1	A. I believe so. I had a similar system
2	down at Florida Power and Light which I used on that
3	EPC project and I was there for the regulatory
4	hearings on that and so that plant was accepted into
5	base, so yes.
6	Q. Okay. And in that instance were any
7	costs that were above what I would refer to as the
8	CBE, the control budget estimate, were any costs that
9	were above that automatically disallowed by the
10	Florida Commission?
11	A. No, sir. I'd never heard of that before.
12	Q. Were you aware of part of KCP&L's plan
13	for Iatan to dismantle or take down the smokestack?
14	A. No, sir, I never heard that. And I've
15	looked from the original schedule obviously and the
16	original cost and I've never seen that in the
17	schedule, in the costs or anything. I could be wrong,
18	but I've never seen it and I looked.
19	Q. And had you reviewed any Schiff Hardin
20	invoices?
21	A. Yes, sir, I have.
22	Q. Did in reviewing those, did you ever
23	disallow or ask for any sort of breakdown of any time
24	entries from Schiff Hardin?
25	A. No, sir. On the Iatan project, as I've

reviewed them, at the project I'm -- basically Schiff 1 Hardin is at my direction at the project. And so what 2 they're really doing is what I've told them to do. 3 4 when I review what they've done, I already -- I --5 it's very easy for me to make sure they're doing exactly what I tell them. So I never had any 6 instances. I had some questions a few times, but I 7 never found anything out of line or something that I 8 hadn't asked them to do. All right. All right. I don't believe I 10 Ο. have any more questions. Mr. Bell, thank you. 11 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any further Bench 12 13 questions? I know we're breaking in the middle of a witness, but we are starting to approach one o'clock 14 and I do want to give people an opportunity for -- for 15 lunch. And we will resume at roughly 1:45. And 16 Mr. Bell will still be on the stand and be available 17 for further recross based on Bench questions and 18 19 redirect. Is there anything else from the parties 20 before we stand in recess? All right. Hearing 21 22 nothing further, we will be in recess until 1:45. 23 Thank you. We are off the record. (A recess was taken.) 24 25 (Change of court reporters.)

EVIDENTIARY HEARING VOL. 17 01-20-2011

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. We are back
2	on the record. I believe when we adjourned for lunch,
3	the bench had finished its questions for Mr. Bell, and
4	he was to be available to take recross and redirect.
5	Is there anything further from counsel? Mr. Dottheim?
6	MR. DOTTHEIM: Judge, if we might take a
7	procedural item
8	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right.
9	MR. DOTTHEIM: first. It's my
LΟ	understanding that the company has filed a for a
11	protective order, motion to quash the subpoena
L2	obtained by the Staff, sought by obtained by the
13	Staff for Mr. David McDonald for deposition on Monday
14	next week. And if it hasn't been filed by now, it's
15	my understanding that company will be filing a motion
16	to quash the subpoenas for Ms. Shoemaker and
17	Mr. Bassham to appear as witnesses called by the Staff
18	next Tuesday, and also the subpoena for Mr. McDonald
19	to be called as an adverse witness by the Staff next
20	Tuesday. The Staff would like to ask for oral
21	argument tomorrow morning on the on the motions.
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I don't know if any
23	Commissioners will will be available. I mean, I
24	certainly don't object, and I don't know how any of
25	the other parties feel. That will put us even further

```
behind schedule on a schedule we're already behind,
1
2
   but I mean, let me hear what other parties have to
3
   say, I quess.
                MR. FISCHER: Judge, we would certainly
 4
   participate in an oral argument; however, I would like
 5
   to have Commissioners here if that's at all possible.
 6
                MR. DOTTHEIM: And certainly the -- the
 7
   Staff would, too. So --
 8
                JUDGE PRIDGIN: I can certainly circulate
 9
   an e-mail to the Commissioners and let them know your
10
   request and see what we can do to accommodate. That's
11
12
   about the best I can do.
                MR. DOTTHEIM: Of course.
13
                MR. FISCHER: Monday might be a
14
   possibility, too. I think the depositions are
15
   scheduled for Tuesday; is that correct, Steve?
16
17
                MR. DOTTHEIM: The deposition was
18
   scheduled for Monday.
19
                MR. FISCHER: Oh, Monday.
                MR. DOTTHEIM: I believe. And the Staff
20
21
   doesn't make its request for oral argument to
   inconvenience Commission. Whatever --
22
                 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Understood.
23
                MR. DOTTHEIM: Whatever would convenience
24
   the Commission is the Staff's desire.
25
```

