

AD

(

Figure 1: Schematic representation of the experimental design. The diagram illustrates the sequence of events for each trial in the experiment. It shows a series of boxes representing stimuli, responses, and feedback, organized into three main sections: Stimulus, Response, and Feedback. The sequence is labeled 'Trial 1', 'Trial 2', and 'Trial 3'.

(

(

On January 21, 2000, the Commission's Staff filed its memorandum, recommending that the Commission issue an order approving UE's application. The Staff stated that the Company's application meets the requirements of Sections 393.180 and 393.200, RSMo 1994, and 4 CSR 240-2.060(8). The Staff also suggested certain conditions be stated in the Commission's order. On February 2, 2000, Staff filed a revised memorandum to revise the fourth of four conditions proposed. Staff indicated that UE supports Staff's revised statement of condition four.

In order to facilitate the expedited schedule requested by UE and to assure that any interested party is not foreclosed from responding to the Staff's memorandum, the Commission will set a filing time for responses.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, the Office of Public Counsel, or, any other party desires to file a response to the revised memorandum filed by Staff on February 2, 2000, shall do so no later than February 10, 2000.

2. That this order shall become effective on February 7, 2000.

BY THE COMMISSION

(S E A L)



Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Keith Thornburg, Regulatory Law
Judge, by delegation of authority
pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.120(1),
(November 30, 1995) and
Section 386.240, RSMo 1994.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 7th day of February, 2000.