## PEPER, MARTIN, JENSEN, MAICHEL AND HETLAGE

SUITE 400 1730 PENNSTLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-4708 (202) 828-4040 Telecopier: (202) 828-2372

200 N. WOOD RIVER AVENUE WOOD RIVER, ILLINOIS 62095-1980 (818) 251-4983



ATTORNEYS AT LAW TWENTY-FOURTH FLOOR 720 Olive Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101-2396

(314) 421-3850 Telex: 434257 Telecopier: (314) 621-4834 P.O. Box 1666 FORT NYERS, FLORIDA 33902-1686 (813) 337-3850 Telecopies: (813) 337-0670

SUITE 2 1625 WEST MARION AVENUE PUNTA GORDA, FLORIDA 33950-5295 (813) 637-1955 TWX: 8106480139

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER (314) 444-6445

April 9, 1987

BY DHL

Mr. Harvey G. Hubbs Secretary Missouri Public Service Commission 5th Floor Truman State Office Building 301 West High Street Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re: Case No. AO-87-48

Dear Mr. Hubbs:

I enclose herewith for filing the original and fourteen (14) copies of the Application of Intervenors Monsanto Company, et al. and Anheuser-Busch, Inc. for Rehearing of the Order entered by the Commission in the above docket on April 3, 1987. I would appreciate your bringing this filing to the attention of the Commission.

Also enclosed is an additional copy of the Application and I would appreciate your file stamping the same and returning it to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

alphonse Mi Mahon th

Alphonse McMahon

AM/lh

Enclosures

cc: Parties on attached service list.

APR 10 1987

FILED

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of the investigation ) of the revenue effects upon ) Missouri utilities of the Tax ) Case No. AO-87-48 Reform Act of 1986. )

# APPLICATION OF INTERVENORS MONSANTO CO., ET AL. AND INTERVENOR ANHEUSER-BUSCH, INC. FOR REHEARING

Intervenors American-National Can Company, The Doe Run Company, Dundee Cement Company, Emerson Electric Company, Corporation, Ford Motor Company, General Motors Mallinckrodt, Inc., McDonnell Douglas Corporation, Monsanto Company, Nooter Corporation, Pea Ridge Iron Ore Company, PPG Industries, Inc., Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Company, and River Cement Company ("Monsanto Co., et al.") and Intervenor Anheuser-Busch, Inc. (all herein together called "Intervenors") hereby request a rehearing of the Order of the Public Service Commission of Missouri ("Commission") dated April 3, 1987 ("the Order"), pursuant to Mo. Rev. Stat. §386.500 (1986).

In support of their Application, Intervenors state as follows:

#### BACKGROUND

On March 29, 1985, the Commission issued a Report and Order in Case Nos. EO-85-17 and ER-85-160 approving new rates for Union Electric reflecting the inclusion in rate

# FILED

APR 10 1987

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

base of a portion of Union Electric's \$3 billion investment in the Callaway nuclear generating station, approving an automatic phase-in of the rates over an 8-year period, and adopting the time-of-use/average and peak ("TOU/AP") method of rate design. The use of the TOU/AP rate design method resulted in a rate increase to industrial customers of Union Electric, including the Intervenors, that is significantly above system average.

On April 8, 1985, Intervenors filed separate Applications for Rehearing, Reconsideration, and Oral Argument, challenging the rate design decisions of the Commission as set forth in the March 29, 1985 Report and Order.

On April 10, 1985, the Commission denied the Intervenors' Application for Rehearing and, on May 9, 1985, the Intervenors filed a Petition for Writ of Review in the Circuit Court of Cole County (Case No. CV185-493cc), which Writ was granted on May 13, 1985. That case has been briefed, argued, and submitted to Judge Lawrence Davis, whose decision is pending.

On March 24, 1987, Union Electric filed in Case No. A0-87-48 its Motion to Revise Rate Phase-in Plan, and to Allow Tariffs to Become Effective on Less Than 30 Days Notice ("Union Electric Motion") and concurrently filed new tariffs containing proposed new reduced rates (the "new

-2-

tariffs"). Once effective, the new tariffs will supersede the rate schedules (the "old tariffs") that Union Electric filed in compliance with the Commission's March 29, 1985 Report and Order in Case Nos. EO-85-17 and ER-85-160.