EVIDENTIARY HEARING VOL. 17 01-20-2011

1	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I understand.
2	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Since we're talking
3	about it, can I inquire?
4	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Absolutely.
5	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: This is the
6	which witness is this for?
7	MR. DOTTHEIM: This is for Mr. McDonald
8	for the deposition and for
9	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: The subpoena duces
10	tecum?
11	MR. DOTTHEIM: the subpoena
12	appearance as a witness based upon the deposition, but
13	there are also subpoenas for Ms. Shoemaker and Mr.
14	Bassham to appear as witnesses next Tuesday.
15	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: To appear as
16	witnesses here?
17	MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes, yes.
18	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Okay. But just
19	with and I don't mean to be difficult, but how is
20	it that this subpoena and deposition notice was just
21	sent like a couple of days a couple of business
22	days before the trial was going to start? Or put
23	another way, why wasn't he deposed earlier?
24	MR. DOTTHEIM: In in part, it was
25	based upon another deposition that occurred last week.

1	Also, too, Commissioner, I I won't represent to you
2	that the Staff is as efficient as it ideally might be.
3	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Well, I appreciate
4	that candor, because it puts us in a bad situation
5	because we obviously want a full and completely
6	developed record for the purposes of making decisions
7	that are complete and based upon substantial and
8	competent evidence and that do the ratepayers justice.
9	But, conversely, you know, it seems
10	really untimely. Was this witness a known witness,
11	David McDonald, prior to the deposition that you just
12	referenced? I mean, was his existence known to Staff
13	prior to the deposition?
14	MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: And why are they
16	asking for documents going all the way back to a time
17	when he didn't even work there?
18	MR. DOTTHEIM: No, it's not prior to the
19	time that he didn't work there.
20	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Doesn't it ask for
21	documents going back to 2005 and he didn't start
22	working there until 2009, or did I read that
23	incorrectly? You-guys feel free to chime in.
24	MR. STEINER: Yes, that's how we
25	interpreted it. The document request was all the way

1	back to 2005.
2	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: And Mr. McDonald
3	started working there in 2009?
4	MR. STEINER: That's correct.
5	MR. DOTTHEIM: And the Staff is
6	subsequently the Staff is willing to narrow that
7	document request to the time that Mr. McDonald has
8	been working at the company.
9	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I apologize for the
10	interruption.
11	MR. STEINER: It's still a long period of
12	time, Your Honor. This close to hearing, it's a large
13	burden for us to get those e-mails and review them for
14	the hearing next week.
15	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I appreciate that.
16	And, again, that just reinforces what I said. We need
17	to make decisions that are based upon all the
18	information that's available to us, and we need to be
19	able to ensure that the ratepayers have a fully
20	developed record.
21	MR. DOTTHEIM: Commissioner, if I might
22	add something?
23	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Sure.
24	MR. DOTTHEIM: To put this in context for
25	vou and I don't know if vou're aware of this or not

-- sometimes companies raise this objection. This 1 company at this time hasn't, but it's standard 2 procedure for the Staff to submit data requests even 3 throughout the context of a rate case. 4 So --COMMISSIONER KENNEY: So that practice 5 goes both ways of issuing data requests into trial, is 6 that what you're saying? 7 MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. 8 MR. STETNER: This is more than a data 9 request, and it's Mr. -- the existence of Mr. McDonald 10 11 as the procurement director has been known since this 12 case began. COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I didn't intend to 13 open this up to -- because we're getting close to 14 arguing on the motion now, and that certainly wasn't 15 my intention. 16 Yeah, I didn't intend to argue the merits 17 I just wanted to ask those couple of questions 18 19 and express my thoughts about this. I mean, I 20 appreciate your candor about Staff not always being as 21 efficient as Staff would like to be and the fact that data requests and discovery continues into the trial 22 itself. I mean, that's troubling, frankly. It's an 23 unusual practice, and I don't see how you can 24 25 l efficiently litigate a case that way.

