1	for identification.)
2	(Wherein; Department of Natural Resources
3	Exhibit No. KCPL 602, KCPL 603, KCPL 604, KCPL 605, GMO
4	601, GMO 602 and GMO 603 were marked for identification.)
5	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Good afternoon. We are back
6	on the record. On my schedule, it says that we will begin
7	with overview and policy witnesses.
8	So is it Mr. Blanc or Mr. Blanc, I can't
9	recall?
10	MR. BLANC: Blanc.
11	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Blanc and then
12	Mr. Featherstone from Staff.
13	Is there anything from counsel before
14	Mr. Blanc takes the stand?
15	All right. Mr. Blanc, come forward to be
16	sworn, please.
17	(Witness sworn.)
18	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you, sir. And the
19	direct and cross at your convenience, either from the
20	podium or from where you're sitting as long as you're near
21	a microphone.
22	MR. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge.
23	CURTIS BLANC testifies as follows:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:
25	Q. Please state your name and address for the

1	record.
2	A. Sure. My name is Curtis Blanc, spelled
3	B-L-A-N-C. My business address is KCP&L 1200 Main, Kansas
4	City, Missouri.
5	Q. Are you the same Curtis Blanc who caused to
6	be filed in this proceeding prefiled direct, rebuttal and
7	surrebuttal testimony?
8	A. I am.
9	Q. And for your purpose, I think we've marked
10	the direct as KCPL No. 7 HC and KCP&L 7 NP and then also
11	the rebuttal is KCPL 8 and your surrebuttal is KCPL 9.
12	(Wherein; Kansas City Power & Light's
13	Exhibit No. KCPL 7 HC, KCPL 7 NP, KCPL 8 and KCPL 9 were
14	marked for identification.)
15	BY MR. FISCHER:
16	Q. Mr. Blanc, do you have any corrections or
17	perhaps updates that you need to make to your testimony or
18	exhibits?
19	A. No corrections, but two updates just based
20	on the timing of when the direct testimony was filed. As
21	was pointed out in opening statements, the Company has
22	revised its ROE request in response to financial market
23	conditions. Dr. Hadaway's the expert on that, but my
24	direct reflects the request is 11 percent.
25	And as done in Dr. Hadaway's surrebuttal.

1	that's been reduced to 10.75 percent.
2	Q. Was there any other updates that you needed
3	to make?
4	A. Sure. At the timing of my direct testimony,
5	we had won the PA Reliability award three consecutive years
6	and since that time we won it for a fourth time. So it's
7	now four consecutive years.
8	Q. With those comments, if I were to ask you
9	the questions contained in your testimony today, would your
10	answers be the same?
11	A. Yes, they would.
12	Q. And are those answers true and correct to
13	the best of your knowledge and belief?
14	A. Yes, they are.
15	Q. And do the schedules or attached exhibits
16	accurately depict what you're trying to show in those?
17	A. Yes, they do.
18	MR. FISCHER: Your Honor, with that, I would
19	move for the admission of KCP&L Exhibit 7, 8, and 9, both
20	the HC and NP versions. And I tender the witness for
21	cross-examination.
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer, I thank you.
23	Any objection to those exhibits?
24	MR. WOODSMALL: Your Honor, typically we
25	withhold admission of those until we've gone through

SPP priority projects and balance portfolio projects are in 1 2 place. There were some questions about Shiff 3 Q. Hardin. What -- who is Shiff Hardin? Can you explain what 4 5 they do? Shiff Hardin is a law firm and Sure. 6 Α. basically they provided support since -- I'd say since 7 before the beginning of the Iatan projects. They came in 8 and advised us on contracting structure and helped us 9 negotiate the contracts. 10 They've provided a broad array of services 11 over the life of the contract; contract negotiation, 12 administration, dealing with the disputes with vendors that 13 14 inevitably come up. And as I mentioned before in response to 15 Commissioner Kenney's questions that they also have a 16 project control team and they're non-lawyers. They're J 17 Wilson and Dan Meyer, who are widely respected schedule and 18 cost experts. And so they provided those services to the 19 20 Company. You mentioned that -- I believe in one of 21 0. your answers -- that the team was already in place and you 22 were talking to Shiff Hardin. What were some of the other 23 l advantages to Shiff Hardin? 24 First was just that, that they

25

Α.

Sure.

already the team in place. We knew up front that we would 1 be getting -- I would call it one-stop shopping -- with 2 them and we wouldn't have to piece together a team and hope 3 they share and coordinate information as well as they would 4 5 be expected to. This was a team that came to us together and 6 had all the expertise we needed both in the construction 7 area and the law area. But the other and maybe even more 8 important than that, is they shared our philosophy for the 9 project. 10 The Company wanted to make sure it wasn't in 11 a position to respond to bad things after they occurred. 12 we wanted to make sure we were in a position to see the 13 train wreck coming and stop it as opposed to waiting for 14 the train wreck to occur and then clean up the damage. 15 So those two things; one whole team, the 16 one-stop shopping, and then wanting to avoid problems 17 18 before they arose. You mentioned Mr. Dan Meyer. What was his 19 0. role at Shiff Hardin? 20 Dan Meyer is a -- I'd say a cost expert. 21 He's prefiled testimony with his resume attached, but he 22

basically made sure that we had the information we needed

to know where the cost of the project was going on a pretty

23

24

25

regular basis.

1	And as evidence of, I believe, how well that
2	system worked, when we redid when we had our first
3	reforecast back in 2008, we reforecast the cost at \$1.901
4	billion for Iatan 2 and ultimately a couple years later we
5	landed within 2 percent of that. And I think that's a
6	benefit of Dan Meyer's expertise.
7	Q. Is he one of your cost control witnesses in
8	this case?
9	A. He is indeed.
10	Q. You were asked some questions about whether
11	the Company may seek to merge at some point, KCPL and the
12	GMO operations. Do you recall that?
13	A. I do.
14	Q. And I believe that you mentioned that you
15	thought there were ways that you could streamline or be
16	more efficient if you did that. Short of a merger, are
17	there things the Company can do perhaps in the planning
18	area that would streamline the costs to the customers?
19	A. Sure. The way we've always looked at it is
20	they're kind of three areas of merger, savings we can go
21	after. Some are just business practices, things we can
22	just do better on a consolidated basis. And that's what
23	we've been focused on very hard since the merger.
24	A second category would be tariff changes,
25	basically coming in and consolidating our rules and

1	regulations. And that would be a more extensive effort and
2	we've only begun to embark on that. But then the third
3	category are things that we would have to be legally merged
4	to reap those benefits.
5	Q. Could you streamline the IRP planning
6	process?
7	A. Absolutely. It would be obviously more
8	efficient to only have to do it once every three years on a
9	consolidated basis, than have two companies do it
10	independently every three years.
11	Q. Have you received some opposition or
12	pushback on that topic?
13	A. Yes, we have.
14	Q. And where is that coming from?
15	A. Basically in our talks with Staff we are
16	basically trying to get an understanding up front that we
17	could file our next IRP on a consolidated basis. And the
18	response has largely been that's not how you structured the
19	merger, so you can't do it that way.
20	Q. Commissioner Jarrett asked you about some
21	belt-tightening measures that the Company has taken. Do
22	you recall that?
23	A. I do.
24	Q. How would cutting the dividend be considered
25	belt-tightening?