BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Time Warner) Cable Information Services (Missouri), LLC for a) Certificate of Service Authority to Provide Local) and Interexchange Voice Service in Portions of the) State of Missouri and to Classify said Services) and the Company as Competitive.)

Case No. LA-2004-0133

SBC MISSOURI'S <u>APPLICATION TO INTERVENE OUT-OF-TIME</u>

SBC Missouri,¹ pursuant to Section 386.420 RSMo (2000) and 4 CSR 240-2.075,

respectfully seeks to intervene out-of-time in this proceeding and states:

1. SBC Missouri is a Texas partnership duly authorized to conduct business in

Missouri with its principal Missouri office at One SBC Center, St. Louis, Missouri 63101. SBC

Missouri is a "local exchange telecommunications company" and a "public utility," and is duly

authorized to provide "telecommunications service" within the State of Missouri as each of those

phrases are defined in §386.020 RSMo (1994).²

2. All correspondence, pleadings, orders, decisions and communications regarding this proceeding should be sent to:

Paul G. Lane Leo J. Bub Robert J. Gryzmala Mimi B. MacDonald Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. One SBC Center, Room 3518 St. Louis, Missouri 63101

3. In this proceeding, Time Warner Cable Information Services (Missouri), L.L.C., d/b/a Time Warner Cable ("Time Warner Cable") seeks a certificate of service authority to provide local and interexchange voice service in various exchanges throughout Missouri, including those currently served by SBC Missouri. In its Application, Time Warner Cable

¹ Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri, will be referred to in this pleading as "SBC Missouri."

² All statutory cites are to the Missouri Revised Statutes.

indicated that it "intends to provide facilities-based local Internet Protocol ("IP") voice service, targeted to the residential market."³

4. As is customary with applications for certificates of service authority filed by new entrants, the Commission provided notice of the Time Warner Cable filing and established an October 8, 2003 intervention deadline.⁴

5. Although several carriers (STCG, MITG, Fidelity CLECs, Spectra, CenturyTel, and AT&T) sought to intervene in Time Warner Cable's Application, SBC Missouri did not. SBC Missouri believed that the VoIP issue would be addressed in a generic proceeding that the Commission Staff requested be opened,⁵ rather than in the certification case of a single carrier.

6. It appears, however, that the Commission has elected to examine the "regulatory uncertainties" of VoIP in Time Warner Cable's certification case.⁶ As a result, the Commission denied Staff's Motion to open a generic VoIP case.⁷

7. SBC Missouri now seeks to intervene in this proceeding because it has a direct interest in the Commission's decision on Time Warner Cable's Application and in any determination the Commission may make with respect to VoIP. Time Warner Cable in this certification proceeding has requested service authority in exchanges served by SBC Missouri. In order to protect its interests, SBC Missouri believes it necessary for it to participate in the Commission's review of Time Warner Cable's request for certification and in the Commission's investigation of VoIP services.

8. SBC Missouri's interests as a local exchange telecommunications service provider differ from those of the general public. No other party to this proceeding will adequately protect SBC Missouri's interest.

³ <u>See</u>, Time Warner Application for Certificate of service Authority to Provide Local and Interexchange Voice Service, Exhibit B, filed September, 23, 2003.

⁴ See, Notice of Application, issued September 23, 2003, p. 3.

⁵ See, Staff's Motion to Open Case, Case No. TO-2004-0172, filed October 6, 2003.

⁶ See, Order Granting Applications to Intervene and Setting Prehearing Conference, Case No. LA-204-0133, issued November 4, 2003.

⁷ See, Order Denying Motion to Open Case, Case No. TO-2004-0172, issued November 4, 2003.

9. Granting of this intervention will be in the public interest because SBC Missouri will bring to this proceeding its expertise and experience as a telecommunications provider.

10. The Commission not more than two days ago granted the intervention of the STCG, MITG, Fidelity CLECs, Spectra, CenturyTel and AT&T. At this early date, the prehearing is over two weeks away and no procedural schedule has been set. No party should therefore be prejudiced by the Commission's granting this request out of time.

WHEREFORE, SBC Missouri respectfully requests the Commission to grant this Application to Intervene Out-of-Time.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P. D/B/A SBC MISSOURI

Paul 9 h BY

PAUL G. LANE	#27011
LEO J. BUB	#34326
ANTHONY K. CONROY	#35199
MIMI B. MACDONALD	#37606
Attorneys for SBC Missouri	
One SBC Center, Room 3520	
St. Louis, Missouri 63101	
314-235-4300 (Telephone)/314-	247-0014 (Fac
paul.lane@sbc.com	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this document were served on the following parties by e-mail on November 6, 2003.

Paul G. Lane

DANA K. JOYCE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PO BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101

CRAIG S. JOHNSON BRYAN D. LADE ANDERECK, EVANS MILNE, PEACE & JOHNSON PO BOX 1438 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102

WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND P.C. PO BOX 456 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102

REBECCA B. DECOOK AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHWESTS, INC. 1875 LAWRENCE STREET, SUITE 1575 DENVER, CO 80202 JOHN B. COFFMAN OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL PO BOX 7800 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101

SHELDON K. STOCK JASON L. ROSS GREENSFELDER, HEMKER & GALE, PC 10 SOUTH BROADWAY, SUITE 2000 ST. LOUIS, MO 63102

JAMES M. FISCHER LARRY DORITY FISCHER & DORITY, PC 101 MADISON, SUITE 400 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101

J. STEVE WEBER AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHWEST, INC. 101 W. MCCARTY, SUITE 216 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101