1	MR. DOTTHEIM: Commissioner, sometimes
2	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: But having said
3	that, I mean, I don't know I'm not going to rule
4	Judge, I don't know if you're going to rule on this
5	now or not, but it just seems like you-all should be
6	able to figure if you narrow the scope of the
7	document requests and come to some reasonable
8	agreement on the location of the deposition, either
9	something that's convenient for the witness, too. Is
10	he scheduled to testify in the case itself?
11	MR. STEINER: No, he's not, Your Honor.
12	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I would think
13	you-all would be able to work this out. That's it.
14	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I'm doing the best I can
15	to poll the Commissioners to see how they would like
16	to proceed, and I'll certainly alert the parties. But
17	I understand time is of the essence for you, as it is
18	for me and the Commissioners as well. I'll certainly
19	let you know something as soon as I find out
20	information from the Commissioners.
21	Anything else before we resume
22	cross-examination of Mr. Bell?
23	COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Sorry about that.
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: No, not at all. All
25	right. We are ready for recross, then, Mr. Schwarz.

1	MR. SCHWARZ: Thank you, Judge.
2	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
3	QUESTIONS BY MR. SCHWARZ:
4	Q. Mr. Bell, you recall some questions from
5	Commissioner Jarrett, and I don't want to go into
6	anything that's HC, but about some site access issues?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. Thinking back to yesterday, would that be
9	considered perhaps a sequencing problem?
10	A. No, sir, it's not a sequencing problem.
11	It's just one of the issues you have when you have
12	multiple contractors on the site. Somebody's got to
13	finish before somebody else can work in the same spot.
14	Q. So it's a congestion issue?
1 5	A. It's a congestion issue, yes, sir.
16	Q. Okay. And I believe you got into a
17	discussion with Commissioner Jarrett about the
18	anticipated costs in a controlled budget estimate that
19	was done with, say, 30 percent engineering completed.
20	Do you recall those?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. The control budget estimate had a
23	contingency of \$220 million, which is about 15, 16
24	percent. That would be expected to cover some of
25	those it was planned to cover some of those

```
contingencies, was it not?
1
                Some of the contingencies, yes, sir. We
2
   commonly refer to that in the industry as the known
3
4
   unknowns.
                MR. SCHWARZ: Thank you. That's all I
 5
   have, Judge.
6
                 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Schwarz, thank you.
7
   Mr. Mills?
8
                 MR. MILLS: I have no questions.
9
                                 Mr. Dottheim?
                 JUDGE PRIDGIN:
10
                 MR. DOTTHEIM: Thank you.
11
12
                      RECROSS-EXAMINATION
13
   OUESTIONS BY MR. DOTTHEIM:
                 Mr. Bell, Mr. Schwarz asked you about
14
          0.
   contingency in the control budget estimate.
15
                 Do you recall the exact amount of the
16
   dollar amount of the contingency in the $1.685 billion
17
   controlled budget estimate?
18
                 Did you ask me did I know what part of
19
           Α.
   the 1.685 was contingency?
20
21
           Q.
                 Yes.
                 I believe he just said it was 15 to 16
22
           Α.
23
   percent.
                 well, Mr. Schwarz said that it was 15 to
24
           Q.
25
   16 percent.
```