On March 30, 1987, Intervenors filed their Protest and Motion to Suspend the Operation of Union Electric Company's Tariffs ("Protest and Motion"). In it, Intervenors sought to suspend the implementation of Union Electric's new tariffs on the ground that the rate design reflected in the new tariffs was substantially the same as that in the old tariffs, and would perpetuate the errors in rate design that are the subject of their appeal of the March 29, 1985 Report and Order concerning the old tariffs.

On April 3, 1987, the Commission issued its Order, effective immediately, granting Union Electric's Motion and allowing the new tariffs for the third year of the phase-in to go into effect on less than 30 days notice (on April 9, 1987). The Order did not expressly rule on Intervenors' Protest and Motion.

## SPECIFICATIONS OF ERROR

The Commission's Order is unreasonable, unjust, and unlawful for the following reasons:

#### <u>I.</u>

The Commission erred in making its Order effective upon issuance because it failed to allow the parties, including

-3-

Intervenors, a reasonable time in which to prepare and file an Application for Rehearing.

By statute, an order of the Commission takes effect 30 days after service unless the Commission provides otherwise. Rev. Stat. Mo. §386.490.3 (1986). Here, the Commission so provided -- the Order became effective on the day it was issued, April 3, 1987. (Order, ¶5).

The Commission, however, can make the effective date of an order less than 30 days after service thereof only if it can be done "reasonably and properly." <u>State ex rel.</u> <u>Kansas City, Independence & Fairmount Stage Lines Co. v.</u> <u>Public Service Commission</u>, 63 S.W.2d 88, 93 (Mo. 1933); <u>see</u> <u>also State ex rel. Alton R. Co. v. Public Service</u> <u>Commission</u>, 155 S.W.2d 149, 154 (Mo. 1941) (a "reasonable time" must run between the time the order is served and its effective date).

In addition, it has been held that a period of one day between the date the Report and Order was filed and its effective date is unlawful because it deprived those interested of a reasonable opportunity to prepare and file motions for rehearing. <u>State ex rel. St. Louis County v.</u> Public Service Commission, 228 S.W.2d 1, 2 (Mo. 1950).

Therefore, it is unreasonable and improper, and unlawful, for the Commission to allow an order to become effective upon issuance and thereby deny the parties all

-4-

opportunity for judicial review. In addition to contravening the above-cited case law, this action constitutes a denial of due process under both the Missouri and United States Constitutions. Mo. Const., Article I, §10; U.S. Const., Amendment XIV.

# <u>II.</u>

The Commission erred in granting the Union Electric Motion and approving the new tariffs.

The rate design in the new tariffs is the same or substantially the same as that reflected in the old tariffs. No new evidence has been offered to support this unreasonable, unjust, and unlawful rate design in this proceeding. Thus, the new tariffs would perpetuate the rate design that is the subject of the Intervenors' pending appeal in Cole County Circuit Court and the same errors challenged therein.

Intervenors assert that the approval of the new tariffs (and the rate design reflected therein) by the Commission was unreasonable, unjust, and unlawful for the same reasons and on the same grounds as specifically set forth in their Applications for Rehearing filed in Case Nos. EO-85-17 and ER-85-160 and the Petition for Review filed in Cole County Circuit Court Docket No. CV185-493cc. Copies of said Applications for Rehearing and said Petition for Writ of Review are attached to the Protest and Motion as Exhibits A, B, and C, respectively, and are incorporated herein by

-5-

reference.

# III.

The Commission erred in failing to grant Intervenors' Protest and Motion because Intervenors were thereby denied the opportunity to present evidence that the new tariffs are unreasonable, unjust, and unlawful.

# IV.

The Commission erred in failing to make specific findings of basic fact in support of its decisions in the Order. The Order is devoid of any findings of basic fact which would support perpetuation of this unreasonable, unjust, and unlawful rate design and therefore fails to satisfy the requirements of Missouri law with respect to findings of fact.

