	10,493,157	7,286,998	(6,462)	0
Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.):	48,984,735	0	2,553,558	15,527,335	15,676,085	14,691,532	536,225	(2,410)
-								
Employee Expenses	2,275,261	0	192,338	355,506	371,719	1,287,021	68,677	0
Hardware	1,974,547	0	0	127,487	951,549	895,511	0	0
Software	5,630,644	0	2,226,000	1,843,468	982,481	578,695	0	0
Program Operations	1,619,635	0	96,702	195,896	174,874	931,712	220,450	1,176
Comprehensive Planning Study	2,101,848	1,972,531	129,318	0	0	0	0	0
BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.):	62,586,670	1,972,531	5,197,916	18,049,693	18,156,708	18,384,472	825,351	(1,234)
								<u> </u>
<u>Other</u>								
AFUDC - BT	4,650,459	37,576	209,867	784,680	1,915,648	1,702,547	142	324,556
Total BT (Line 11. + Line 13.):	67,237,129	2,010,107	5,407,782	18,834,372	20,072,356	20,087,019	825,493	323,322
BT Controls/Organizational Integration	4,710,855	0	0	1,620,287	2,123,700	959,476	7,392	0
BT Controls/Organizational Integration - AFUDC	319,653	0	0	4,601	165,695	149,357	0	0
Total BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line 16.):	5,030,507	0	0	1,624,888	2,289,394	1,108,833	7,392	0
BT Grand Total - American Water (Line 14. + Line 17.):	\$72,267,637	\$2,010,107	\$5,407,782	\$20,459,261	\$22,361,750	\$21,195,852	\$832,885	\$323,322
· · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>					
	Labor Internal - Business External - Other Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.): Employee Expenses Hardware Software Program Operations Comprehensive Planning Study BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.): Other AFUDC - BT Total BT (Line 11. + Line 13.): BT Controls/Organizational Integration BT Controls/Organizational Integration - AFUDC Total BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line 16.):	LaborInternal - Business\$16,677,335External - Other32,307,400Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.):48,984,735Employee Expenses2,275,261Hardware1,974,547Software5,630,644Program Operations1,619,635Comprehensive Planning Study2,101,848BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.):62,586,670Other4,650,459AFUDC - BT4,650,459BT Controls/Organizational Integration4,710,855BT Controls/Organizational Integration - AFUDC319,653Total BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line 16.):5,030,507	Description Total 2009 Labor Internal - Business \$16,677,335 \$0 External - Other 32,307,400 0 0 Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.): 48,984,735 0 0 Employee Expenses 2,275,261 0 0 Hardware 1,974,547 0 0 Software 5,630,644 0 0 Program Operations 1,619,635 0 0 Comprehensive Planning Study 2,101,848 1,972,531 0 Other AFUDC - BT 4,650,459 37,576 0 BT Controls/Organizational Integration 4,710,855 0 0 BT Controls/Organizational Integration - AFUDC 319,653 0 0 Total BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line 16.): 5,030,507 0 0	Description Total 2009 2010 Labor Internal - Business External - Other \$16,677,335 \$0 \$510,533 Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.): 48,984,735 0 2,253,558 Employee Expenses Hardware 2,275,261 0 192,338 Gongram Operations Comprehensive Planning Study 1,619,635 0 96,702 Program Operations Comprehensive Planning Study 2,101,848 1,972,531 129,318 BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.): 62,586,670 1,972,531 5,197,916 Other AFUDC - 8T 4,650,459 37,576 209,867 Total BT (Line 11. + Line 13.): 67,237,129 2,010,107 5,407,782 BT Controls/Organizational Integration - AFUDC 319,653 0 0 Total BT Controls/Organizational Integration - AFUDC 319,653 0 0 Total BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line 16.): 5,030,507 0 0	Description Actual Total Actual 2009 Actual 2010 Actual 2011 Labor Internal - Business External - Other Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.): \$16,677,335 32,307,400 \$0 2,043,025 \$12,490,682 External - Other Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.): \$48,984,735 \$0 2,553,558 \$15,527,335 Employee Expenses Hardware Software \$2,275,261 \$0 192,338 \$355,506 Forgram Operations Comprehensive Planning Study \$1,619,635 \$0 96,702 \$195,896 Comprehensive Planning Study \$2,101,848 \$1,972,531 \$129,318 \$0 96,702 BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.): \$62,586,670 \$1,972,531 \$5,197,916 \$18,049,693 Other AFUDC - BT \$4,650,459 \$37,576 \$209,867 \$784,680 BT Controls/Organizational Integration