1	Do you know how much of the \$1.685
2	billion is contingency?
3	A. Yes, sir, I believe that's correct. I
4	mean, earlier in the testimony, we referred to the 1.4
5	something, and this 200-something, yes, sir, it is
6	correct.
7	Q. Well, I think Mr. Schwarz referred to
8	\$220 million. Do you know whether the \$220 million
9	that
10	Mr. Schwarz referred to is only Iatan 2 or both Iatan
11	2 and Iatan 1?
12	A. I could not swear on a bible. I believe
13	it's Iatan 2, though.
14	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether the Iatan 1
15	contingency is \$25.7 million?
16	A. No, sir. Unfortunately, I had no
17	association with Unit 1.
18	Q. Okay. And I think Mr. Schwarz made
19	reference to engineering being 30 percent complete at
20	the time of the controlled budget estimate.
21	Do you recall whether it was 25 percent
22	complete or 30 percent complete?
23	A. I wasn't here at the time, but just
24	listening to the testimony and my recollection of
25	looking back in the schedules, it was somewhere in the

1	25, 30 percent range, yes, sir.
2	Q. There was a question from Commissioner
3	Gunn this morning about whether the plans for the
4	Iatan station included the removal of the original
5	chimney, if I understood correctly. Do you recall the
6	question?
7	A. Yes, sir, I believe he asked me that
8	question.
9	Q. Have you seen any drawings, renderings of
10	the Iatan station with Iatan 2 that only show one
11	chimney?
12	A. No, sir, I cannot recall having seen
13	anything like that.
14	Q. I'm going to hand to you what I'm going
15	to purport to be a copy of the Iatan construction
16	project, project execution plan.
17	Do you know what the Iatan construction
18	project, project execution plan is?
19	A. Yes, sir, I'm familiar with the purpose
20	of it.
21	Q. Do you recall ever having seen the
22	project execution plan?
23	A. I have referred back to a couple of
24	sections in it for non-related issues, yes, sir.
25	MR. DOTTHEIM: May I approach the

```
witness?
1
2
                 JUDGE PRIDGIN: You may.
3
   BY MR. DOTTHEIM:
4
          Q.
                 would you please take a look at that
5
   document.
                Yes, sir.
6
          Α.
                 Do you recognize that document?
7
          Q.
                 Yes, sir. It's the project execution
8
          Α.
9
   plan for Iatan.
                 It's a copy, is it not?
10
          0.
11
           Α.
                 Yes, sir.
                 And on the cover, there's a rendering of
12
          Q.
   the Iatan station, is there not?
13
                 Yes, sir, there's a beautiful drawing
14
           Α.
15
   there.
                 Okay. Is it a rendering of the Iatan
16
           0.
   station with Iatan 2? Can you tell?
17
                 Yes, sir, I can tell Iatan 2's there.
18
           Α.
                 Okay. Does it show one or two chimneys?
19
           Q.
                 This particular artist's drawing only
20
           Α.
21
   shows one.
                 Okay. Have you seen any of the Iatan 2
22
           Q.
   status reports that are provided to the -- to the
23
   staff?
24
                 Yes, sir, I have. In particular, the one
25
           Α.
```

```
since I arrived.
1
2
                 Okay. Okay. I'm going to hand to you
          Q.
 3
   what I'm going to purport is the Iatan 2 status report
   for September 2010.
 4
                 MR. DOTTHEIM: May I approach the
 5
   witness?
 6
7
                 JUDGE PRIDGIN: You may.
   BY MR. DOTTHEIM:
 8
                 Mr. Bell, would you please take a look at
9
          Q.
   the document I just handed to you, which I'll
10
11
   represent is the Iatan status report for September
12
   2010.
13
                 Yes, sir.
          Α.
                 Have you seen that document before?
14
          Q.
15
                 I have, yes, sir.
          Α.
                 Okay. And is there a rendering on the
16
          Ο.
17|
   cover page of the Iatan station?
                 Yes, sir. It's a color version of the
18
           Α.
19
   one you handed me earlier. Much better quality.
20
                 And it shows the Iatan 2 unit?
           Q.
21
                 Yes, sir.
           Α.
                 And does it -- does it show one or two
22
           Q.
23
   chimneys?
                 It shows the dual-fuel single chimney,
24
25
   yes, sir, you are correct.
```