# <u>v.</u>

The Commission erred in failing to base its Order upon competent or substantial evidence on the whole record.

#### <u>VI.</u>

The Commission erred in approving the new tariffs in the Order because the rate design utilized therein results in clear and unlawful discrimination against the Intervenors in violation of Missouri law, including Mo. Rev. Stat. §393.130 (1986), and their rights to due process of law and equal protection under the Missouri Constitution, Article I, §§2 and 10, and under the United States Constitution, Amendment XIV, §1.

-6-

The Commission erred in approving the new tariffs because no party had sustained the burden of proof required to support and justify the Commission's decisions.

In addition, Intervenors respectfully point out that the style of the Order includes two earlier cases, Case Nos. EO-85-17 and ER-85-160, that are currently on appeal to the Cole County Circuit Court. Because the circuit court issued a writ of review in these two cases, the Commission lacks jurisdiction over them and does not have the power to issue an order in those cases. <u>State ex rel.</u> <u>Campbell Iron Co. v. Public Service Commission of Missouri</u>, 296 S.W. 998 (Mo. banc 1927). Thus, the Order is only effective in Case No. AO-87-48.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Intervenors pray that the Commission grant rehearing and reverse its decision on the matters raised herein.

## VII.

-7-

Respectfully submitted,

PEPER, MARTIN, JENSEN, MAICHEL and HETLAGE

By

Robert C. Johnson #15755 Alphonse McMahon #32870 720 Olive Street, 24th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 421-3850

Attorneys for Intervenors Monsanto Co., et al.

PRT Francis J. Hruby #2742

Anheuser-Busch, Inc. One Busch Place St. Louis, Missouri 63118 (314) 577-3203

Attorney for Intervenor Anheuser-Busch, Inc.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served on each person on the attached service list by first-class United States mail, postage prepaid, this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 1987.

Statut C. Johnes

3838z

Service List

Paul Agathen Counsel Union Electric Co. P.O. Box 149 St. Louis, MO 63166

\*. . \*

Boyd J. Springer & Sarah J. Read Attorneys 3 First National Plaza Suite 5200 Chicago, IL 60602

Dean A. Park 1031 Executive Parkway Dr. St. Louis, MO 63141

Michael Madsen Attorney P.O. Box 235 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Kenneth J. Neises Attorney Laclede Gas Co. 720 Olive Street Room 1513 St. Louis, MO 63101

Gerald T. McNeive, Sr. Laclede Gas Co. 720 Olive Room 1528 St. Louis, MO 63101

Robert C. McNicholas Assoc. City Counsel 314 City Hall St. Louis, MO 63103

Sam Overfelt Attorney P.O. Box 1336 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Tom Ryan Counsel 4144 Lindell Suite 219 St. Louis, MO 63108

Wm. Clark Kelly Asst. Attorney General P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Gary Mayes Attorney Mercantile Center St. Louis, MO 63101

Willard C. Reine Attorney 314 E. High St. Jefferson City, MO 65101

Office of Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

## Service List

Paul Agathen Counsel Union Electric Co. P.O. Box 149 St. Louis, MO 63166

Boyd J. Springer & Sarah J. Read Attorneys 3 First National Plaza Suite 5200 Chicago, IL 60602

Dean A. Park 1031 Executive Parkway Dr. St. Louis, MO 63141

Michael Madsen Attorney P.O. Box 235 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Kenneth J. Neises Attorney Laclede Gas Co. 720 Olive Street Room 1513 St. Louis, MO 63101

Gerald T. McNeive, Sr. Laclede Gas Co. 720 Olive Room 1528 St. Louis, MO 63101

Robert C. McNicholas Assoc. City Counsel 314 City Hall St. Louis, MO 63103

Sam Overfelt Attorney P.O. Box 1336 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Tom Ryan Counsel 4144 Lindell Suite 219 St. Louis, MO 63108

Wm. Clark Kelly Asst. Attorney General P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Gary Mayes Attorney Mercantile Center St. Louis, MO 63101

Willard C. Reine Attorney 314 E. High St. Jefferson City, MO 65101

Office of Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102