BT Controls/Organizational Integration - AFUDC \$319,653 \$0 0 \$0 1,620,287 Total BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line 16.): \$5,030,507 \$0 0 \$0 1,624,888	Actual Actual Actual Actual Actual Actual Description Total 2009 2010 2011 2012 Labor Internal - Business \$16,677,335 \$0 \$510,533 \$3,036,653 \$5,182,928 External - Other 32,307,400 0 2,043,025 12,490,682 10,493,157 Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.): 48,984,735 0 2,553,558 15,527,335 15,676,085 Employee Expenses 2,275,261 0 192,338 355,506 371,719 Hardware 5,630,644 0 2,226,000 1,843,468 982,481 Program Operations 1,619,635 0 96,702 195,896 174,874 Comprehensive Planning Study 2,101,848 1,972,531 129,318 0 0 BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.): 62,586,670 1,972,531 5,197,916 18,049,693 18,156,708 Other A A 4,650,459 37,576 209,867 784,680 1,915,648 <td>Description Actual Total Actual 2009 Actual 2010 Actual 2011 Actual 2012 Actual 2013 Labor Internal - Business \$16,677,335 \$0 \$510,533 \$3,036,653 \$5,182,928 \$7,404,535 External - Other 23,207,400 0 2,043,025 12,490,682 10,493,157 7,286,998 Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.): 48,984,735 0 2,553,558 15,527,335 15,676,085 14,691,532 Employee Expenses 2,275,261 0 192,338 355,506 371,719 1,287,021 Hardware 5,630,644 0 2,226,000 1,843,468 982,481 578,695 Program Operations 1,619,635 0 96,702 195,896 174,874 931,712 Comprehensive Planning Study 2,101,848 1,972,531 129,318 0 0 0 0 BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.): 62,586,670 1,972,531 5,197,916 18,049,693 18,156,708 18,384,472 Other AFUDC - BT Total BT (Line 11. + Line 13.):</td> <td>Description Actual Total Actual 2009 Actual 2010 Actual 2011 Actual 2012 Actual 2013 Actual 2014 Labor Internal - Business External - Other Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.): \$16,677,335 32,307,400 \$0 2,043,025 32,307,400 \$510,533 0,2,053,558 \$5,182,928 12,527,335 \$7,404,535 542,686 \$542,686 Employee Expenses Hardware \$2,757,261 1,974,547 0 \$2,533,558 \$15,527,335 \$15,676,085 \$14,691,532 \$536,225 Software \$5,630,644 0 \$2,226,000 \$1,843,468 \$982,481 \$78,695 0 Program Operations Comprehensive Planning Study BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.): \$2,101,848 \$1,972,531 \$2,197,916 \$18,049,693 \$18,156,708 \$18,384,472 \$825,351 Other AFUDC - BT Total BT (Line 11. + Line 13.): \$4,650,459 \$37,576 \$209,867 \$784,680 \$1,915,648 \$1,702,547 \$142 BT Controls/Organizational Integration BT Controls/Organizational Integration AFUDC \$319,653 0 0 \$1,620,287 \$2,123,700 \$959,476 \$7,392 BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line</td>	Description Actual Total Actual 2009 Actual 2010 Actual 2011 Actual 2012 Actual 2013 Labor Internal - Business \$16,677,335 \$0 \$510,533 \$3,036,653 \$5,182,928 \$7,404,535 External - Other 23,207,400 0 2,043,025 12,490,682 10,493,157 7,286,998 Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.): 48,984,735 0 2,553,558 15,527,335 15,676,085 14,691,532 Employee Expenses 2,275,261 0 192,338 355,506 371,719 1,287,021 Hardware 5,630,644 0 2,226,000 1,843,468 982,481 578,695 Program Operations 1,619,635 0 96,702 195,896 174,874 931,712 Comprehensive Planning Study 2,101,848 1,972,531 129,318 0 0 0 0 BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.): 62,586,670 1,972,531 5,197,916 18,049,693 18,156,708 18,384,472 Other AFUDC - BT Total BT (Line 11. + Line 13.):	Description Actual Total Actual 2009 Actual 2010 Actual 2011 Actual 2012 Actual 2013 Actual 2014 Labor Internal - Business External - Other Labor Subtotal (Total of Lines 2 3.): \$16,677,335 32,307,400 \$0 2,043,025 32,307,400 \$510,533 0,2,053,558 \$5,182,928 12,527,335 \$7,404,535 542,686 \$542,686 Employee Expenses Hardware \$2,757,261 1,974,547 0 \$2,533,558 \$15,527,335 \$15,676,085 \$14,691,532 \$536,225 Software \$5,630,644 0 \$2,226,000 \$1,843,468 \$982,481 \$78,695 0 Program Operations Comprehensive Planning Study BT Subtotal (Lines 4. + Lines 5 10.): \$2,101,848 \$1,972,531 \$2,197,916 \$18,049,693 \$18,156,708 \$18,384,472 \$825,351 Other AFUDC - BT Total BT (Line 11. + Line 13.): \$4,650,459 \$37,576 \$209,867 \$784,680 \$1,915,648 \$1,702,547 \$142 BT Controls/Organizational Integration BT Controls/Organizational Integration AFUDC \$319,653 0 0 \$1,620,287 \$2,123,700 \$959,476 \$7,392 BT Controls/Organizational Integration (Line 15. + Line