It doesn't show the original Iatan 1 Q. 1 chimney along with the -- with the dual -- the dual 2 chimney that was built as a result of the Iatan 3 construction project, correct? 4 Yes, sir, that's correct. Α. Thank you. 6 Q. Yes, sir. 7 Α. MR. DOTTHEIM: If I may have a moment, 8 please. 9 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Certainly. 10 MR. DOTTHEIM: May I approach the 11 12 witness? 13 JUDGE PRIDGIN: You may. 14 BY MR. DOTTHEIM: Okay. Mr. Bell, Commissioner Jarrett 15 0. asked you a number of questions about fast track. 16 17 Yes, sir. Α. And you talked about fast tracking with 18 Q. me, and Commissioner Jarrett followed up, and I'm 19 going to hand to you and ask you to read a section and 20 21 ask you if you agree. 22 I'm going to ask you to take a look at this three-ring binder of the Illinois Institute for 23 l Continuing Legal Education, Construction Litigation, 24 2006. And I'm going to ask you to look at a section 25 l

on fast track written by Lawrence H. Slutzky, 1 S-l-u-t-z-k-y, who's with the law firm of Robbins, 2 3 Scwartz, S-c-w-a-r-t-z, Robbins, R-o-b-i-n-s, Nocholas, N-o-c-h-o-l-a-s, Lifton & Taylor, Ltd., in 4 Chicago. 5 Now -- and there's a section written 6 by Mr. Slutzky -- in fact, there are a couple of 7 sections -- representing the owner. But I would also 8 note that in this publication, Section 9, there is a section. "The Consultant's Role in Construction Claims 10 and Litigation" written by Daniel F. Meyer, President, 11 12 Meyer Construction Consulting, Inc., M-e-y-e-r, Lake Forest, and Daniel B. Meyer, O'Hagan, O'H-a-g-a-n, 13 Spencer, LLC, Chicago. Mr. Daniel F. Meyer is a 14 15 witness for Kansas City Power & Light who is scheduled to testify this week. 16 But I'm going to ask you to read this 17 several paragraphs on fast track on page 1-25 and 1-26 18 19 written by Mr. Lawrence H. Slutzky and ask you if you -- if you 20 21 agree. 22 Should I read these five paragraphs out Α. loud? 23 Yes, please. If you'd first like to read 24 Q. 25 l them to yourself, but then if you would read them out

loud.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"'Fast track' is defined as the Α. contemporaneous design and construction of a project. Foundations may be poured by the contractor without plans for the next stage of construction. Design is performed in phases, and construction commences on completion of each design phase. Theoretically, construction time is reduced by the contemporaneous design and construction as well as the ability of the contractor to order long lead items well in advance. Typically, the design and construction responsibilities may merge into a single design-build entity responsible for the entire project. However, in the public sector the duty to publicly bid the various construction packages delays the process.

"Although the fast-track process shortens the conventional plan-design-bid-construct process, it increases the risks inherent in construction since cost and quality may be compromised for the sake of saving time. Success of a fast-track project thus requires an experienced design-build team to manage, plan, and schedule design and construction to accomplish expeditious completion while limiting additional expense and maintaining quality.

Consequently, the fast track should be used only when

the owner's time demands justify the additional risk and expense. Few public projects qualify for the additional risks imposed.

"For the owner whose primary need is the immediate completion of a project, fast track may prove the answer. A typical example is the industrial owner who must immediately commence manufacturing a product to maintain market share or to compete in the market. A long design and construction phase could put the owner out of competition.

"For the contractor, the fast-track project intensifies the need for supervision and coordination of the workforce, subcontractors, and logistics of ordering materials as well as for maintaining control over the updated or revised drawings. Continual communication with the design professional and monitoring of project progress is indispensable to timely and successful completion of the project.

"Since costs in a fast-track project are based on time and materials, cost containment requires proper documentation of labor, material, and overhead expenses. All supporting financial documentation should be maintained and available for the owner's review or audit."

1	Concludes.
2	Q. Mr. Bell, would you have any comment on
3	those paragraphs?
4	A. Well, I would say Mr. Slutzky is much
5	more eloquent in his explanation than I was. He did a
6	fine job of describing this. In most cases, I agree.
7	It keeps referring to public sector projects, which
8	are a little bit different and it's not necessarily
9	pointed at a power project, but I believe it agrees
10	with what I had explained to Mr. Jarrett earlier.
11	So yes, in context, I agree.
12	Q. Thank you. And there is actually in
13	here, too, a short rendering of Mr. Slutzky's
14	background. I'm going to read that into the record
15	and ask you if I read that correctly, okay? If you
16	would just track me.
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	MR. FISCHER: Judge, I'm going to object
19	to that. I think that's pure hearsay.
20	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Dottheim?
21	MR. DOTTHEIM: Well, I would just attempt
22	to give some some context to who Mr. Slutzky is.
23	This is a continuing legal education publication in
24	Illinois. I think the document speaks for itself in
25	its representation of

Mr. Slutzky's background. 1 I would also note that Mr. Daniel F. 2 3 Meyer, who is a witness in this proceeding, is in this 4 publication, and he also has his own -- I won't say biography; that's not quite the right word -- write-up 5 in this -- in this document. So whatever failing Mr. 6 Fischer may be ascribing to the write-up for Mr. Slutzky, I assume he is ascribing to 8 Mr. Meyer, also. MR. FISCHER: I think he's assuming --10 11 he's welcome to ask Mr. Meyer anything about his own writings. I don't have the opportunity to ask Mr. 12 Slutzky anything about what he's writing. He can ask 13 my witness if he agrees, but it's pure hearsay to 14 15 suggest that this person, whoever his background is -who knows? I've never heard of him. 16 l JUDGE PRIDGIN: I'll overrule, and I'll 17 let Mr. Dottheim continue. 18 BY MR. DOTTHEIM: 19 20 Q. "Lorence H. Slutzky (Chapters 1, 4) is a Partner in the Chicago office of Robbins, Schwarz, 21 22 Nicholas, Lifton & Taylor, Ltd., where he concentrates on counseling and negotiating on behalf of 23 24 participants in the construction industry and 25 litigating complex construction disputes representing

public and private owners, design professionals, 1 contractors, subcontractors, manufacturers and 2 3 insurers. Mr. Slutzky is an adjunct faculty member 4 5 at the John Marshall Law School. He speaks nationally and has authored many articles, textbooks and seminar 6 materials. He is an arbitrator for the American 7 Arbitration Association. He is a member of the Illinois and Florida State Bar Associations, the 9 Chicago and American Bar Associations, and the ABA's 10 Forum Committee on the Construction Industry. He is a 11 founder and officer of the Society of Illinois 12 Construction Attorneys, a Fellow of the American 13 College of Construction Lawyers, and board-certified 14 by the examination as a construction specialist by the 15 Florida Bar. Mr. Slutzky received his B.S. from 16 Southern Illinois University, attended the University 17 of Exeter, England, through the Marshall-Wyeth College 18 of Law, and received his J.D. from the John Marshall 19 Law School." 20 MR. FISCHER: Counsel, I'd ask if you can 21 22 verify any of those statements. BY MR. DOTTHEIM: 23 24 Mr. Bell, did I read that correctly? Q. 25 Yes, sir, I believe you did. Α.