24

Consolidated Totals By AW Subsidiary and Account

Line		Sub		1010-Indiana	1011-Iowa American	1012-Kentucky	1013-Maryland	1015-California	1016-Michigan	1017-Missouri
Number	Account	Account	Account Description	American Water Co	Water Co	American Water Co	American Water Co	American Water Co	American Water Co	American Water Co
1	10700000		CWIP	\$467,391	\$117,509	\$228,809	\$9,438	\$238,576	\$4,754	\$706,017
2	12130003	121298	Capital Lease 3 Year							
3	12130004	121299	Capital Lease 4 Year							
4	12130005	121300	Capital Lease 5 Year							
5	12130007	121301	Capital Lease 7 Year							
6	18689900		Reg Asset - Other	7,170,944						
7	18713000		LT Asset - Prelim Survey & Investigation							
8	10133910/10633910	339600	Other P/E-CPS	59	122,100		10,021		6,952	63,759
9	10134010/10634010	340100	Office Furniture & Equip							
10	10134010/10634010	340200	Comp & Periph Equip							1,636
11	10134010/10634010	340300	Computer Software	20,971,932	6,035,582	112,429	489,934			
12	10134010/10634010	340310	Comp Software Mainframe					17,543,310	143,133	46,409,870
13	10134010/10634010	340315	Computer Software Special			11,944,407				
14	10139000/10639000	390300	WW Computer Software							
15	10134010/10634010	3403XX	Capitalized Overhead Credit		(59,664)		(4,907)	(166,876)		(442,086)
16	52501600		Misc Oper - Admin & General					408,332		
17	53409999		AWWSC Services - Conversion					,		
18	59011000		Gains/Losses Non-Utility Property Disposals							
19	59011500		Gains/Losses Non-Utility Property Sales							
20			Total Project Costs	\$28,610,326	\$6,215,527	\$12,285,645	\$504,486	\$18,023,342	\$154,839	\$46,739,196
21			···· ·/····		, -,,	,,	+++++,++++	,	+	, .,
21										

22

23

24

Consolidated Totals By AW Subsidiary and Account

Line		Sub		1018-New Jersey	1024-Pennsylvania	1025-Illinois	1026-Tennessee	1027-Virginia	1028-West Virginia	1030-Hawaii
Number	Account	Account	Account Description	American Water Co	American WaterCo	American Water Co				
1	10700000		CWIP	\$929,343	\$901,734	\$395,204	\$141,132	\$81,962	\$310,460	\$16,743
2	12130003	121298	Capital Lease 3 Year							
3	12130004	121299	Capital Lease 4 Year							
4	12130005	121300	Capital Lease 5 Year							
5	12130007	121301	Capital Lease 7 Year							
6	18689900		Reg Asset - Other							
7	18713000		LT Asset - Prelim Survey & Investigation						0	0
8	10133910/10633910	339600	Other P/E-CPS	1,282,786	1,258,916	588,301	149,654	108,761		
9	10134010/10634010	340100	Office Furniture & Equip			5,318				
10	10134010/10634010	340200	Comp & Periph Equip							
11	10134010/10634010	340300	Computer Software	64,652,777		29,270,494				
12	10134010/10634010	340310	Comp Software Mainframe		62,009,210			5,306,162	17,240,684	
13	10134010/10634010	340315	Computer Software Special				7,304,155			
14	10139000/10639000	390300	WW Computer Software							992,983
15	10134010/10634010	3403XX	Capitalized Overhead Credit	(631,424)	(635,801)	(296,530)				(9,730)
16	52501600		Misc Oper - Admin & General							
17	53409999		AWWSC Services - Conversion			93,611				
18	59011000		Gains/Losses Non-Utility Property Disposals							
19	59011500		Gains/Losses Non-Utility Property Sales							
20			Total Project Costs	\$66,233,482	\$63,534,059	\$30,056,398	\$7,594,941	\$5,496,886	\$17,551,144	\$999,997
21										