1	MR. DOTTHEIM: Mr. Fischer, other than
2	those statements being in the Illinois Institute for
3	Continuing Legal Education, Springfield, Illinois,
4	published in 2006, no, I personally cannot.
5	Thank you, Mr. Bell. Thank you for your
6	patience.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
8	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Redirect?
9	MR. FISCHER: Oh, thank you, Judge.
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	QUESTIONS BY MR. FISCHER:
12	Q. Mr. Bell, during cross-examination,
13	Mr. Dottheim was asking you regarding, I think, the
14	difference between gas-fired and coal-fired your
15	gas-fired and coal-fired experience. Do you recall
16	that?
17	A. Yes, sir, I do.
18	Q. From a construction manager's
19	perspective, does the fact that a large power plant is
20	gas-fired rather than coal-fired make a significant
21	difference?
22	A. Not from the technical aspects of the
23	project whatsoever. I would say, though, that gas is
24	much cleaner than coal.
25	Q. As far as controlling the cost of the

project or getting it done on time, does it really 1 matter what the -- from the construction manager's 2 perspective, what the source of the fuel is? 3 4 Α. Absolutely not on the standard boiler 5 type plant we have up at Iatan, whether it's gas, coal, it's very similar. 6 Mr. Dottheim asked you also about your 7 Q. I think that included your resume. Could 8 Schedule 3. you turn to that, page 3. 9 He was asking about the 1982 to 1987 10 experience that's listed there. 11 Yes, sir. 12 Α. I believe you indicated that one of those 13 Q. 14 power plants was larger than Iatan; is that right? 15 No. sir. It was the power plant that is Α. the TVA Paradise one, the experience from -- in the 16 17 direct testimony filed 1978 to '82 experience, that 18 Paradise plant. 19 How large is Paradise? 0. 20 It is 2,600 megawatts. Α. 21 Okay. I thought you mentioned that there 0. 22 was one in Egypt that was 600 megawatts. That's correct. 23 Α. 24 Which one would that be? Q. 25 That was the Abu Soltan plant on the Α.

coast.

- Q. If you had to -- I know you probably can't give us a specific, but if you had to estimate the number of megawatts that are listed here on the power plants that you constructed or been a participant in, how large of a number would that be?
- A. That would be a guess. Somewhere in the neighborhood of 10,000 megawatts. Without adding this up, it's simply a guess on my part.
- Q. Which one of those plants would be most similar to Iatan, do you think?
- A. The Abu Soltan plant in Egypt in that period of time would be very similar. Except for the coal, we used gas because Egypt had no coal. They were only in gas, and we used that as the combustible.
- Q. You mentioned during your cross-examination, I believe, that at one point, whenever you were working for government projects, I believe, you contract with a law firm that had hourly rates of \$500 to \$650 an hour?
- 21 A. Somewhere in that part, yes.
- 22 Q. What years would that have been in?
- 23 A. That was 2005, '6, '7, '8 and '9.
 - Q. And what power plants were you associated with at that time?

1	A. That was the \$1.4 billion project we had
2	with USAID in Afghanistan.
3	Q. And you didn't use a local law firm for
4	that?
5	A. We did look at the local law firms as
6	required by FAR. They like you to use that, but we
7	couldn't find anyone there that actually knew what FAR
8	was, so we had we were able to justify going
9	outside.
10	Q. And is it correct that you said you
11	sole-sourced was that the one that you sole-sourced
12	with?
13	A. Yes, sir. We ended up sole-sourcing it
14	to a law firm in Washington, D.C.
15	MR. FISCHER: Counsel, could I borrow
16	your September status report for a minute? Thank you.
17	BY MR. FISCHER:
18	Q. Mr. Bell, I'd like to show you the status
19	report, September 2010, that was given to you by
20	counsel. Perhaps I could have that marked as an
21	exhibit. We can make some copies later, but I'd like
22	to have it marked as an exhibit.
23	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I believe that would be
24	69.
25	MR. SCHWARZ: For clarification, is that

the entire document or just the cover? 1 MR. FISCHER: It would be the entire 2 document that I'd have marked. 3 4 MR. SCHWARZ: Okay. 5 (Exhibit No. 69 was marked for identification.) 6 BY MR. FISCHER: 7 I think we had some questions earlier in 8 0. the hearing about these quarterly status reports. I'd like for you to describe what that is from your 10 11 perspective. 12 Α. Basically, it's a report we create polling all the members of the project team to present 13 a status for us to present to the Staff in both 14 Missouri and Kansas to update them on the status of 15 the project, both from a schedule standpoint and from 16 17 a cost standpoint. what is some of the information that is 18 Ο. generally provided in that status report? 19 It provides very detailed information of 20 Α. 21 our progress in construction. We list any issues that have come to light. We're very transparent about 22 that. But in particular in the report is what we keep 23 referring to as the K Report. And that's what you can 24 25 l look at every month as it's updated to see if there

are any changes in costs from the previous month. 1 And how often was that provided to the 2 3 Staff, or a version of that? Well, I know there's quarterly meetings. Α. 4 I'm not sure how the monthly report gets to them, but 5 the quarterly one, I know there's face-to-face 6 usually. 7 And you said that includes the K Report? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. And what again is the K Report? 10 0. The K Report is the document that shows 11 Α. 12 the individual costs of the different items at the project. It's a fairly detailed document to show 13 where you're at and the different phases of the 14 project. For instance, if you want to know what a 15 particular item listed costs, what we thought the 16 original budget was, what the current forecast is, all 17 of that information is in that document. 18 So you could take a look at whether it's 19 Q. exceeding the control budget estimate? 20 Yes, sir, that's what I use it for. 21 part of my job and responsibilities to Mr. Downey is 22 to keep the project on budget. 23 Would it also show if it is above the 24 reforecasted budget? 25 l

1	A. Yes, it would.
2	Q. And would it show if there's any
3	contingency left or not?
4	A. Yes. The contingency is tracked in there
5	also.
6	Q. Is there a discussion of other issues
7	related to the projects, problems that you might be
8	having?
9	A. Absolutely. It's a totally transparent
10	document. I mean, from a reporting standpoint, it's
11	very critical that we stay fully transparent. So we
12	don't hide anything. Everything that we know as of
13	this report's reported in this document, whether it's
14	good news or bad news.
15	Q. Does it also have schedule information?
16	A. Absolutely.
17	Q. Does it show the critical path?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. And does it show where things are behind
20	schedule or ahead of schedule?
21	A. Yes, sir. It's a good rendering of the
22	entire plan. It gives safety, statistics. It's a
23	very comprehensive document.
24	Q. Did you attend meetings where those
25	documents were presented to the Staff and other

1	signatory parties?
2	A. I believe I was at one or two meetings.
3	Q. Were those usually well-attended
4	meetings?
5	A. Yes.
6	MR. FISCHER: Judge, with that, I just
7	ask for the admission of the exhibit, and I conclude
8	my redirect. Thank you.
9	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. 69 has been
10	offered. Any objections?
11	MR. MILLS: Judge, I object.
12	MR. FISCHER: I'm sorry, it's HC.
13	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Mr. Mills?
14	MR. MILLS: I object on the basis of
15	foundation. Mr. Fischer asked some general questions
16	about quarterly reports. There's nothing in the
17	record that reveals that this witness has ever seen
18	that report before or he has any involvement in its
19	preparation or any ability to judge its authenticity.
20	So I object on the basis of lack of foundation.
21	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer?
22	MR. FISCHER: I can lay some foundation
23	if we need that. We certainly have plenty of
24	witnesses here who can give as much foundation as
25	counsel would like.

BY MR. FISCHER: 1 Mr. Bell, did you -- do you recall 2 0. attending the -- the last quarterly report -- status 3 4 report meeting or have you seen that particular document before? 5 I've seen the document. As the lead at 6 the site, I'm responsible for putting the document 7 together. In particular, I write the executive 8 summary, and I'm responsible for everyone at the site doing their part to get it updated and ready for final 10 11 review. Is it accurate to the best of your 12 Q. knowledge and belief? 13 14 Α. Absolutely. 15 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I move for the 161 admission. 17 MR. MILLS: No further objections. 18 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. 69 HC is admitted. 19 (Exhibit No. 69 HC was received into 20 21 evidence.) 22 JUDGE PRIDGIN: And, Mr. Davis (sic), 23 thank you very much. You may step down. 24 Okay. And we're on Mr. Jones. 25 Mr. Jones, if you'll raise your right hand to be