22

23

24

Consolidated Totals By AW Subsidiary and Account

Line		Sub		Water Works	1038-New York	2019-New Mexico	2022-Ohio American	2023-Arizona	2050-Texas American	
Number	Account	Account	Account Description	ServiceCo	American Water Co	American Water Co	Water Co	American Water Co	Water Co	Total Project Costs
1	10700000		CWIP		\$197,082					\$4,746,156
2	12130003	121298	Capital Lease 3 Year	2,833,362						2,833,362
3	12130004	121299	Capital Lease 4 Year	8,135,506						8,135,506
4	12130005	121300	Capital Lease 5 Year	64,338						64,338
5	12130007	121301	Capital Lease 7 Year	61,558						61,558
6	18689900		Reg Asset - Other							7,170,944
7	18713000		LT Asset - Prelim Survey & Investigation							0
8	10133910/10633910	339600	Other P/E-CPS		147,989					3,739,299
9	10134010/10634010	340100	Office Furniture & Equip							5,318
10	10134010/10634010	340200	Comp & Periph Equip							1,636
11	10134010/10634010	340300	Computer Software		9,345,084					130,878,231
12	10134010/10634010	340310	Comp Software Mainframe							148,652,369
13	10134010/10634010	340315	Computer Software Special							19,248,562
14	10139000/10639000	390300	WW Computer Software							992,983
15	10134010/10634010	3403XX	Capitalized Overhead Credit		(83,005)					(2,330,023)
16	52501600		Misc Oper - Admin & General							408,332
17	53409999		AWWSC Services - Conversion							93,611
18	59011000		Gains/Losses Non-Utility Property Disposals	444						444
19	59011500		Gains/Losses Non-Utility Property Sales			176,969	1,242,142	1,614,467	10,824	3,044,402
20			Total Project Costs	\$11,095,209	\$9,607,150	\$176,969	\$1,242,142	\$1,614,467	\$10,824	\$327,747,028
21			-							

22 23

External - Other By Vendor

umber	Vendor	Amount
1	Aasonn LLC	\$8,000
2	Accenture LLP	100,021,003
3 4	Accountants International	3,690
4 5	Accu Staffing Services Aerotek Inc	535,352 117,063
6	Anexinet	135,000
7	Applied Water Management Inc -	11,22
8	Aurionpro Solutions Inc	141,830
9	BackOffice Associates LLC	9,920,07
10	Basis Technologies Inc	22,59
11	Career Concepts Inc	21,72
12	CBTeam	2,550
13	Classic Graphics Inc	12,74
14	Communication Research Associates	814,722
15	Comptech Universal Inc	7,000
16	Computer Financial Consultants	3,416,43
17	Datamatic Ltd	5,300
18	Diamond Technologies Inc	202,22
19	DJB ERP Solutions LLC	175,10
20 21	Embark to Solutions Inc Emerson Personnel Group	289,444 22,50
21	Environmental Systems Research	978,772
22	Ernst & Young	3,627,69
25	Five Point Partners LLC	111,22
25	Gartner Inc	140,000
26	Goss, Darvas E	178,52
27	Gotham Technology Group LLC	8,430
28	Grom Associates Inc	1,051,855
29	Hackett Group	65,912
30	Hawthorne Associates Inc	770,95
31	IDModeling Inc	3,49
32	Impact Services	551,45
33	Infor Global Solutions Inc	8,33
34	Insight	95,31
35	Kay Toon Design	2,226
36	KPMG LLP	71,614
37	Kronos Inc	2,143,90
38	Laurel Hill GIS Inc	12,480
39	Liberty Contract Services	599,26
40	Littler Mendelson PC	29,29
41	Malikco LLC	475,382
42	Micro Enterprises NJ Inc	9,504
43	Moore, Karen G	72,70
44	mPower Managed Services LLC	15,50
45	Ogletree Deakins Nash Smoak &	262,588
46	Orasi Software Inc	94,19
47	Pactera Technologies NA Inc	333,15
48 49	Partners Consulting Inc	1,730,800
	PowerPlan Consultants Inc	7,90
50	Price WaterhouseCoopers LLP	88,654
51 52	Regulus Integrated Solutions L Resources Global	13,810
53	Robert Half	16,93
54	SAP	11,331,429
55	Scalfo Electric	5,442
55 56	SECURICON LLC	403,28
57	Six Sigma Academy	2,047,06
58	Speedy Apple Enterprises Inc	27,50
59	SuccessFactors Inc	173,19
60	Tek Systems	1,001,08
61	Thompson & Knight LLP	193,63
62	Tom Baker Consulting LLC	49,300
63	Towers Watson PA Inc	481,439
64	Trintech Inc	79,653
65	Triviumsoft	15,698
66	UC4 Software Inc	55,93
67	Various Adjustments	1,888,888
68	Versatile Systems Inc	7,26
69	Vibrant Fusion LLC	34,990
70	Visual Enterprise Architecture	136,07
71	Volt Management Corp	53,56
72	Windrunner Advertising	16
73	Yoh Services LLC	2,023,17
74		
75		\$149,526,36
76		
77		
78		
79		